

PORTRAITS

Journeys of Gender-Based Violence Survivors
across Malaysia's One-Stop Crisis Centres

Acknowledgements

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all the survivors who trusted us and shared their personal stories and experiences. We also thank the CSO representatives for their constant support, and invaluable suggestions. We sincerely thank our research consultants for their expert advice and support in shaping this study. Finally, we want to specially thank UNHCR and civil society organisations – in particular Women’s Aid Organization, Sisters in Islam, and Seed Foundation Malaysia – for their relentless support that has made this research possible.

Contributors

Tengku Aira Tengku Razif, Lee Min Hui

Authors

Aparna Mukherjee, Evangelia Berdou, and
Claudia A Lopes

Disclaimer

This research was conducted between 2020 and 2023, with findings based on data and policies relevant during that period and efforts to ensure accuracy. Any relevant developments since then are to be considered when interpreting the results.

The AI-generated images used in this report are not based on real individuals, objects or events, and any resemblance to actual people, places or things is purely coincidental. These images may not accurately represent the specific details or characteristics of any real-life entities or situations and are intended for illustrative purposes only.

Executive Summary

The One-Stop Crisis Centre (OSCC) model in Malaysia presents a pioneering approach to address gender-based violence (GBV) and intimate partner violence (IPV) in particular. This model has significantly evolved since its inception in the 1980s, driven by the collaborative efforts of health workers, women's non-governmental organisations (NGOs), and the government. The development of the OSCC model and its strengths are highlighted through the establishment of a legal and organizational framework that recognizes GBV as a national issue, prompting the creation of service protocols and the pilot of OSCC services.

Among the key strengths of the OSCC model are its comprehensive and integrated approach to service delivery for survivors of physical and sexual violence. By co-locating medical, counselling, and police services within hospital settings, particularly in Accident & Emergency departments, OSCCs provide a centralized point for accessing a range of support services. This model not only facilitates immediate and coordinated care but also addresses the multifaceted needs of survivors, encompassing physical, psychological, and legal assistance.

The establishment of OSCCs was greatly influenced by the advocacy of women's groups and the strategic partnerships between health professionals and NGOs. These collaborations were instrumental in shaping the service protocols and ensuring that the services are sensitive to the survivors' needs. For example, the initiative for the OSCC model was largely driven by an influential doctor at the General Hospital in Kuala Lumpur, who recognized the importance of addressing the psychological needs of abused women alongside their physical injuries. This led to the pilot OSCC at the Kuala Lumpur General Hospital in December 1993, which

served as a blueprint for the nationwide implementation of the model.

Moreover, the OSCC model emphasizes the training of healthcare providers and the development of operational guidelines to manage IPV cases effectively. This focus on capacity building ensures that frontline staff are equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to provide appropriate care and support to survivors. The establishment of clear guidelines and standard operational procedures (SOPs) for handling IPV cases has been critical in streamlining the management process and ensuring consistency in the delivery of services across different OSCCs.

Another significant strength of the OSCC model is its ability to facilitate interagency collaboration and coordination. Regular interagency meetings with partners from the police, social welfare, legal aid, and NGOs have enhanced the quality of OSCC services and enabled government agencies to monitor their services more closely. This collaborative approach has led to a more holistic and effective response to GBV, enabling a seamless integration of health, legal, and social services.

The OSCC model in Malaysia represents a comprehensive and integrated approach to addressing GBV. Its strengths lie in its collaborative foundation, emphasis on training and capacity building, and the establishment of a coordinated service delivery mechanism that addresses the physical, psychological, and legal needs of survivors. The success of the OSCC model in Malaysia offers valuable lessons for other countries seeking to develop similar integrated response systems for gender-based violence.

Despite Malaysia's establishment of GBV response systems and the development of ideal OSCC guidelines, there remains an

need for rigorous evaluation and monitoring of these services. The lack of secondary information on the effectiveness and implementation of these guidelines highlights a gap in understanding how well the system serves from a survivor-centric perspective. Recognizing this need, this comprehensive research study was initiated, supported by UNU-IIGH and UNFPA Malaysia, which delves into the complexities of GBV in Malaysia, exploring the multifaceted care pathways and experiences of survivors from their perspectives as well as those of key civil society stakeholders. This research aims to provide a nuanced understanding of the survivor's journey through the OSCC services, identifying potential areas for improvement to ensure that the system is truly responsive to the needs of those it aims to support. This study positions itself at a crucial juncture, seeking to bridge the gap between policy intentions and actual service delivery outcomes, thereby contributing to the enhancement of Malaysia's GBV response mechanisms.

Utilizing qualitative research methodologies, including case studies, in-depth interviews and thematic analyses, the study aims to shed light on the barriers to care, the interplay of societal and individual factors influencing GBV survivors' journeys and the efficacy of support systems in place. Through the development of detailed

personas and care pathways, the research encapsulates the personal narratives of GBV survivors, providing a profound insight into their struggles and resilience.

The study underscores the prevalence of GBV in Malaysia as a significant public health concern and a violation of human rights, highlighting the inconsistencies in available data and the gaps in support services. The OSCC initiative is a commendable step toward providing comprehensive care for survivors; however, challenges persist due to the dual legal systems, societal norms that discourage survivors from seeking help and various internal barriers within the service implementation to access care.

The findings indicate that survivors of GBV encounter a multifaceted and iterative care system with various entry points and trajectories. Initial medical treatment often lacks the necessary empathetic and holistic approach, neglecting psychological and social welfare needs. Interactions with law enforcement can exacerbate trauma due to a lack of GBV-sensitive training. Legal pursuits add further complexity, with survivors facing systemic delays and evidentiary challenges. The care process is marked by cycles of seeking aid, returning to potentially abusive situations



and re-seeking assistance. Furthermore, the support network for long-term healing is obscured, highlighting a gap in services for sustainable recovery and community reintegration, as well as the need for comprehensive care which includes psychological support, legal aid, and community assistance.

The study concludes with a strong recommendation for comprehensive policy reforms and improvements in both the legal and healthcare frameworks to better address GBV in Malaysia. It advocates for the integration of comprehensive, accessible, and culturally sensitive services across all levels of GBV support. The recommendation includes the implementation of targeted public awareness campaigns, the development of inclusive and adaptable service provisions that respect the diverse needs of survivors, and the establishment of robust training programmes for the first responders of GBV. Additionally, the recommendation calls for the reinforcement of inter-agency coordination to ensure a seamless

referral system and the adoption of policies that prioritise the safety, dignity, and autonomy of survivors. This approach not only addresses the immediate needs of GBV survivors but also fosters a supportive environment conducive to their long-term recovery and empowerment, addressing their mental health and wellbeing.

In synthesizing the experiences of GBV survivors with the structural and societal factors influencing their care pathways, this study offers a crucial insight into the barriers faced by survivors and provides a solid foundation for developing targeted interventions. The ultimate goal is to support survivors more effectively and work towards the eradication of GBV in Malaysia, ensuring a safer, more supportive environment for all individuals, regardless of their background or circumstances.

A top-down view of four hands holding a rectangular piece of white paper with deckled edges. The hands are positioned at the corners of the paper. The background is a dark, textured surface with white, vein-like cracks. The text on the paper is centered and reads: "The OSCC model in Malaysia represents a comprehensive and integrated approach to addressing GBV but *there is a need for rigorous monitoring and implemetation* to address challenges in the delivery of services."

The OSCC model in Malaysia represents a comprehensive and integrated approach to addressing GBV but *there is a need for rigorous monitoring and implemetation* to address challenges in the delivery of services.

Table of Contents

Introduction

List of Acronyms	9
Introduction	11
Objectives of the study	20
Methodology	22
Overview of research questions, methods & evidence	25
Personas & journeys	29
Character & origins	29
Empirical basis, strengths and weaknesses	29
Development	30
Thematic analysis	32

Findings of the study

Findings of the study	33
Survivor's background	33
At the hospital	33
Police interactions	35
After the incident	36
Advice for improving GBV care as suggested by the survivors themselves	37

Based
experien
recommen
you have
OSCC S

Did you
treated
respect
OSCC

Can you
little about
backgro

on your
ce, what
adations do
to improve
ervices?

a feel
with
in the
C?

share a
at your
ound?

Personas

Personas & pathways	38
Teng Lan: Dealing with Rape (A)	39
Faraz Aziz: Healing from long-term abuse (B)	47
Shreelatha A/P Venugopal: Confronting emotional & physical abuse (C)	53
Fatima Hossain: Coping with intimate partner violence, a refugee perspective (E)	55
Journey(s)	59

Key Findings

Key Findings	61
Gender Norms, as a barrier to accessing GBV Support Services	77
Gender and its intersectionality	78
Existing strengths and potential in Malaysia's OSCC Model	79
Recommendations	81
References	90
Annex	94

List of Acronyms

AWAM All Women’s Action Society

CSO Civil Society Organizations

GBV Gender-based violence

DV Domestic Violence

DVA Domestic Violence Act

GP General (Medical) Practice/ General Practitioner

IPV Intimate partner violence

LGBTIQ+ Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, queer and others

UNU-IIGH United Nations University International Institute for Global Health

OSCC

One-Stop Crisis Centre

TFGBV

Technology-facilitated gender-based violence

UNHCR

United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNFPA

United Nations Population Fund

UNU

United Nations University

WAO

Women's Aid Organisation

UX

User Experience

UNDP

United Nations Development Programme

Introduction

Gender-Based Violence (GBV) is an umbrella term for any harmful act that is perpetrated against a person's will and that is based on socially ascribed (i.e. gender) differences between women and men or gender diverse individuals. It includes acts that inflict physical, sexual, or mental harm or suffering, threats of such acts, coercion, and other deprivations of liberty. These acts can occur in public or in private. GBV is interchangeably used with violence against women as women remain the most at risk and affected by GBV, GBV is broader and can also include violence perpetrated against gender non-conforming individuals and men. GBV is an extreme violation of fundamental human rights and a significant international public health concern, with long-term consequences and costs¹. Nearly one in three women will experience physical and/or sexual intimate-partner violence (IPV), non-partner sexual violence, or a combination of both at least once in their lifetime (UN Women, 2023)²


In Malaysia, the limited data on GBV renders a

fragmented and inconsistent picture of the issue. Data available from 2018 shows an estimated 19% lifetime prevalence of IPV in Malaysia for married/partnered women aged 15 to 49 years (WHO, 2018). However, Malaysia is the only country in the WHO Western Pacific region that has no recorded IPV prevalence since 2018 (WHO 2018³). A 2020 systematic review of the literature on GBV in Malaysia (Kadir Shahar, et al., 2020⁴) reported that IPV prevalence ranges widely between 4.94% (Othman et al., 2021⁵) and 35.9% (Haron et al., 2021⁶). An earlier study, however, placed the prevalence of IPV among 710 female healthcare patients at 22%⁷. Furthermore, a total of 1234 cases of domestic violence (DV) were reported by the Department of Social Welfare in 2021, with the main perceived causes for domestic violence being hot temper (322 cases), misunderstanding (213 cases), and drug use (123 cases) (DOSW 2021⁸). However, these data pose a high risk of inaccuracy for DV prevalence as these cases represent merely those reported to the Royal Malaysia Police.

A limited picture of the GBV issue in Malaysia

As of 2018, Malaysia is the only country in the WHO Western Pacific region with no recorded IPV prevalence.

The data presented is derived ONLY from officially recorded cases.



Sourced from: The Department of Social Welfare, 2021

One-Stop Crisis Centres

GBV is a key public policy concern which inflicts health, social, and economic costs to women, families, and communities. Governments are increasingly acknowledging the need to address GBV, with many countries actively seeking to develop a health sector response. Integrating violence-focused services into different health service entry points, i.e. maternal health and accident and emergency services⁹, has been a key focus for many countries. Malaysia has been at the forefront of the development of the One-Stop Crisis Centres (OSCC) - an integrated health sector model – that aims to provide comprehensive care for women and children experiencing physical, emotional, and sexual violence. (MoH, Malaysia, 2015¹⁰; Columbini, 2011¹¹). The first OSCC was established in 1994 in the Accident and Emergency Department of the General Hospital in Kuala Lumpur, in partnership with women-centred NGOs. In 1996, the Ministry of Health (MoH) directed all state hospitals to set up OSCCs for women survivors of violence, located within the hospitals' Accident and Emergency Departments. This model has since been replicated in other Southeast Asian countries.

The OSCCs were designed and integrated within the health system to provide comprehensive and coordinated services to GBV survivors of various forms of violence and abuse (MoH, Malaysia, 2015⁴). According to the OSCC guidelines developed by the Kuala Lumpur General Hospital in 1994, its main aim is to provide round-the-clock patient-centred services for violence victims in one site, with the potential benefit of proximity to all services, reduced or no delays for examination, and ease of referral to specialized and non-health services¹². The comprehensive service provision es, police and legal support, social support, and referrals to shelter homes. Internal referral systems were created to refer OSCC cases to other specialized services on-site at the




1994

The first OSCC was established at Kuala Lumpur General Hospital's Emergency Department in collaboration with women-focused NGOs.



1996

The Ministry of Health mandated state hospitals to establish OSCCs for women survivors of violence in their Emergency Department.



Purpose of OSCC

To provide round-the-clock patient centred services for violence victims in one site, with the potential benefit of proximity to all services, reduced or no delays for examination.

hospital, while a collaborative network of police and social workers ideally facilitate external referrals to social welfare, shelter homes, and NGOs.

Since the OSCC's inception in 1994, there were various developments in the women's movement, the health system, and the legal system, including the MOH's leadership and commitment to a national scaling up of the OSCCs. However, this rapid scaling up has now put the spotlight on the effectiveness and sustainability of these services. Several important legal frameworks and health system attributes limit the practical function and operation of OSCC services around Malaysia.

Legal frameworks


The Domestic Violence Act 1994

The Domestic Violence Act (DVA) was passed in 1994 (Act 521) and has since been amended in 2012 and 2017. The DVA defines acts of domestic violence but does not introduce new punishments for these acts. Instead, these acts of domestic violence correspond to existing offences under the Penal Code (Act 574) and other relevant laws, under which perpetrators may be charged and punished¹⁸. The DVA criminalises various forms of domestic violence, including physical, psychological, sexual, and financial abuse, and applies to immediate family members including spouses, ex-spouses, de facto spouses, children (including adopted children), adults with mental or physical disabilities, and other persons considered part of the family. Importantly, the DVA applies to everyone; Muslims and non-Muslims, citizens or non-citizens. Positively, the DVA therefore allows a broad definition of the constituent acts of domestic violence. However, the DVA does not cover unmarried intimate partners, thereby excluding them from access to protection

orders and compensation in intimate partner violence cases.

Other legal contexts

In addition, Malaysian law does not recognise marital rape although it was recommended in both the 2006 and 2018 reports by the CEDAW Committee for the Malaysian government to criminalise it (paragraph 22¹⁹ and paragraph 24b²⁰). Furthermore, Malaysian law is also incongruent with Article 36 of the Istanbul Convention 2011²¹ which includes involuntary consent as a critical component of sexual assault, including rape. Another divergence from the Istanbul Convention 2011, Malaysia also criminalises consensual oral and anal sex (Sec 377A)²², which further excludes sexual diverse people from society; the Malaysian government does not recognise the LGBTIQ+ community, and this population faces punishment by the country's laws if they report their violence experiences (Mallow and Ying 2019)²³.



The DVA does not cover unmarried intimate partners, thereby excluding them from access to protection orders and compensation in intimate partner violence cases.

Health system framework

Whilst having OSCCs embedded in the health system offers unique proximity to other necessary medical services, this can limit the services available; focus remains on immediate medical care rather than holistic, forward-thinking support which are critical for violence survivors²⁴. Furthermore, Colombini et al. (2012)²⁵ have identified that the implementation of the OSCC model differs between hospital settings which leads to inconsistent care services delivery. This study also identified that health providers lack training to effectively deal with violence survivors, and facilities have limited budgets and lack referral systems to external support services. A lack of clarity about standard operational procedures (SOPs) for DV and IPV OSCC cases was also identified as a key barrier by providers (Colombini et al. 2012)²⁶.

The Women's Aid Organisation (WAO)²⁷ similarly reported that the quality of OSCC services differs among hospitals in Malaysia, and significant barriers keep OSCCs from functioning as intended. These barriers include: (1) A lack of routinely available emergency contraception; (2) The dependence

of abortion referrals for unwanted pregnancies on the views of the Head of the Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department; (3) Low levels (or lack) of follow-up to identify and treat HIV and other infections; and (4) Low levels (or lack) of support for the emotional wellbeing of the women who access the centres.

Rural services

The WAO²⁸ also reported that many survivors of domestic violence and rape live in rural areas with no access to a coordinated service; not all hospitals have a dedicated OSCC room for survivors and this problem is more pronounced in rural areas²⁹. In Malaysia, rural women, including Indigenous women, are deemed socially and economically disadvantaged vis-à-vis their urban counterparts due to their limited access to economic resources and opportunities. These existing inequalities may give rise to the manifestation of violence although the data is scarce. It is imperative that more OSCC services are made available for Indigenous and non-Indigenous women in rural areas to ensure they have accessible and coordinated services when needed without having to travel long distances to urban hospitals.

Internal barriers to care

Societal views

Apart from legal and health system frameworks that limit the practical function and operation of OSCC services, violence survivors also experience internal barriers to care pathways. Othman et al. (2014) identified the following barriers to discussing domestic violence in clinical consultations:

- 1** Socio-cultural norms such as valuing privacy
- 2** Preserving the family unit and maintaining the family's reputation
- 3** Upholding traditional gender roles
- 4** Fear of the abuser
- 5** Feeling ashamed and self-blame

The literature shows that many Malaysian GBV survivors hold very skewed views on GBV. For example, in the study by WAO in 2021,

52.4%

women respondents

justify domestic violence as a normal reaction to everyday stress and frustration.

Similarly, in this report, WAO³⁰ identified the following community attitudes towards VAW as the most prevalent in Malaysia:

- 1** Excusing the perpetrator and holding women accountable
- 2** Disregarding women's right to consent
- 3** Mistrusting women's reports of violence

They report that only about:

52.7%

of Malaysians are likely to oppose violence-endorsing attitudes (WAO, 2021³⁰)

46.3%

of Malaysians support gender equality (WAO, 2021³⁰)

Men, particularly older men, displayed more negative and uncertain/neutral responses compared to women.

Non-physical violence and cyber harassment

Generally, there is minimisation of non-physical violence in Malaysia in comparison with physical violence, according to a WAO 2021 study, which can lead to less help-seeking from survivors. According to the findings, 15.2% of the study population does not consider cyber stalking as a form of violence, while 6.7% express uncertainty. The minimisation and/or lack of knowledge towards cyber harassment as a whole is a recurring theme, as seen by the 64.6% of Malaysians who endorse the dissemination of nudes without the consent of the sender, as well as from survivors' internalised doubt of the violence experience and the lack of formal support mechanisms in place for online abuse.

An increasing trend of online technology use has facilitated an increase in technology-facilitated GBV (TFGBV) in recent years which are more difficult to track, record, and report. *Disrupting Harm in Malaysia 2022*³¹, a research project generating evidence on the nature and scope of online child sexual exploitation and abuse, highlights the issues around sexual GBV and child sexual abuse in the country; they found that discomfort discussing sex and stigmatisation of victims discourages children from raising concerns and can deter both children and adults from reporting incidences of online child sexual abuse and exploitation. 82% of frontline workers interviewed said that they believed that stigma from the community negatively influenced reporting. Additionally, there is a lack of knowledge about reporting mechanisms that children, caregivers, and the community can access. 74% of frontline workers agreed that a lack of knowledge around reporting mechanisms was a key barrier to tackling online child sexual exploitation and abuse in Malaysia.

General low help-seeking behaviours

There is generally a low proportion of violence


survivors who seek formal OSCC or hospital support services, indicating an overwhelming lack of awareness towards health services as a resource for support. Oon et al. (2016)³² found that 82.9% of the 234 respondents had disclosed their partners' abusive behaviour. However, these women preferred to discuss their situation with family (parents, siblings) and close friends, not the authorities. The 16.5% of respondents who sought help from the authorities did so at the hospital. This is similar to the findings of the 2021 study by WAO³³ that described help-seeking behaviours of 1000 participants from the general Malaysian population and 106 survivors. If women were to experience domestic violence, they found that most Malaysians would first approach family members (30.5%) before reaching out to the police (29.2%). Notably, only 0.4% of respondents would approach health clinics and 1.4% would approach hospitals. Attitudes in seeking help slightly shifted for sexual harassment, as most Malaysians would first approach the police (34.4%), followed by family members (26.0%). The report found only 1.2% and 0.3% of respondents would approach hospitals or health clinics respectively following incidents of sexual harassment. Since disclosing one's experience of GBV is the first step in seeking help and accessing care pathways, further research is needed to explore and fully understand these low help-seeking behaviours. A better understanding of these internal barriers to care will help to increase survivors' awareness of OSCCs and feel comfortable using the services available.

Intersectionality

Individuals experience violence differently based on the complex interplay of their multiple identities and social contexts, making intersectionality approaches of gender with other social strata such as age, ethnicity, education, and class, imperative to understanding GBV and support survivors. Evidence suggests that the estimated prevalences and experiences of GBV in

Malaysia vary hugely across different groups, particularly among those who are uneducated and unemployed, those who have diverse gender and sexual identities, and among refugee groups and disabled women. For this reason, these stratas must be considered in GBV and OSCC service research and policy making.

In the study of 710 female healthcare patients conducted by Yut-Lin & Othman (2008)³⁴, Malaysian Indian (57.5%) had the highest positive screening of IPV, followed by Malay women (32.5%) and the lowest were among Malaysian Chinese women (10%). Compared with women who had not experienced IPV, IPV survivors were much younger, less educated, and less affluent. In other words, women from lower-income households were twice as likely to experience IPV as women from higher-income households. Similarly, a 2016 qualitative study by Ghani et al.³⁵ suggested that women who are unemployed and uneducated are more at risk of domestic violence; the majority of the violence survivors in their study were low-academic achievers, unemployed, and were highly dependent on their husband's income. These findings reflect that women who are incapable of financially providing for themselves and their children are more at risk of experiencing GBV.



Gender identity is an important intersectionality when considering rates of violence; Hamid (2021)³⁶ reported that transgender women in Malaysia experience high rates of GBV including domestic violence, exploitation, and harassment. This population also often experience difficulties accessing

support services and receive inadequate, discriminatory, and stigmatising treatment from service and care providers, with the COVID-19 pandemic compounding existing factors (Osborn, 2022)³⁷. Possible reasons why transgender women experience higher rates of GBV compared to their cis-gender counterparts, and why it can be challenging for them to access services include greater financial reliance on their live-in partners which creates greater opportunity for financial abuse³⁸, they often face difficulties accessing medical treatment³⁹, and they face more barriers to finding stable housing and employment⁴⁰. Although many transgender people in Malaysia belong to the B40 group, many are ineligible to receive government aid due to their gender presentation (Hamid 2021)⁴¹. Other members of the LGBTIQ+ community, including sexually diverse people, are also subjected to high rates of sexual harassment, violence, and discrimination; whilst there is insufficient data to support this, Mallow and Ying (2019)⁴² conclude that this violence stems from social stigma. Of central importance, this community is not recognised by the government which only exacerbates barriers to seeking support and care services.

Further, a policy brief by the WAO (2021)⁴³ draws on findings from capacity-building workshops to explore sexual and GBV (SGBV) among refugee communities in Malaysia. They report that refugee women are at significant risk of SGBV due to their lack of legal status in the country, the normalisation of violence within the refugee communities, and the inaccessibility of protection and justice mechanisms. These factors are exacerbated by xenophobia against refugees which also hinders their ability to seek help. WAO (2021)⁴⁴ reports that refugees experiencing SGBV and harassment at the hands of their informal employers are reluctant to file a report of the violence with the police for fear of being detained and refugees who have experienced GBV by Malaysian nationals fear that no one, including authorities, will believe the word of a refugee over a Malaysian.

In addition, women and girls with disabilities experience both GBV and unique forms of abuse and violence that are disability based. Their vulnerability to violence is exacerbated by other forms of social exclusion such as age, location, ethnicity, sexual and gender diversity, class, and importantly, the nature of their disability. For instance, people with disabilities face many myths and misconceptions about their sexuality that perpetuate stigma, discrimination, and violence; they can be seen as incapable of making their own reproductive decisions, are often viewed as being hypersexual, and as unworthy of pleasure and intimacy (ARROW, 2021⁴⁵).

COVID-19 pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic not only worsened domestic violence cases around the country, but also made it more challenging for survivors to seek care and support, particularly for those from minority groups. The WAO⁴⁶ reported a 150 percent increase in calls to its hotline and an 80 percent increase in messages to its WhatsApp distress channels compared to the same period in the previous year pre-pandemic lockdowns. Further, the Royal Malaysia Police recorded 3,258 domestic violence cases during the first COVID-19 Movement Control Order (MCO) (October 2019–March 2020) and 3,080 cases during the second MCO (March 2020–October 2020), and the rate of domestic violence cases reduced slightly after the government loosened the MCO restrictions (Mulok et al., 2022⁴⁷). Based on reports, domestic violence increased during the MCOs due to: (1) Abusers were always with the victim, increasing the chance of proximal conflict; (2) Social isolation reduced opportunities for other outlets to channel stress; (3) Financial problems and future uncertainties increased conflicts; (4) Victims were unable to run away from the domestic violence situation due to movement restrictions; (5) Absence of support from others with no place to seek recovery or support as many services closed during MCOs and; (6) Victim's dependence on the abuser may have increased as a result of restrictions, which

exacerbates abusive potential. Importantly, it was not the MCOs that led to increased abuse directly, but rather that domestic violence that was already happening was made worse by the fact that victims were unable to flee from their attackers.

Therefore, with this background, this study aims to conduct an overall assessment of GBV responses and OSCC services in Malaysia for generating evidence to inform recommendations for the delivery of said services. Several key background considerations are necessary for this report. These include: (1) The intersection of civil and Syariah laws in domestic violence cases; (2) Other legal framework gaps; (3) Health system shortcomings including a lack of funding, a lack of training for healthcare and frontline workers and inconsistent referral pathways; (4) The absence of coherent services for rural and Indigenous women; and (5) Internal care barriers, societal perceptions, and stigmas.

Essentially, the law plays an important role in preventing violence, guaranteeing protection including remedy, reliefs, and support services to those who have experienced violence, and supporting the recovery of survivors of violence by continuing to protect and offer services for recovery. There are critical gaps in the legal framework that do not resonate with Malaysia's international commitments to human rights, equity, and gender equality. Of note are the legislative gaps in the DVA 1994 regarding unmarried intimate partners, and other legal contexts like LGBTIQ+ rights and recognition and marital rape.

Intersectionality is also a key focus in this study, aiming to understand how different social stratas contribute to experiences of violence, including rates of violence among certain groups and barriers to accessing and seeking OSCC care services. Perspectives from a range of diverse communities have been gathered in this study to better understand the nuanced importance of different stratifies on violence experiences in Malaysia.

Objectives of the study

1

To assess the care pathways and bottlenecks related to the awareness, access, referrals and quality of services available (e.g., reporting, medical help, counselling shelters, OSCC) from the perspectives and experiences of survivors, and key civil society stakeholders (e.g., NGOs, CSOs, activists).

2

To identify the intersectionality of gender with poverty, ethnicity, race, religion, education, disability, marital and migration status and evaluate its impact in shaping women's experiences with GBV support services.

3

To suggest policy recommendations for strengthening the health system response to GBV in Malaysia.

Can you walk me step-by-step, through how you managed to get to the OSCL?

Based on your experience, what recommendations do you have to improve OSCL services?

Can you please describe how services within the OSCL were coordinated?

How did you feel about the services coordination between the police and the hospital?

Did the police facilitate you lodging a police report?

Did you feel treated with respect in the OSCL?

How did you feel about the OSCL services?

At any point, were you required to obtain the report yourself?

Was the information communicated clearly to you?

Can you share a little about your background?

Do you think the resources available are sufficient to support GBV survivors?

Were you contacted by any medical social worker from the Department of Welfare?

Were you able to access your own medical report?

Did you receive follow up mental health or psychosocial support outside OSCL?

What do you think of the quality of the services provided by the OSCL?

Were you asked to make a police report prior to accessing OSCL services?

Were you required to visit or travel to other places yourself to seek assistance, instead of the staff coming to you?

Was there any extra cost to attend the OSCL, eg: a babysitter, transportation, loss of money from taking time off?

What do you think of the care and services obtained after your discharge from the OSCL?

Can you please describe how services within the OSCL were coordinated?

Methodology

Qualitative research methods such as in-depth interviews, case studies, and storytelling were used. Detailed guidelines were prepared to facilitate the interviews and discussions with the GBV survivors and other stakeholders (Annex C-F). The participants were recruited with the help of civil societies supporting GBV survivors and the field work was carried out by trained UNU-IIGH researchers accompanied by translators who speak Malay or Chinese or Tamil fluently. The minimum cutoff date for the selection of the participants was maintained to survivors who had sought services at OSCC in 2019 or later. Several survivors sought the services before 2019 but continued to come to the OSCC multiple times until recently due to their situations. Some of the participants did not seek any services at the OSCC due to multi-layered barriers, which are discussed in detail in the findings section. The stories and experiences of these survivors were retained and presented in the study as they are crucial to inform the findings for ensuring that the services are trauma-informed and inclusive to all genders. Efforts were made to identify GBV survivors across the demographics including age groups, refugee or migrant status, marital status, ethnicity and religion, women living with disabilities, LGBTIQ+ individuals, disadvantaged socio-economic groups, and pregnant women. Due to difficulties in accessing GBV survivors from Sabah and Sarawak, they were not interviewed but CSO representatives and medical social workers who closely support survivors in Sabah and Sarawak were included in the interviews.

We used semi-structured interview guidelines to conduct the interviews and the case studies. This was guided and modified by the GBV service assessment methodology (2020). The qualitative data were analysed with the help of NVivo software using a thematic framework approach. The interview guide and analysis were guided by the lenses of gender, human

rights, trauma-informed care, and the World Health Organization's (WHO) Guidelines for Medico-Legal Care for Victims of Sexual Violence and the WHO's Ethical and Safety Recommendations for Intervention Research on Violence Against Women. The GBV services at the OSCC were assessed by looking into the nine key areas proposed by UN Women in their 17 GBV assessment service methodology (2020) (Annex H)⁴⁸. This guide highlights how an assessment is necessary to identify the needs, existing capacity to meet those needs, needs that are not being met, and establishing goals and objectives for meeting the unmet needs (UN Women, UNFPA, WHO, UNDP, & UNODC, 2015) (Annex H).

The case studies were presented in the form of personas and journeys of GBV in the health system. The key findings emerging from the qualitative data were presented as excerpts and narratives. The narratives presented have been made anonymous and paraphrased for few cases as necessary to protect the identities and confidentiality of the individuals involved. Paraphrasing has been employed to ensure clarity and coherence of the stories while maintaining the integrity and essence of the original accounts. This approach enables a respectful and ethical presentation of sensitive experiences, facilitating a broader understanding without compromising personal privacy. Graphical illustrations were used to show the typical journey of GBV survivors.

Stakeholders workshop

A stakeholders' workshop with CSOs, NGOs, researchers, doctors, lawyers, and activists promoting gender rights working on GBV were convened to gain a more nuanced understanding of the challenges faced by survivors in Malaysia. We used different participatory activities such as 'problem tree', 'desired changes', and 'low and high

hanging fruits' to engage participants and collect data during the workshop. The personas and key findings of this study were also presented during the workshop to validate the findings and seek more information on the barriers and gaps that survivors face in accessing support at the OSCC in Malaysia. Efforts were made to ensure the nuances of the GBV services are well understood collectively through in-depth consultations with the CSOs during the stakeholder's workshop. The meeting report is attached as an Annex H.

Ethical considerations

The UNU Ethics Review Board approved the study (ERB Ref No.: 202006/05, dated 23rd June 2023). For the next stage of the study, we propose a separate study that collect health/patient data from the OSCC for which protocol will be submitted to the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the Ministry of Health for research ethics clearance. A signed Memorandum of Agreement between UNU-IIGH and the Ministry of Health would be obtained before the submission. However, the progression of this research phase is contingent

upon the willingness of the involved parties to share the relevant data and the availability of funding for the research project.

Before any data collection, we obtained informed consent (Annex B) from participants, clearly explaining the study's purpose, data use, and their rights, with materials available in various formats for accessibility through a participant information form (Annex A). We prioritised confidentiality and anonymity, removing identifiable information, and securing data access to protect participants. Recognising the sensitive nature of GBV, we took measures to ensure participants' safety and well-being, providing support resources and professional assistance for those distressed by the discussion.

Limitations of the study

This study drew insights primarily from interviews conducted with GBV survivors and representatives from CSOs with a focus on the demand side of service provision. While this perspective is invaluable in understanding the experiences and needs of survivors, it is important to acknowledge that the absence of

This study is critical in bringing together the voices of GBV survivors and the challenges they face in seeking help and accessing services.

Persona 1

Persona 2


Persona 3

perspectives from hospital staff working in the OSCC significantly limits the outcome of the study. These professionals face their own set of challenges – i.e., understaffing, high work pressure, inadequate resources, and potentially a lack of specialised training in dealing with GBV cases – which can profoundly impact the quality and effectiveness of the care provided to survivors.

Moreover, the findings of this study are based on a limited number of interviews which may not capture the full spectrum of experiences and challenges faced by GBV survivors. However, it is our firm belief that if even one survivor is facing barriers to receiving care and support, it is a matter of concern that warrants attention. Everyone has the right to access health care and support services irrespective of their background or the frequency of such cases. Recognising and addressing the challenges faced by both survivors and healthcare providers are crucial steps toward improving the support system for all individuals affected by GBV.

In light of these limitations, we also propose future research that aims to incorporate the experiences and challenges faced by the hospital and OSCC staff. Understanding these perspectives is essential for developing comprehensive strategies that address the needs of both service providers and survivors, ensuring that the healthcare system is equipped to offer empathetic, informed, and effective support to all GBV survivors.

Despite its limitations, this study is critical in bringing together the voices of GBV survivors and the challenges they face in seeking help and accessing services. It captures various intersectionalities of gender and illustrates how these affect and impact GBV survivors. The findings have the potential to inform policymakers to strengthen the existing system for better implementation, adhering to the principle of leaving no one behind. Every experience, regardless of its nature, deserves recognition and inclusion in our efforts to improve support systems and healthcare services.



Persona 4

Persona 5



ANNEX A Participant Information Sheet

Experiences and perspectives of GBV survivors and other stakeholders on the One Stop Centre (OSC) in Malaysia

Introduction
We are a research team from the United Nations University - International Institute of Health, Governance and the Environment (UNU-IIGH), conducting an assessment of Malaysia's response to gender-based violence (GBV) on behalf of UNFPA. Our goal is to develop recommendations that safeguard the rights of individuals of all genders affected by GBV.

This information sheet outlines the details of the study. We would like to interview/focus groups so we can better inform them of the improved services you wish to participate, or if you have any further questions regarding the study, you would like us to explain further in this form, please let us know if you are ready.

Purpose of the research
While Gender-based Violence (GBV) is a serious public health problem, as well as significant health, welfare, legal and other economic burden on communities, there is a lack of reliable and consistent data on the prevalence of GBV in Malaysia. GBV is still largely considered a crime, and not a public health issue. We want to prevent this from happening and support services for survivors from different gender identities and sexual orientations. We want to prevent this from happening and support services for survivors from different gender identities and sexual orientations. We want to prevent this from happening and support services for survivors from different gender identities and sexual orientations.

Overview of research questions, methods & evidence

The study addressed three questions:



1

How do GBV survivors and critical civil society stakeholders assess the care pathways and bottlenecks related to the awareness, access, referrals, and quality of services available (e.g., reporting, medical help, counselling, shelters, OSCC)?



2

Which intersecting identities with gender have the highest influence (both positively and negatively) on how survivors experience GBV services in Malaysia?



3

How can the health system's response to GBV in Malaysia be strengthened to address women's positive and negative experiences?

The study drew primarily from qualitative, one-on-one, semi-structured interviews conducted with GBV survivors primarily in English. In cases where a translator was needed (e.g. Somalia, Burmese Chin or Rohingya survivors), the researchers were accompanied by CSO representatives to help in translating the interview process. There were two rounds of interviews: the first round was undertaken mainly with the survivors while the second round included the CSO representatives and medical social workers. Findings from the first round helped improve the methodology for the second round and to triangulate the findings that emerged from the first round of interviews directly with the survivors.

In the first round, nine interviews were conducted with women who had experienced

different permutations of emotional, physical, or sexual violence for short or extended periods. UNU-IIGH sought to recruit interviewees whose trauma, life circumstances and socioeconomic attributes could bring to light how intersectionality shaped their overall experience of care pathways. The study's population consisted largely of female GBV survivors who accessed or tried to access services at an OSCC centre. However, best attempts were made to include male and transgender survivors about their experiences with seeking help for GBV, irrespective if they managed to get services through OSCC or not. The researchers sought to conduct interviews with married and single GBV survivors from different age groups, ethnicities, religions, and educational backgrounds who felt able to speak about their experiences.



Citizenship rights was another critical intersectional attribute researchers sought to unpack. Consequently, considerable effort was made to identify and recruit immigrants and refugees residing in Malaysia. Although the type of violence experienced by survivors (mental, physical, sexual) was not a factor in the sampling, it featured prominently in the analysis of the interview transcripts and the personas' development.

The evidence base of our personas is uneven, with some personas drawing directly on GBV survivor accounts and others relying more on discussions with social workers and CSO support staff with relevant experience, and the available literature.

There are a total of 5 personas of GBV survivors presented in the study. They are drawn from primary data, and some are supported by secondary information. Details as follows:

The personas for **Teng Lan, Faraz Aziz, Shreelatha A/P Venugopal**, the first three personas presented in the report, draw on the following sources of evidence:

- Analysis of the eleven interviews with GBV survivors
- A comprehensive analysis of the GBV policy landscape in Malaysia prepared by UNU-IIGH.
- Experiences of other GBV survivors with similar settings drawn from the relevant, recent peer-reviewed literature focusing on Malaysia and Southeast Asia.



The transgender persona narrative Kristine Kamil draws on:

- An in-depth interview with a CSO representative who had helped a transgender woman to obtain treatment at an OSCC.
- Data gathering through informal and confidential discussions with representatives with other transgender survivors and CSO representatives. This decision was driven by the expressed concerns from participants about the risks involved in sharing sensitive information through formal, recorded interviews. Adopting this approach allowed us to build trust with our participants and encouraged them to share more openly, providing us with valuable insights that might not have been accessible through traditional data collection methods. This strategy was particularly important for ensuring the safety of our participants and for capturing a deeper, more nuanced understanding of the subject matter, highlighting its effectiveness in research contexts where sensitivity and confidentiality are

paramount. Detailed notes were made from these discussions and later analysed.

- Insights gathered from transgender participants and survivors in the stakeholder workshop involved in supporting GBV survivors from the Malaysian LGBTIQ+ community.



Fatima Hossain, the refugee persona narrative draws on:

- An in-depth interview with two female GBV survivors from the refugee community.
- An interview with a CSO representative who supports the refugee community and their children.
- Presentation on a GBV programme for refugees in Malaysia shared by 'Doctors Without Borders' (Médecins Sans Frontières) coordinator.
- Relevant up-to-date literature.

Along with the abovementioned, 12 more detailed case studies were analysed and reflected upon in the findings section. These case studies were documented and shared by AWAM, one of the key CSOs in Kuala Lumpur, who also obtained the survivors' consent to share their information. It includes details of the experiences of violence and help-seeking behaviour of the survivor. However, it does not reflect much about their long-term pathways to care and follow up services. Therefore, personas have been restricted largely to first hand data collected by UNU-IIGH.

The insights on male GBV survivors and their experiences have been included in the findings section but not presented as a standalone persona due to lack of adequate evidence. This indicates a significant gap in our understanding on GBV against males but also underscores the invisibility of male victims who are reluctant to report incidents due to prevailing gender norms and societal expectations that discourage acknowledgement of their victimhood and help-seeking.

Personas & Journeys

Character & origins

Personas and pathways originate in user-centered design. Personas were created to convey crucial information about a particular group by incorporating data from multiple sources to create a static snapshot of the target user demographic^{49 50}. In specific, personas were originally designed to:

1. Establish a common vocabulary and reference framework for the communities of practice involved in service and product design (e.g., web front-end and back-end software developers, marketing, and product and service professionals).
2. Serve as tangible and relatable characters to assist design teams and other stakeholders in empathising with the group whose behaviours they seek to influence by illustrating the impact of different design decisions on the target demographic.

Whereas personas offer a static, two-dimensional representation of the target population, journey maps illustrate the user's experience over time⁵¹. Personas and journeys use compelling visual and storytelling techniques to clarify and invite thinking and reflection. Personas often integrate storytelling and visuals, whereas journeys depend on graphical depictions. They strive to represent the GBV experiences of survivors with different type of GBV experiences and cut across different intersectionalities in Malaysia while understanding that no group of personas can completely grasp the complexities of these experiences, individual identities, or contexts.

In this study, personas and pathways illustrate the experiences of different groups of GBV survivors as they navigate the care pathways available, primarily those offered within the context of OSCC.

The personas developed for this study highlight the fraught choices GBV survivors make given their life circumstances and the care services they can access. Our portraits also reveal GBV experiences and thoughts as they interact with professionals at different service points along the care pathway, including health professionals, policemen and women, and social workers.

The journeys of this study highlight the GBV survivors' winding trajectories along the care pathways. The barriers they face, the multiple visits to get things done, garner the courage, or find the opportunity to take the next step, offer a different view of care pathways than the one described in policy documents.

Empirical basis, strengths and weaknesses

The nature and quality of evidence underlying personas and journeys may vary. In principle, personas and journey designers can draw on different data sources, qualitative and quantitative, primary, and secondary.

When discussing the information and data underpinning personas, it is essential to note that user-centered design does not maintain the same standards of rigor for data and

"Almost on making a police report will refuse to classify OSCC unless there is a police report."
(CSO REPRESENTATIVE, KUALA LUMPUR)

analysis as social science-based research approaches. Although there are established approaches for translating evidence into personas^{51 52}, in practice, the accuracy of personas is frequently impossible to validate^{52, 53}, not the least because data collection and analysis are rarely documented.

The less-than-rigorous approach of the personas methodology has raised concerns about' personas ability to communicate nuanced and challenging realities in ways that support reflection and meaningful action. Some researchers have even made the case that personas created in User Experience (UX) design often reinforce existing stereotypes, including gender stereotypes, rather than open a window into people's realities^{54, 55}. These weaknesses, however, are not integral to personas design and creation. For example, Wilson et al. (2018)⁵⁶ have suggested that persona creation can become more nuanced and rigorous through a phenomenological approach to user data analysis. Similarly, this study built on analysis of qualitative interviews with GBV survivors using a thematic analysis approach, the details for which are provided later in the report.

Despite the perceived weaknesses in persona design and creation, the personas approach offers a compelling alternative to traditional reporting. Personas' and journeys' engaging and visually appealing narratives can capture readers' imagination more effectively than long documents. Additionally, personas and journeys can provide the starting point for coordination, debate, and brainstorming between different communities of practice. Lastly, the personas framework is particularly relevant for policy-oriented research on challenging and sensitive subjects, such as GBV, as it can communicate brutal realities without compromising participants' anonymity and privacy.

Development

User-centred design experts Pruitt and Adlin (2006)⁵² see the development of evidence-based personas consisting of the following six steps:

1. Identifying important categories of users.
2. Data processing.
3. Developing skeletons, i.e., rough outlines for the personas.
4. Transforming the skeletons into fully developed personas.
5. Validating the personas.

This process was adjusted in line with the resources and the goal of the study as follows:

Identifying the most critical categories of users.

The character of GBV experienced by interviewees provided the distinguishing feature of each persona. This is because the nature and duration of the abuse are deciding factors in the survivors' original trajectory through the care pathway. Rape victims' trajectories were usually short and direct: They decided to seek or not seek medical help, report or not report the incident. Long-term survivors typically followed a more convoluted path, enduring abuse for years before seeking help. Against this background, intersectionality, the interplay of survivor's attributes and life circumstances, further shaped the survivors' direction of journey.

Data processing and developing the personas' skeletons: The interview guide and preliminary thematic analysis provided the initial template for the persona's skeleton, outlining the attributes and issues the personas would cover. Accordingly, each persona in the study covers five principal topics:

- **Background and demographics:** The nature of the GBV context and history of the relationship that underpins the incident and any available demographic information.
- **At the hospital:** Interviewees' experience at the emergency ward, the hospital, and the OSCC.
- **Interactions with the police:** Interviewees' interactions with the police, their treatment and advice they received, and the actions taken to protect them.
- **After the incident:** Details of what happened in the aftermath.
- **Intersectionality:** How survivors' intersecting identities affect their experiences at the different points in the care pathway.

Transforming the skeletons into personas: Detailed findings for each area were organized against the key areas in relation to the services and its interaction with survivors' experiences in terms of information and awareness, accessibility, availability, adaptability, appropriateness, safety, processes of consent

and confidentiality, data collection and management and linking with other services, follow-up and advice care across the three sectors of health, justice and policing and social services. However, the focus was more drawn on services provided at OSCCs.

These findings were related to the demographic information collected during the interview, including age, marital status, nationality, and ethnicity. This analysis led to some critical choices on the attributes and story of each persona. For example, since both rape victims in our sample are young Malaysian professional women, so is our persona. Equally, the long-term GBV survivor is a married woman with children

Thematic analysis

The study adopted a thematic approach⁵⁷ to organize and analyse the information and insights shared by GBV survivors.

The thematic analysis drew on extensive notes taken from each interview and informal interactions with different stakeholders. The nine key areas across all the following essential services were assessed (UN Women, UNFPA, UNICEF 2020)⁵⁰ and presented in the findings section and based on the gap, relevant recommendations are provided at the end of the report.

Thematic Analysis



Backgrounds & Demographics

This theme captured information on the nature of the incident for which GBV survivors sought help, the context and history of the relationship that underpins the incident and any demographic information (age, marital status, profession, education) mentioned in the interview.



At the hospital

This theme captured the interviewees' experience at the emergency ward, the hospital, and the One-Stop Crisis Centre (OSCC). This included their treatment by the medical staff (both positive and negative), details on the physical examination and the environment in which this took place, and any advice and guidance or follow-up treatment they were offered going forward (e.g., counselling or linking with social or legal services).



Interactions with the police

This theme covered interviewees' interactions with the police, their treatment, the support and advice they received, and the actions taken to protect them.




After the incident

Details of what happened in the aftermath of the incident.



Recommendations

Suggestions on how to improve care provision for GBV survivors.



Findings of the study

Survivors' background

A total of twelve in-depth interviews were conducted with GBV survivors, one of which was a male GBV survivor. The youngest four interviewees were rape victims. Five interviewees were assaulted by their husbands and one by her relatives, specifically her mother-in-law and brother-in-law. One was unmarried but was physically assaulted by one of the men staying in the same apartment in a co-living arrangement.

Out of the three, the two rape victims were single and held white-collar jobs, one in marketing and the other in the social care sector. The third rape victim was a transgender woman from refugee community and was working in a community-based organization. The fourth rape victim was a male, who was working as an admin personnel in a research organization.

Five married interviewees had been physically and emotionally abused for many years. Within this group, one had suffered sexual violence for fifteen years. The sixth married woman was physically assaulted and threatened with a knife by her mother-in-law and her older brother, along with her husband.

The Malay man was subjected forced to oral and penetrative sex repeatedly since he was young until he moved out of the city.

One interviewee was a divorcee who was assaulted publicly by her ex-husband. Another interviewee was a foreigner, single and working in an international organization.


One of the married GBV survivors was a Russian who had married a Malay man who was residing in the country legally having obtained a visa. The second interviewee was a Somalian refugee woman who experienced domestic violence. The third interviewee was a Burmese refugee seeking asylum with support from the United Nations Refugee Agency (UNHCR).

All interviewees – apart from the rape victims, unmarried foreigner, and the male GBV survivor – had children.

It is worth noting that two of the interviewees had some knowledge of social and legal policies concerning GBV survivors: one of the rape victims had been involved in social work and used to work for UNHCR, and another one used to work for an international organization and was at the time of the interview working as an advocate of children rights.

At the hospital

Of the 11 women interviewed, seven spoke of their overall experience at the hospital negatively and four in favourable terms. One interviewee did not talk explicitly about the quality of care she received but was one of the



few referred to the psychiatric and social welfare departments following her medical examination and treatment.

The survivors who had negative experiences during their time at the hospital were the two rape victims, the GBV survivor with a 15-year history of sexual and physical abuse, and the woman who was battered by her ex-husband. The reasons for their dissatisfaction can be summarised as follows:

- The staff attending to them were insensitive and treated them without empathy, seemingly unaware of the difficult circumstances and choices that GBV survivors must make or how trauma is expressed. In two cases, the interviewees' effort to maintain their calm was seen as evidence of falsehood, i.e., that they could not suffer abuse since they appeared so composed.
- In some cases, it was unacceptably difficult to get treated. Both rape victims were denied treatment until they had submitted a police report. One of them had to visit several hospitals before she could receive post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP). Both rape victims asked for a female doctor to attend to them but without success.
- The doctors and nurses lacked the experience and training necessary to handle such cases or to support traumatised GBV survivors.
- The space where they had to wait to be admitted and the set-up of the medical examination was far from ideal as they

were in the hospital's emergency ward, which is often bustling. The interviewee whose ex-husband broke her nose felt exposed ("out in the open"), especially after her assailant also arrived at the hospital. One of the rape victims mentioned that people were coming in and out of the examination room.

- They were given little information and advice on the next steps, including counselling and social welfare support referrals. Most interviewees could not obtain a copy of their medical report, which is critical in securing a protection order and other legal proceedings.

The two women with positive experiences at the hospital noted the kindness, empathy, and insight showed by their doctors. In one of these cases, one doctor understood that her patient had suffered domestic abuse with the few words that the GBV survivor managed to utter and connected her to WAO.

Hospital doors open,
but compassion is closed.

Interviewees with a history of abuse had been hospitalized numerous times. They still refrained from declaring to the staff attending them that their injuries were the result of IPV because they were:

- Unconscious or too disoriented upon arrival.
- Accompanied by their assailant or his family.
- Afraid that the violence would escalate, or they would never see their children again should their assailant find out that they had reported them to the staff.

Police interactions


In general, all the interviewees did not trust the police to protect them and help them seek justice. Only one study participant, a married Russian woman, who had a relatively constructive interaction with the police. The inspector at the police station where she submitted her report called her to ensure that she felt safe and to offer his protection.

The remaining interviewees described their interactions with the police in negative terms, albeit to varying degrees. Many interviewees spent hours and days trying to convince the police to act on their behalf and set legal proceedings in motion. In one case, an interviewee was kept at the police station for

six hours and was threatened with arrest unless she dropped the charges against her mother-in-law, who had sought the intervention of the village elder on her behalf.

Interviewees indicated that police officers:

- Were often judgmental and insensitive towards GBV survivors. The young marketing professional who was raped mentioned that the police officers who accompanied her from the police station to the hospital for her medical exam told her she was essentially the one to be blamed for the rape. Another interviewee who wished to amend her original report to increase her chances of obtaining a restraining order was told that "she was creating trouble".
- Did not respond promptly to their pleas for help or take steps to ensure the safety of the victims. One interviewee who called the police while being threatened by her husband was advised to lock herself in her room and stay quiet until the following morning when a police officer would be dispatched to her home. Another interviewee was advised to drop the charges against her mother-in-law and was told that the police would



intervene the next time she was assaulted.

- Did not explain the choices available for their protection and implications. Two GBV survivors were unaware that they could obtain a restraining order against their abusive husbands, as this option was never suggested to them by the police.

After the incident

Most interviewees had yet to find a satisfactory legal resolution and carried scars that would take time to heal. Specifically:


Many interviewees had trouble obtaining the documentation needed to support their cases, such as copies of their medical reports.

The court hearing of the first rape victim was repeatedly postponed, which was particularly distressing as she wanted to keep her ordeal secret from her family. The second rape victim waged a battle to uncover the identity of her assailant, whom she had met over a dating app, to press charges formally. Two of the married GBV survivors were in the process of

getting a divorce. Another saw her case dropped as she had specified in her police report that she did not wish for her husband to be arrested.

The man who abused his wife physically and sexually for over fifteen years was never brought to justice because the medical report did not support the charges. The GBV survivor in question spent two years without seeing her children and suffers epileptic seizures because of the brain injuries he inflicted on her.

Many interviewees benefited from the legal and emotional support provided by WAO. Despite their trauma, they were willing to stand up and protect others in a similar position. The woman who survived fifteen years of abuse related that after the events that she discussed in the interview, she found herself in a hospital admission room, sitting next to another woman who was, to her eyes, clearly abused and traumatised but had gone unnoticed by the medical staff. She began to shout, drawing attention to the other woman needing immediate assistance and intervention.



Advice for improving GBV care as suggested by the survivors

Interviewees made the following recommendations to improve care pathways:

1 GBV survivors should not be required to submit a police report before they are admitted for treatment. Many who arrive at the hospital require immediate medical attention. Furthermore, women who have suffered this type of abuse often need time to process what had happened to them.


2 Medical staff and police officers attending to GBV survivors should be appropriately trained to handle such cases. They should learn the difficult choices GBV survivors must make, be aware that trauma may not be immediately apparent, and that abuse takes many forms, and be encouraged to treat GBV survivors with the empathy and respect they show to other patients

3 Doctors should also be willing and able to alert the police, especially when survivors are too traumatised or intimidated to do so.

4 Support and counselling should be easily accessible after the incident.

5 GBV survivors should be better informed of their choices, especially the steps they can take to ensure their safety as well as their family's. Their carers must be mindful that such information cannot be conveyed by simply passing them a brochure.

6 The police should respond promptly to GBV survivors' appeals for help and take the necessary actions to



Personas & *pathways*

Teng Lan: Dealing with rape (A)

Evidence base includes interviews with GBV survivors



Persona Name:

Teng Lan

GBV Incident:

Rape

Age:

28 years

Occupation:

Public Relations Manager

Education:

BA in Marketing

& Public Relations

from a local University

Marital Status:

Single

Children:

None

Ethnicity:

Malaysian Chinese

Citizenship:

Malaysian

Religion:

Buddhism

Lan never thought that such a thing could happen to her. Looking back, it seemed that the one thought that pervaded her thoughts the first hour after the assault was to get away and retreat to safety.

When she got to her apartment, her roommate, Lee, ran a bath for her and made her favourite tea. She did not have to explain much, and Lee did not press, although she could tell how worried she was. All she managed to tell her friend was that she was raped by two young men she met at the party.

Both women called in sick from work the next day, Lan from the high-profile public relations agency she works at, and Lee from a women's rights NGO. How could she possibly explain

this to her parents and her friends? How would people at work react if they ever found out about this?

Lee's gentle probing on whether her assailants had used protection had not cut through the fog that seemed to envelop her. Denial and shame retreated somewhat and were replaced by anger and anxiety. This is not what she dreams for herself and she felt like her life has ended. She was 28 years old, the first person in her family with a university degree.

While she was trying to make up her mind about what she should do, Lee appeared to be one step ahead of her. She was calling friends from the party who knew Lan's assailants to learn as much about them as possible. Lan

learn as much about them as possible. Lan could only recall their first names, but luckily, she was acquainted with the people who had introduced her to them.

She forced herself to get dressed, wincing as she put back clothes at the pain she felt in her genitalia, the inside of her thighs and her breasts where the two men had bitten her. She wished she had more time to think about how to handle this. Would she need to explain what happened to her to receive the post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) drugs and the morning-after pill that, according to Lee, she needed to protect herself against a possible HIV infection and to prevent a potential pregnancy? What would happen if she reported her rapists to the police? She knew very little about them except that they came from prominent business families and were probably well-connected. All these decisions and each one with more ominous implications than the next!

Lee drove her to a private clinic first, as they thought Lan would be treated faster and more discreetly there. However, after a short conversation with the receptionist and head nurse, it became apparent that this was not the case. Only public hospitals could attend to rape victims and administer the required medication. So, they drove to the main public hospital in their area.

Lan had almost decided to see her rapists brought to justice when they arrived. Luckily, Lee's earlier efforts had paid dividends, and they now knew her assailants' full names. A quick search on social media allowed her to verify their identity.

Her courage began to ebb at the reception desk. The receptionist discussed with Lee whether Lan could be admitted without having submitted a police report. She kept glancing reproachfully at Lan, who had tried to look presentable. Her nicely tailored outfit and light make-up were like armour to her and always have been, as opposed to the

preconceived notion that victims were not supposed to look well put together.

After speaking to the head nurse, Lan decided to go to the police station to report the incident. She wanted to conserve her energy, and publicizing this argument did not seem the best way to go about it.

At the police station, Lan's sense of unease and dismay increased. The female police officer who took her statement made some hurtful comments about young women's attitudes and lack of common sense as well as morals. Another police officer who joined them at some point to double-check some details mumbled something about this whole thing being her fault.

Under their uncomfortable stares, Lan tried to complete her statement as quickly and accurately as possible, giving as many details as she could remember.

Upon returning to the public hospital, Lan's dismay grew. Despite her wishes to be examined by a female doctor, she was assigned a male doctor and attendant. The young male doctor who performed the rape test was shy and would not even look her in the eye during the procedure, which made her feel even more uncomfortable. His questions and manner also implied, although not as pointedly as the police officers, that she was essentially responsible for her attack by being at the party in the first place.

She thought that a kind word and a gentler touch would have gone a long way to compensate for his inexperience. With only a flimsy curtain between her and the rest of the busy emergency room, Lan constantly felt on edge. Still, it was not until she was told that the hospital could not give her the PEP treatment or the morning-after pill that her dismay turned into desperation. The staff informed her that she was to be given the PEP only after she tested positive for HIV. However, the HIV test was not available at the hospital.

Why was everything so impossibly difficult?

Lee kept asking Lan whether the doctor offered any follow-up appointment or psychological support, but she could not remember. The receptionist pointed out that the hospital did not have a psychologist and that Lan should come by in case of complications. Realizing that Lan was at the end of her tether, Lee took her back to their apartment and spent two hours on her phone trying to find a hospital or clinic to administer the medication she needed. Her contacts came through, and Lan received treatment at a private hospital that specialised in family planning that evening. *This small win was overshadowed by the fact that she would need to wait three months for the test results.*

Lan spent the next four days at home, on medical leave, trying to deflect questions from her family and process what had happened to her. She wanted to keep the incident secret from her parents for as long as possible. Although she respected them and shared many of their values, she felt that their views on how a proper woman should behave and present herself were suffocating.

She slept poorly and was consumed with worry about her health and future. Since she had not received any follow-up support from the OSCC, Lee placed her in touch with friends from another NGO that offered counselling and legal aid to GBV survivors. She was hesitant at first, as she always considered herself a strong person, able to overcome anything independently. Still, Lan decided to try counselling after her first panic attack. She would take all the help she could get. The legal aid also proved invaluable in dealing with the police, who kept asking her for additional evidence, which Lan found highly distressing. She never received the forensic and medical reports, which, according to the hospital staff, could only be shared with the police. Over the next four months, she tried to regain a sense of normalcy. This was made increasingly challenging by her growing concerns about the possibility of contracting HIV infection. She regarded the legal proceedings as another mountain she had to climb. Lee and a dear cousin bolstered her throughout this period, showing her love and care. She slowly began to accept that she would never be the same person again and that healing would take time.

Interpretation of the indicators used in the personas and pathway illustrations are provided below:



A location where a service was sought but not received.



A location where a service was sought and received.



The dotted line indicates that the survivor's journey is in progress



Figure 1: Teng Lan's (A) care pathway.

Faraz Aziz: Healing from long-term abuse (B)

Evidence base includes interviews with GBV survivors



Persona Name:	Faraz Aziz
GBV Incident:	Sexual, emotional & physical abuse for 35 years
Age:	54 years
Occupation:	Homemaker
Education:	High School Certificate
Marital Status:	Married
Children:	2
Ethnicity:	Malay
Citizenship:	Malaysian
Religion:	Islam

When Faraz had to get patched up at the hospital after one of their ‘arguments’, her husband of 38 years ensured that he or another family member he trusted accompanied her. This made it almost impossible for Faraz to open up to any of the medical staff, and tried to convey her distress through her expression and subtle gestures.

While strangers and hospital staff often saw this as a sign of his concern and care, Faraz saw this as another way he sought to exert control over every aspect of her life. Her husband wanted her to remain alive but not in a position to challenge his authority over her.

She had spent so many years living in fear that she could hardly remember what it

meant to be happy and in control of her life.

Her older daughter, all grown up now with her own family, often provided a window into a life she could only dream about. Knowing what their mother went through, her two daughters often urged her to let them help, but she did not want to bring her troubles into their lives.

She also dreaded the idea of her family affairs becoming public knowledge. It was enough that she had to suffer. And suffered she had.

When her older daughter insisted on taking her to the hospital to treat a severe vaginal infection without her husband or any of her other relatives, Faraz finally felt in a position to mention something about her

circumstances to the doctor. It helped that the lady doctor who examined her was kind and thoughtful.

Although Faraz could only utter a few sentences, the doctor appeared to grasp her situation quickly. With Faraz's permission, she took down her details and explained that support was there for her if she wished to leave her situation. The doctor gave her two leaflets: one with the details of the government centre and another one of an independent NGO. Faraz was surprised at first. She thought that between the police and her family, there was no one else she could turn to for help.

After reading some information on the leaflets during her ride home, she gave them to her daughter for safekeeping, fearing that her husband might discover them.

Two days after she returned home, she was contacted by the people the doctor had spoken about. It was a new experience for her to have total strangers inquiring about her wellbeing and whether she felt safe. The woman on the phone mentioned that they could arrange to have her stay in one of the houses that her organization kept for cases such as hers.

The police need not be involved if she did not wish to, and no one apart from people she trusted needed to know that she had left her home. Faraz felt better once she learned all this. She had an option now that did not involve burdening her daughters or escalating things by going to the police.

One day after another beating and what she now recognises as rape, Faraz texted her daughter, explaining that she had decided to leave home with the help of the lady who had called her.

Three days before her planned getaway, Faraz gave her daughter a suitcase with a few changes of clothes, a few heirlooms, and pieces of jewellery she had inherited from her

parents. For everyone's safety, it was decided that until things settled down, Faraz and her daughters would only contact each other in case of an emergency via the NGO. It was decided that her younger daughter would move in with her older sister.

She had planned things so that the day of her escape was a market day. She hid all her essential documents at the bottom of one of her grocery bags. The lady from the NGO had arranged to pick her up in a nondescript car near one of the less busy parts of the market.

Her first two weeks at the shelter were full of anxiety. Faraz thought her husband would barge in at any minute, dragging her back to their house. When this did not happen, she started to interact with the other women in the safe house and began to calm down.

The examination lasted about ten minutes and felt rushed and superficial. Faraz was concerned that the medical report would reflect more the doctor's assumptions than the evidence inscribed on her body. After the conclusion of the medical examination, Faraz had to explain her story from the beginning to the staff psychiatrist on duty. Although the hospital offered Faraz a follow-up appointment with the psychiatrist, she decided not to take it. She was pleased with the counselling she received at the shelter and did not like her assigned doctor.

It felt so strange not to have to be afraid all the time. The first time she broke a plate while doing the dishes, Faraz had a minor panic attack that she worked through with the help of one of her housemates. Although she knew that she would not be punished for this, it appeared that it would take quite some time for her body and mind to realise that she was, at least for now, in a safe space. Her counsellor had explained as much in one of her bi-weekly visits. Knowing this added to the sense of control that Faraz slowly worked towards during the last two months.

Faraz allowed herself to think more about her future as her confidence grew, and she began to regain a sense of safety. She made long lists and plans for the next steps that she discussed with her appointed NGO staff and counsellor, trying to work through the implications of her different choices for herself and her daughters. It soon became apparent that all the solutions that would ensure her future, both in the short and longer term, involves the police.

The day she decided to report her husband to the police was one of the worst days of her life. The police behaved rudely toward her and her NGO support officer. To Faraz's dismay, people kept going in and out of the office where the two police officers held her supposedly private interview.

After she explained her situation, one of the police officers asked Faraz whether her husband provided for her and her daughters. When she admitted she had few complaints in that respect, the police officer pointed out that she should feel lucky instead of creating trouble for her husband, especially this late in the marriage. The NGO support officer's polite protestations seem to confirm the impression that Faraz was out to create trouble, because how could a 'good Muslim woman involve strangers in her marriage'?

When the police officers explained that they could not proceed unless they had some evidence, including medical reports, Faraz was at a loss. She did not even know that such documents existed, and even if she knew and was in the state of mind to ask for one, most of the time she was taken to the hospital, she was watched closely by her husband or someone else from his side of the family.

She was not given the chance to speak out,

even in the privacy of the examination room. All the doctors who had treated her in the past never asked her any questions about how she was injured or tried to create space for her to confide in them.

After discussing the issue with her NGO companion, Faraz realised that the only viable option was to proceed with the medical examination. A note from the police expedited her admittance. To her dismay, the female doctor who performed the examination had very little in common with the lady doctor from her previous visit.

The doctor was brusque and lacked the compassion and kindness of her last physician. Despite Faraz taking time to explain her history and circumstances at the beginning of the examination, the doctor kept pointing out that none of her injuries were recent and that many were part of the 'wear and tear' of married life.

Telling her story repeatedly to all these different people made her feel despondent. She just hoped that something good would come of this. So even though she had to go in the next day to see her assigned social worker and retell her story, she was glad to do so, as the lady gave her some invaluable advice on what she needed to include in her police statement to obtain a protection order against her husband and issue for a divorce even if she decided to not press charges.

She and her NGO case worker had to spend four hours at the police station before she was allowed to amend her initial statement that day. Despite the resentful attitude of the police officers, she had to deal with because she apparently continued to create work for them, she considered this as time well spent.

Interpretation of the indicators used in the personas and pathway illustrations are provided below:



A location where a service was sought but not received.



A location where a service was sought and received.



The dotted line indicates that the survivor's journey is in progress

Shortly after her two visits to the police station, her health, which was in bad shape after all the years of abuse, began, to deteriorate rapidly. She had trouble sleeping and developed seizures, which a later MRI revealed to be the result of repeated head injuries she had received over the years.

A couple of weeks later, her lawyer, who was paid for by the NGO, informed her that the medical report they finally obtained would not allow them to press charges against her husband. One option would be to involve her daughters as witnesses. Faraz, however, did not want her children to be dragged into the mess of her life.

She was already fifty-four years old. She wanted to get on with her life, support her daughters and their families, and do some of the things she had always dreamed of. Luckily, she obtained some good news soon after that. Her lawyer was able to file early for a divorce.

The NGO offered some professional development courses for survivors just like her. Since she had a strong passion for art and loved children, she attended an art therapy course. She liked to keep busy and took great pride in being valued by the staff at the orphanage where she did her stage. Although she believed that some of her wounds would never heal, at least now she has a sense of purpose and people that she could turn to.




Figure 2: Faraz's (B) care pathway.

Shreelatha A/P Venugopal:

Confronting emotional & physical abuse (C)

Evidence base includes interviews with GBV survivors



Persona Name:	Shreelatha A/P Venugopal
GBV Incident:	Emotional & physical abuse
Age:	35 years
Occupation:	Beautician
Education:	High school certificate & beauty school
Marital Status:	Married
Children:	3
Ethnicity:	Malaysian Indian
Citizenship:	Malaysian
Religion:	Hindu

When her husband lost his job as a construction supervisor during COVID-19, Shree tried to keep her spirits up. She could still work in the area where she was permitted to travel by making house calls. So, although they had to dip into their savings to make ends meet, these were not depleted as quickly as those of other families Shree knew the difficulties faced by those who could not earn a living during this challenging period. She also knew that her parents would also help with the household expenses if it came to it and considered herself all the luckier for this.

Although her husband always maintained a

careful eye over their finances, his obsession with their spending seemed to get more out of control every day he was not working. Shree understood his anxiety, but she could not understand why he took out his anger and worry on her and the children.

The situation became more fraught when he got long COVID-19 and could not work following the lockdown's termination. Shree felt herself growing weary with the responsibility of the household and being the sole breadwinner. His remarks and complaints over her inability to bring in more money and the less-than-immaculate state of their home

at the end of a long workday She kept telling herself that this was a phase, that he was acting out because his pride was hurt, and that things would return to normal after he returned to work.

She was disabused of this notion when one evening, while the children were visiting their grandparents, their fight became physical. A punch to the face and a kick to the ribs saw Shree reeling on the floor. While she was down and blood was pouring from her head, her husband kept shouting at her and pulling her hair, trying to have her stand up. At this moment she realised that if she did nothing she would die.

When she could breathe again and she was able to stand up, she locked herself in their bedroom and called the women's helpline. The lady on the phone told her to stay locked in her room and wait until the police came the following morning.

Shree could not believe what she was hearing. She was hurt, her husband was still reeling outside the bedroom door, threatening her, and she was told to sit tight and wait for another eight hours? At a loss for what to say, she hung up the phone and called her younger brother, who lived half an hour away. He showed up 25 minutes later with two friends. After they assured her that her husband was nowhere to be seen, Shree unlocked her door, and her younger brother and his friend drove her to the nearest hospital.

At the hospital where the OSCC was located, she was asked to file a report before being treated to attest that her injuries resulted from domestic violence. This did not take very long, but the police officer on duty pointed out that this was not the official police report. To submit this, they would need to go to a police station. The police officer was kind, which was a relief, and he noted down the address of the nearest police station, which he passed on to

her brother. However, when they arrived at this station, they were informed that they could not lodge a report as this station fell outside the jurisdiction of her home. She and her brother were forced to drive to the station nearest her home address, where she finally submitted the report.

After this, Shree was taken to the emergency room, where she discovered she had two bruised ribs. Luckily, her nose was not broken. After treating her injuries, the doctor advised her on what she needed to look out for, prescribed her some painkillers, and booked a follow-up, appointment to ensure that everything was healing correctly. The doctor also gave her referrals to the social welfare and psychiatric department.

Given how tired and shocked she was, she found all this extremely difficult to take in, but she did her best to remember everything. While the doctor spoke to her, she kept thinking how fortunate it was that her kids were not at the house that night.

Shree realised, however, that keeping the children away from their father for longer would be complicated. Her husband would not stand for this, but returning the children to their father was not an option either. Her husband would use them as a bargaining chip for her to return to him, and she would constantly worry for their safety.

Seeing how overwhelmed she was, the doctor asked her if someone had accompanied her to the hospital and whether she had somewhere safe to stay that night. He then proceeded to have a short discussion with her brother, explaining what they needed to do next. The appointment for the social worker was arranged for the following morning. The doctor pointed out that it may be better to speak to the social worker before going to the police, as she could advise them on what to include in the official report.

Fortunately, it was a relatively quiet night, so the doctor was not pressed for time. After repeated requests, a nurse at the centre made a copy of the medical report for her to take home.

As it was already 00:30 hours by the time they had finished at the hospital, it was decided that Shree would spend the night at her brother's house. They would visit the police the following day.

Her brother also called her parents, where her kids were staying and explained the situation in broad strokes. Shree had switched off her phone as her husband kept calling and texting her about how sorry he was and asking about her whereabouts.

Interpretation of the indicators used in the personas and pathway illustrations are provided below:



A location where a service was sought but not received.



A location where a service was sought and received.



The dotted line indicates that the survivor's journey is in progress



Figure 3: Shreelatha's (C) care pathway.

Kristine Kamil:

Dealing with rape as a transgender woman (D)

Evidence base includes interviews with GBV survivors and also relies on secondary evidence



Persona Name:	Kristin Kamil
GBV Incident:	Rape
Age:	31 years
Occupation:	Social Worker
Education:	BA in Finance
Marital Status:	Single
Children:	None
Ethnicity:	Malay
Citizenship:	Malaysian
Religion:	Agnostic

Kristine's journey hasn't been easy as she has faced many hardships since her teenage years when she could no longer hide the discomfort and anxiety caused by the feeling that she was living in the wrong body. Her desire to live authentically as a woman was met with anger and confusion by her family and immediate social circle.

Determined to stay true to herself and find her place in the world, she made some tough choices early in her life, which included moving to a different city and severing ties with most of her family.

Everything became challenging when she decided to openly assert her female identity, adding to her sense that the world was unprepared for her choices. She counted

herself fortunate in her new friends and her newfound family, who accepted her unconditionally for who she was and supported her while she was transitioning.

One rainy afternoon, while returning from the market, she was stopped by three strangers who started making fun of her clothes and how she looked. Her instinct told her she was in danger, and she tried to walk away. Soon, the mockery turned into the most traumatic experience of her life. The three men dragged her to a nearby alley, where they sexually assaulted her. She managed to drag herself to her flat, worrying constantly that her attackers would follow her there too. Despite being in pain, she knew she had to reach out for medical help as she feared that her attackers may have infected her with HIV. She called her

with HIV. She called her friend Geetha, who arrived at the flat shortly after that. While listening to Kristine, Geetha was outraged. She wanted the culprits punished and behind bars even though she was aware of how challenging it would be for a transwoman to seek justice. She set these feelings aside, knowing that getting medical attention for Kristine was the priority.

Together, they went to the crisis centre at the nearest hospital. The stern receptionist regarded them with guarded curiosity. While taking down their details, the receptionist, despite Geetha's protestations, refused to use Kristine's preferred female name and filled in the form using her official male name. She explained that using Kristine's preferred name would complicate things when communicating with other services.

In the crisis centre's crowded, very public waiting room, Kristine and Geetha felt the weight of visitors and staff stares bearing down on them. They felt everyone scrutinised their appearance, dress, make-up, and build, making them acutely aware of their bodies and how they carried themselves. The glances and the ensuing whispers made them uneasy and reluctant to chat.

Kristine, visibly traumatized and in distress, whispered to Geetha, "I don't know if I can do this." With seemingly unwavering determination, Geetha whispered back, "We'll get through this together, Kristine, I promise", even though she did not feel courageous.

Geetha told the doctor who came to see Kristine that she needed to accompany her to the examination room, but the doctor insisted that it was against their protocol and that she had to wait outside.

Kristine, on her own now, waited nervously in the small waiting room, surrounded by posters that featured traditional gender binary images and advertised services not meant for queer or trans people. It was another reminder that the

support centre was not meant for people like her and Geetha. Hushed conversations punctuated the silence in the room, and Kristine could sense the furtive glances from the other patients.

When her name was finally called, Kristine entered the small examination room within the emergency department. Upon seeing her, the female doctor looked perplexed, as if caught in a dilemma she had never encountered. The name written on the form was male, and the person in front of her, despite their robust build, had chosen to present themselves as a woman. Kristine couldn't help but overhear the hushed exchange as she asked the attending nurse: "Is this a male or a female patient? Who should examine her?"

Kristine began to think that her ordeal would never end. She wanted to return to her flat for a warm bath, away from probing eyes and cruel comments and questions. Wariness and fear start giving way to frustration and anger. Kristine couldn't comprehend why the doctors were unsure about how to proceed. She was clearly in pain, both physically and mentally. Isn't a doctor's primary duty to care for their patients, regardless of religion, ethnicity, money, or education? Why was gender different? Did the way she looked and who she chose to present her gender make her someone less deserving of basic courtesy and medical attention? She had to confront bias and prejudice daily and had started to convince herself that by this point, she had become somewhat immune to it. What she was experiencing began to feel like she was being punished for being raped.

After much delay and deliberation among the medical staff, a male doctor arrived and examined Kristine. He was not careless, but he also did not ask her once about how she felt and if she was in pain. When she asked about being tested for HIV and other sexually transmitted infections (STI), the doctor responded that this was something that they

should discuss with reception, "as theirs was not a standard case".

After their examination, the receptionist informed that Kristine was required to go to the police to fill in a report about the rape before she was able to have the HIV/STI test. Kristine's fear spiked at the mere mention of the police. She informed Geetha, who was impatiently waiting outside to see her friend.

They had both heard stories of how law enforcement treated transgender individuals. The prospect of interacting with the police sent shivers down their spines. They knew that the law was not really on their side. Homosexuality was criminalised, and Kristine could end up being the one being prosecuted if the police were informed of the nature of the sexual assault and saw Kristine.

They had grown up experiencing the sting of stigma, the weight of biased hatred, and the hurtful discrimination for being transgender. Their families had long deserted them, and friends had drifted away, unable or unwilling to understand their struggles. *What chance did they have with the police when those closest to them, when doctors and nurses sworn to prioritize the wellbeing of their patients above everything else, could hardly look them in the eye?*

By this time, Kristine's mental distress and exhaustion had become too much to bear, and she pleaded with Geetha to go back home. Geetha knew Kristine well and realized that she needed the chance to rest before deciding what to do next.

Geetha insisted that she visited a counsellor before leaving the hospital. Luckily, they did not have to wait long for one. The counsellor

assigned to Kristine was well-intentioned but lacked the training needed to support transgender individuals. She stumbled over her words and kept using incorrect pronouns, inadvertently making Kristine wary and unable to feel at ease and able to trust her. Tears fell down Kristine's face as she recounted her painful experience. Although the counsellor treated her with more compassion than the rest of the medical staff, she could not provide the help that Kristine needed as she knew very little about transgender people. She did, however, mention to Kristine the name of an NGO supporting transgender individuals that she had heard from another colleague.

Kristine and Geetha left the hospital, leaning on one another. After she had ensured Kristine had something to eat, Geetha tucked her into bed and went online to search for the organization that the counsellor had mentioned. She feared that her friend would not be able to get the tests and treatment she required on time, and despite being exhausted herself, she knew that she could not yet rest.

She found the organization's webpage quickly enough. There was no telephone number listed, just an email address, which she used to send a short message describing Kristine's situation and asking if they could help. Someone must have been closely monitoring incoming messages because not ten minutes passed before she received a reply with a telephone number and name. The person on the other side of the line was calm and collected. After he got a detailed account of what had transpired from Geetha, he said they could arrange for Kristine to have the necessary tests and any follow-up treatment, if required, at a community clinic they worked with.

Interpretation of the indicators used in the personas and pathway illustrations are provided below:



A location where a service was sought but not received.



A location where a service was sought and received.



The dotted line indicates that the survivor's journey is in progress

The NGO had, unofficially, trained some of the clinic's staff on dealing with cases such as Kristine's. He just needed to make a few calls to ensure that one of these nurses was on call the day Kristine would visit. He would also arrange for another colleague to drive them there the following morning as the community clinic was out of the way, and it would take them at least two and a half hours to reach it with public transport. He also reassured Geetha that she did all the right things to help her friend.

them at least two and a half hours to reach it with public transport. He also reassured Geetha that she did all the right things to help her friend.

After hanging up, Geetha emailed Kristine's line manager, informing her that Kristine would need to take the next three days off sick. She knew this should not be an issue as Kristine had a lot of accumulated overtime and was very conscientious.

Only after this was done did Geetha allow herself to slightly relax and make herself a cup of tea after checking on Kristine to find her soundly asleep. The adrenaline that had sustained her since her Kristine called gave way, and she was overcome by an overwhelming exhaustion.

She would need time to process what had happened. She was so angry about what had happened to her friend. Kristine was one of the kindest and bravest people she had ever met. She had dedicated her life to helping others. For her to suffer such violence and then to be treated with such indifference and casual cruelty made her blood boil. But then, some people cared, a few more than others, like the NGO representative she spoke to on the phone, and others, like the counsellor, to the greatest extent possible by their limited education and experiences. Change takes time, she thought, and society does not change overnight. It was one thing to ponder this, however, and another to feel the weight of judgement and discrimination every day, most days. She drifted to sleep, contented that Kristine would soon get the care she needed. She also had to rest to fight the battles with her friend that the next day would bring.

Figure 4: Kristine's (D) care pathway.



Fatima Hossain:

Coping with intimate partner violence, a refugee perspective (E)

Evidence base includes interviews with GBV survivors and also relies on secondary evidence



Persona Name: **Fatima Hossain**

GBV Incident: **Physical & sexual abuse**

Age: **24 years**

Occupations: **Homemaker**

Education: **Unknown**

Marital Status: **Married**

Children: **2**

Ethnicity: **Burmese**

Citizenship: **Stateless**

Religion: **Islam**

Fatima felt relief when they first arrived in Kuala Lumpur from the Myanmar's Rakhine townships. Their neighbourhood in the Ampang district, where her husband's connections lived, felt like a real neighbourhood, and their apartment was an actual apartment, even though they had to share it with another family.

With help from friends, her husband, Aziz, got a job as a parking attendant. His wages covered most of their expenses with the money that Fatima's uncle sent making up for the rest. Together with her aunt and her three cousins, her uncle had been living in Penang for five years now as a construction worker. Her uncle and aunt had pleaded with Fatima and Aziz to

join them in Penang, but Aziz preferred Kuala Lumpur because the city offered more of the opportunities he wanted to pursue.

They had in fact started saving some of the money her uncle sent them each month for the capital Aziz needed to go into business with a cousin of his selling small electronic consumer goods out of the boot of his car.

Fatima was excited to be living in an actual city away from the oppressive conditions and despair of the township. Both she and her husband were also thrilled at the prospect of their two children, a boy aged three and a girl aged two, to attend school. Married at fourteen, Fatima was only able to finish

primary school and both she and her husband wanted their children to have a chance at secondary education and even college.

Her husband's deteriorating health, however, put an end to all their carefully laid out plans. Soon Aziz's fatigue became so overwhelming that he was only able to work part-time. Despite the 50% discount on medical bills they were entitled to because of their UNHCR card, the medical expenses started to pile up. Aziz started taking out his frustration and anger on Fatima. Stern words gave way to shouting, shouting gave way to slapping, slapping gave way to more serious beatings that resulted in black eyes and swollen lips. Intercourse also became violent.

Fatima put on a brave face. She had seen her mother, cousins and many of her friends and acquaintances go through the same, or worse. This is how their husbands let out steam. Luckily, her uncle was able to send them more money as he got promoted to site supervisor at the construction company he worked for. Fatima did her best to keep their finances and dreams afloat. She signed up for an NGO programme that sought to supplement the income of stay-at-home mums by teaching them how to embroider.

Aziz, who had initially agreed to Fatima taking part in the programme, came to resent her weekly visits to the community centre where training took place. Fatima, understood his anger: as her world became larger, his got smaller and so she endured. Despite wanting to connect to, the other women in the course, she always kept her guard up, afraid of what they would think if they knew about her troubles at home.

Fatima started getting desperate as Aziz's anger and frustration began to spill over on the children. After returning home from the market one day to find her children crying and her little boy cradling his arm, she knew she had to do something. She was prepared to endure Aziz's moods, but she drew a line when

it came to her children's safety and wellbeing. She decided to approach one of her trainers at the community centre, Mariam, an older Muslim Malaysian woman who spoke Rohingya, whom she felt the most comfortable with. Fatima thought that it was also safer to confide to an outsider rather than someone from her own community. Her fellow Rohingyas seemed welcoming enough, but she knew how easily word could spread about her family situation and wanted to avoid this at all cost.

Mariam listened to Fatima carefully. When she asked Fatima whether she felt that her life or her children's life were in danger, Fatima hesitated before answering. She did not think that Aziz could kill them, but would answering 'no' mean that people would not help her? This was not fair!

She did not want to give Mariam a bad impression by speaking with anger, so she explained how things have gotten progressively bad. She had no idea what could be done, which is why she approached Mariam. Perhaps she could help another community leader could approach Aziz after the Friday prayer to ask how things are going and to offer his support? Perhaps there were ways to borrow money for his operation? She knew so little about her community and about Malaysia.

After listening to Fatima carefully, Mariam mentioned that she knew some people from another NGO with experience in helping refugee women in similar situations. With sadness, Mariam added that she thought that Fatima's options were not great. Likely Fatima would need to decide whether she would leave her husband.

"Leave my husband with my kids and go where?" Fatima thought. They had resettled in Kuala Lumpur only six months ago. Apart from the other women in the course, the family with whom they shared their flat with, and her uncle who lived in another city, she

did not know anyone. She had only left her neighbourhood to accompany Aziz to the hospital, she did not speak Malay, and she was terrified every time she left the house that she would be arrested and deported, despite having been registered with the UNHCR. She needed time to think things through.

The day before the next course, Fatima banged her head on the kitchen counter after Aziz slapped her for burning the food. She walked to the centre with great difficulty as she was seeing double. Noticing her unsteadiness, Mariam asked her what was wrong. When Fatima explained Mariam insisting on taking her to the hospital as she thought she had a concussion. Fatima wanted to object, but she was also afraid, so she relented.

During the ride to the hospital, Mariam made several calls and told her that a colleague from the NGO that she had mentioned before was going to wait for them at the hospital to help with any issues that may arise. Fatima gave Mariam her uncle's contact details, the only person she fully trusted, in case the worse happened.

They went straight to the emergency department where they found Eric, Mariam's friend waiting for them. Upon seeing the state Fatima was in, Eric told her not to worry, that he would take care of everything, that he was on good terms with the staff, and that she would not get into any trouble with the authorities. Eric had to ask Fatima twice if she wanted to report that her head injury resulted from domestic abuse. He mentioned that if she decided to do so, the police might get involved, but he would help her through it.

Fatima who did not want anything to do with the police begged him not to mention anything. The last thing she needed was trouble with the police. *She feared being arrested by the police every time she went to the hospital with her husband. She did not want to involve the authorities if she could avoid it.* Being at the hospital and involving strangers in her family affairs would already land her into a great deal of trouble with Aziz. Fatima had asked Mariam to call a neighbour with whom she was on relatively good terms to let Aziz know that she was at the hospital 'because she had an accident on her way to class'.

Eric accepted Fatima's decision and his word about having an in with the staff was as good as gold. Despite the wary looks that the receptionist gave Fatima, she was cordial towards Eric and Mariam, and she took down Fatima's details without any delay.

When the receptionist insisted on receiving payment for the examination upfront, Eric covered the fee himself, reassuring an increasingly distraught Fatima, who had left her house with only some pocket money, not to concern herself over this. His employer had a budget for such expenses.

After registration, Eric and Mariam waited for Fatima to be called to see a doctor. When Fatima's turn came, Eric explained to the nurse that he needed to accompany Fatima as she did not speak Malay.

The doctor who examined Fatima was kind. He asked Fatima if she was in any pain and how she got injured. When he mentioned that she needed to spend the night in the hospital

Interpretation of the indicators used in the personas and pathway illustrations are provided below:



A location where a service was sought but not received.



A location where a service was sought and received.



The dotted line indicates that the survivor's journey is in progress

'for observation' Fatima panicked. She could not do this. Aziz would be furious. Who would take care of her children. This would be too expensive.

She did not remember much after that. She woke up feeling well-rested, but it took her a few seconds to remember to realise that she was probably still at the hospital. The nurse who came into the room shortly thereafter did not speak Rohingya but she quickly got Eric on the phone.

Eric explained to Fatima she had been unconscious for three days. Knowing the situation at home, and afraid for the wellbeing of Fatima's children he and Mariam had decided to call Fatima's uncle. After explaining her uncle's mother had died at the hands of their father, but she was shocked by his reaction, nevertheless.

Eric said that her uncle had informed Aziz that they would help him back on his feet but that while this happened, Fatima and the children would stay at Penang where they would be taken care of. Eric would send word to her uncle as soon as Fatima was released from the hospital to come and pick her up.

Fatima felt relieved but also concerned about her family's future. What would this mean for her and Aziz? Would her children be happy and be able to attend school in Penang? How would she ever be able to repay her uncle?




Figure 5: Fatima's (E) care pathway.

Journey(s)

The graphical representation of the care pathways created captures different possible trajectories by mapping the journeys of rape, long-term and short-term GBV victims represented by Lan, Faraz, Shree, Kristine and Fatima.

The journey illustrated in the following image emphasises that each survivor's journey is unique, often non-linear, and can be fraught with challenges and setbacks.

Each survivor has multiple points of entry into the care system. For some, the immediate response is to seek medical treatment, but as indicated, the experience can be met with a lack of empathy and understanding from care providers, leaving psychological and social needs unaddressed. This reflects a medical system that is often inadequately prepared for the comprehensive care required by GBV survivors.

Others may first interact with law enforcement where they may face a police force untrained in the sensitivities needed to handle domestic abuse cases. This then lead to further trauma due to social stigma and a justice system that does not seem to advocate for their protection. Such encounters can result in survivors feeling discouraged and distrusting of the system that is supposed to protect them.

Legal challenges are another hurdle; survivors who seek justice may encounter delays and obstacles in the court system, including difficulty in obtaining critical evidence or legal support, which can traumatise them further and complicate their recovery process.

The pathways also highlights that the care journey can involve multiple service providers and repeated visits to various services. A survivor may oscillate between different points of care — from hospitals to police stations to CSOs — each time navigating the system with varying degrees of support. This can lead to a cyclical pattern of seeking help, returning

home, experiencing further violence, and seeking help again.

Some survivors may find temporary refuge in shelters provided by NGOs or returning to their natal home. These spaces can be crucial for the survivors' safety and the start of the long journey to rebuild their lives, care for their children, and work towards financial independence.

More importantly, the dotted lines in the pathways journey to care (Figure 6) show the uncertainty and invisibility of the long-term pathway to healing and recovery. Within the existing framework of support for GBV survivors, there is a pronounced focus on providing immediate medical attention. While this is a critical component of the response to GBV, it is also apparent that the current system may not adequately address the longer-term needs of survivors, particularly their reintegration into their communities and the ongoing support required for sustained healing. The immediate provision of medical care, while essential, is only one aspect of a multifaceted healing process. Survivors of GBV often require comprehensive services that extend beyond the physical treatment of injuries. This includes psychological counselling, legal assistance, community support, and programs that facilitate economic independence. The journey toward healing is long-term and complex, necessitating a support system that evolves to meet the changing needs of survivors as they work towards recovery and reintegration into their communities.

The varying journeys underscore the need for a holistic and survivor-centered approach to GBV care pathways, one that provides not just immediate physical care but also legal, psychological, and social support tailored to the individual's needs and circumstances. The narrative emphasises the importance of understanding these pathways to improve the system's response to GBV and to ensure survivors receive comprehensive and compassionate care that supports both their immediate needs and long-term recovery.



When seeking medical treatment, GBV survivors are often met with a lack of empathy and understanding from their carers. Interviewees reported that the medical staff were woefully unprepared to handle the trauma they faced, with little to no training in how to best support them. Many survivors were not offered psychological and social welfare support and advice and the options available to them going forward.

Many GBV survivors receive medical care numerous times before they feel ready to report their abusers to the authorities. For this group, in this moment in their journey, care pathways are short and address only their physical injuries

Police officers appear to lack the training to effectively handle survivors of domestic abuse. When interacting with the police, survivors of gender-based violence often experience harsh judgements that reflect the social stigma associated with intimate partner abuse. Many interviewees expressed distrust of the police and felt that the criminal justice system was not designed to protect them.

When survivors of GBV choose to confront their fears, they are often required to file a formal police report before they can access medical treatment. This process can be daunting, and many are unaware of the legal implications of what they choose to include or omit from their official statement.

GBV survivors who sought justice faced court delays, lack of cooperation from police and medical professionals in obtaining critical evidence, and lack of legal support.

Many survivors who left home found refuge in their parental home or at dedicated shelters ran by NGOs. For some, this was the start of a long journey to seek justice, reunite with their children and become financially independent.

Figure 6: Care pathways journey map.

Key Findings

(in the framework of GBV services assessment)

This section presents the findings from a qualitative research study conducted with gender-based violence (GBV) survivors and representatives from civil society organizations (CSOs), focusing on understanding the barriers and gaps in GBV services at the One-Stop Crisis

Centre (OSCC). Through the case studies and in-depth interviews, participants highlighted several critical issues affecting the availability, accessibility, adaptability, appropriateness of the OSCC and overall efficacy of GBV services in Malaysia.

1. Availability of Services: Information and Awareness Gaps in GBV Services and at the OSCC

Participants acknowledged the presence of essential GBV services, including police protection, medical treatment, shelters, and psychological support. However, a recurring theme was that there is a lack of awareness and it was primarily due to the lack of information

about the OSCC in media and in public spaces. As a result, survivors mostly navigate these services through CSO providers, and it is only with their support and linkages that the survivors can access these services.

"I had never heard of OSCC before the NGO told me about it. It is after reaching out to them (WAO), I came to know there are some services like this for women like me."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Malay Woman)

"Even when the police took me to OSCC, I was scared la, I could not understand where they are taking me, there was no single sign or poster of the OSCC in the hospital, it looked like just emergency department with so many patients and crowd. Imagine if I had to go on my own or if some young girl needs to bring her parents, should we go around asking people where women with such experience can seek help la, you understand what I mean."

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

Findings from the study indicate that **adequate information and awareness of GBV support services are pivotal for empowering survivors to seek help**. The evidence points to a significant positive correlation between survivors' knowledge of available resources and their ability to access the appropriate care and support.

Participants such as Olga, a survivor of intimate partner violence (IPV), highlighted that she had never heard of OSCC and wasn't sure where to seek help. It was not until it became intolerable that she reached out to a friend who connected her to a social worker from WAO. She reported feeling empowered to take action against her husband, which she had not considered possible before being informed of these services.

"When I finally confided in a friend about the violence I was enduring at home, it was like opening a door I never knew existed. I had never heard of the OSCC any other place I could go for help, and I felt trapped in my situation. It was until the abuse became unbearable and when he forced me to have sex with a strange man to fulfil his sexual fantasies that I became desperate for an escape. My friend introduced me to a social worker from the Women's Aid Organization, and that's when I realized there was a way out, even under the Shariah law."

(Survivor of Sexual and Physical Violence,
Foreigner Married to Malay Man)

A prevalent theme throughout the interviews was the perpetuation of silence and shame due to the lack of information and awareness surrounding GBV. Several participants reflected on their initial hesitance to seek help or report incidents, attributing this to a pervasive lack of understanding about the nature of GBV and the

support mechanisms in place. For example, Hassan, a male survivor, noted that societal stigma and the absence of targeted information campaigns for men experiencing GBV were the reasons he did not report the abuse and access support services.

"I didn't know where to go, there is absolutely no information for men who experience GBV, maybe because there are no services available for them. Men are strong, men don't get hurt, they don't cry, this what people believe here and when there is no information there is no acknowledgement of the issue that men can also experience GBV."

(Survivor of Sexual Abuse,
Malay Man)

The finding also highlights **a critical need for increased community awareness**. Without such awareness, community members often fail to recognise the signs of GBV or understand the importance of providing support to survivors. This gap was evidenced by the experience of Nadia, who described how neighbours and friends had witnessed signs of abuse but did not intervene or offer support, citing a general unawareness of what constituted GBV or what actions to take. The participants stressed the importance of community education programs to foster a supportive environment for survivors.

This gap was evidenced by the experience of Nadiah, who recounted incidents where clear

red flags of abuse went unnoticed by those around her. Neighbours and friends, despite witnessing distressing signs of abuse, remained bystanders, primarily due to a lack of knowledge about what constitutes GBV and uncertainty about how to act effectively. Red flags that often go unrecognized include sudden changes in behaviour or appearance, unexplained injuries, and the individuals withdrawing from their normal activities or social circles. Moreover, subtle indicators such as increased anxiety, fearfulness, particularly around the abuser, or the abuser overt control of the survivor's life and decisions are also missed.

"My husband started having problems with me even for stepping out to send my children to school. They would often miss school. Even when I managed to step out and people could easily see my bruises, of course they heard me crying and him shouting at me all the time, but they never ask how are you? Do you need help?"

(Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Somalian Refugee Woman)

Although the study notes that most medical services with the OSCC are available for the survivors, **there is inadequate availability or focus on mental health services**. There is a disturbing trend of unmet mental health needs among GBV survivors. A significant proportion of participants reported experiencing symptoms of severe depression, anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder, with many disclosing suicidal thoughts and attempts. The trauma experienced by survivors often leads to long-term psychological distress, adversely affecting their quality of life, relationships and ability to function in daily activities.

Despite these pressing needs, the study uncovered a stark deficiency in the availability

of specialised counselling services tailored to the unique needs of GBV survivors. Very few participants reported receiving mental health care at the OSCC. The survivors who did receive counselling from the social workers informed that they were provided with counselling only once, i.e., during the first time they went to the OSCC. Only one participant reported receiving a follow-up call for counselling after one month of discharge. This highlights the severe lack of access to mental health services; the available care was often short-term and crisis-oriented, with little to no follow-up or support for dealing with long-term effects of trauma.

"Is it okay if I say I will suicide or hang myself at any place there? I do feel like that sometimes. I just want this pain to end. Between the procedure time and the hospital. Feel like is it... do I need to tell anything? Do I need to tell me story to these men in police and hospital again and again? Why I have to tell? This thing not supposed to happen to me. Why I must tell? They only blame me for what happened, I feel guilty and shame... I feel like dying each day, but they never asked me if I need any help, if I am comfortable, they never cared to ask me."

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

"Although I am out of abusive relationship, I often get nightmares of how he used to beat me and force me to do abnormal sexual things. I can't sleep at night and get sweats. I fear going anywhere thinking if he finds me again. The doctor gave me sleeping medicines, but I think that doesn't work. I can't tell it to anyone. My daughters would also never understand me sometimes I feel why if happened to me I should have ended my life. I feel so ashamed."

(Survivor of Marital Rape and
Domestic Violence, Malay Woman)

2. Challenges related to Accessibility of Services

Findings show that significant barriers hinder survivors' access to GBV services. Going to the crowded emergency centre, language barriers, fear of mandatory police reporting, and poorly coordinated service delivery were frequently cited as obstacles to accessing the services.

Survivors, particularly those who have recently experienced sexual violence, reported a heightened sense of vulnerability and trauma when forced to wait in overcrowded emergency centres. The lack of privacy and the

potential for public exposure in such settings can deter survivors from seeking immediate assistance. One such narrative described the distress of waiting for care in a busy hospital setting, underscoring the critical need for private, secure, and comfortable spaces for survivors at the point of first contact with healthcare services. This becomes more profound when the survivor is transgender or a refugee, who feels being judged or monitored by other people

"But it's just like the hospital outside, right? Where in emergency you have like so many patients and their families, like 10 million people just sitting outside. And, you don't know, what is the level of comfort and all of that. Like for me, somebody who has experienced sexual violence just two days ago or a day ago? You know, be in this overcrowded space, even though inside, there is a private room. Do I want to sit here waiting for my turn? And like, if I want to have a breakdown, I can't. I am scared what if people identify me, what they think of me, o this woman is... you know what I mean? Its more traumatizing and horrifying."

(Physical Assault followed by Rape,
Transgender Woman)

Interviews with the survivors from refugee communities show that **language barriers play an enormous role in limiting access to GBV services**. The inability to communicate effectively with healthcare providers and law enforcement officials not only impedes the delivery of care but can also further distress

and humiliate the survivors. Instances of miscommunication or perceived ridicule, as reported by the friend of a survivor, highlight the necessity for language support services, including interpreters and culturally sensitive care, to ensure that all survivors are understood and treated with respect.

"She couldn't really understand what they were saying, but there were some parts that she could understand but they were laughing at her, and this made her more conscious and nervous."

(Friend of Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Myanmar Refugee Woman)

The findings of this study also vividly illustrate the issue of **fragmented service delivery**. This lack of coordination not only exacerbates the survivor's trauma but also places unnecessary

burden on those seeking help. The need for streamlined procedures that facilitate a seamless transition from reporting to receiving care is evident.

"I was shuttling between the police station and then from OSCC to the police station. I was scared and angry and exhausted, why can't they just simply lodge my complaint and give me police protection. In the back of my mind, I am always worried about my children, what if he (husband) reaches them. I didn't need any medical help, why force me to go OSCC again and again, why change police stations la."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

The narratives of different survivors of GBV also highlight that **the requirement for mandatory police reporting is a formidable barrier**, particularly highlighted by a survivor's fear of being judged or dismissed by law enforcement officials. This requirement often force survivors into a paradoxical situation where seeking medical help or preventive care becomes contingent on their willingness to navigate the complex and sometimes hostile

legal landscape. Survivors, including those who have experienced domestic violence, expressed concern over their safety and the safety of their children when engaging with the legal system. The fear of retaliation from the perpetrator, coupled with the uncertainty of police protection, underscored the need for a more survivor-centered approach in handling GBV cases.

"The doctor said you have to first make a police report to get any tests and I said, is there a way I can seek help even ... you know, not as a rape victim, not as an assault victim but as a paying patient for PEP, because now I'm very scared. I don't even know this man's full name, you know, because we met on a dating app, you get partial name, partial occupation all that. I know if I were to lodge a police report or to go anywhere else, I will be judged. Police will say o you are a bad woman, it's not rape, "it's just sex gone bad", they will not understand that I was exposed to a non-consensual, unprotected sex and I was at risk of HIV."

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Chinese Woman)

"I came to Klinik Kesihatan Cheras emergency department, so the doctor asked me that how this happened to you. I said, first I said I fight with my friend. So he said, you should make a police report. Then eventually I told him that it's actually my husband and he said, you have to do police report, you need to complete that. I knew that the police report will have consequences, he's going to be taken to prison and so on. But if not then he will get angry and beat me more. So I was scared that things will turns out very complicated. So that's why I didn't go to the police. And afterwards when he beat me, I never go to any clinic, because I know they will again force me to go to the police."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Malay Woman)

3. Adaptability of Services

Findings suggest that **services currently lack the flexibility to address the unique needs of diverse populations**. For instance, there is a gap in accommodating the preferences of

survivors, such as the need for a female doctor or the recognition of a transgender individual's gender identity.

"Most transgender people I know has had a poor health care experience stemming from a provider negatively interacting with our transgender identity, whether it was intentional or not. That really needs to change," they said. The doctor says we don't touch you, our religion doesn't allow, but we ask what a doctor's ethics is "first, do not harm and provide justice". We are humans too, and we all deserve medical care, why treat us as untouchable. You cannot imagine how it would feel as a GBV survivor and being transgender?? Our community deserves better."

(CSO Representative,
Transgender Man)

Similarly, Fatima, a sexual and domestic violence survivor, recounted her discomfort and subsequent refusal of physical medical examination because her **preference for a female doctor was not accommodated, illustrating a lack of adaptability in service provision**. Although she mentioned that there were female nurses present during the examination, the forensic doctor was male.

"And he was male medical personnel, very young and a male attendant, orderly or something. And I'm like, why you need two men to listen to my story on that?"

(Survivor of Rape,
Indian Malaysian Woman)

Interviews with medical professionals and social workers highlights that **there is a noticeable gap between the ideal protocol and actual practice regarding the gender alignment between doctors and survivors of sexual assault**. This discrepancy is primarily due to the availability and specialisation of male doctors, especially those with training in forensic science and evidence collection. Protocols (One-Stop Crisis Centre: Policy and Guidelines for Hospitals, MoH, 2015)⁵⁸ advocate for the healthcare provider to be of the same gender as the survivor; however, the urgent need to collect evidence within a critical 72-hour window to prevent the case from becoming "cold" often necessitates the

prioritization of available medical expertise over gender matching.

However, this study also finds that the presence of social workers and female nurses during examinations is consistently ensured, with efforts made to involve female obstetricians whenever possible. This approach highlights an attempt to balance the immediate need for specialised medical intervention with the sensitivity required in handling cases of sexual assault, striving to maintain a semblance of gender sensitivity within the limitations imposed by the availability of medical personnel and their expertise.

4. Appropriateness of Services

Survivors **do not always receive culturally appropriate support**. In some cases, service providers exhibit prejudice, and a lack of understanding and sensitivity, which can exacerbate the trauma experienced by survivors like Lan and Kristine. Prejudice and lack of understanding from law enforcement and healthcare workers can compound the trauma of GBV survivors. For example, services sometimes reflect societal biases, as shown by the dismissive attitudes of the police and some healthcare providers towards Faraz. Similarly, appropriate support systems like helpline services that could cater to the needs of male GBV survivors were missing.

"It is extremely challenging, as a male person it is not easy to call a helpline or call centre that focuses only women, where women are sitting behind the seats to help other women. Here in Malaysia, men are seen as the protector, men are strong, men don't cry they cannot have such situation how do expect men to ask help, especially young men, teenage boys, you see there are no services at all."

(Survivor of Sexual Violence, Man)

5. Prioritizing Safety

Study findings show that **the safety of survivors is not consistently prioritised**, with some individuals being advised to stay in potentially dangerous situations or rendered vulnerable during medical examinations. Moreover, preventative measures aimed to safeguard survivors during the reporting, case management and post-recovery phases are insufficient. Concerns were also raised

regarding the protection of the survivors' dependents, who may be vulnerable to abuse and harm. This gap in ensuring ongoing security for survivors and their dependents highlights a systemic deficiency within current support frameworks that warrants urgent attention both at OSCC and beyond, to reinforce the safety net for those affected by GBV.

"I feel unsafe because while the period time for my turn, I was made to sit outside alone. Later, during examination, sometimes other doctor also come and go in and out, in and out for nothing. But talking talking. They all never go to the procedure for the full focus on me. Never. They talking here talking there. It feels like they just take it as time pass case."

(Survivor of Rape, Single Malaysian Chinese Woman)

"Because I worried, something will happen to me, or my kids is that so I really worried. So I go, they gave me like an MRI scans and also after that I couldn't go to the follow-up check-ups because I don't have transport and I really scared. This fellow (husband) was like looking around where I'm going spying me. So I really can't follow do the follow-up checkup."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence, Malay Woman)

"Because he forced me to discharge myself. I was signing the risk letter and discharge myself. It's not moving from there because he's worried that I will tell something about the abuse to the doctors or what. Doctors could see I was forced to take the discharge, they could see I was under fear, my bruises were so severe, yet they didn't say anything. What could I do, I was scared to death."

(Survivor of Domestic and Sexual Violence,
Malay Woman)

"As a woman in a foreign country, going back to the police station at night to meet with the officer was very intimidating. In the first case why could not they lodge my complain in the morning. Why they ask me to go to another police station at night at 9pm. Walking between the blocks, there are so many dark spaces I felt unsafe. I finally made it to the officer, and he took my statement. I never planned to take any legal action; I just wanted the complaint to be recorded. However, before knowing this, I felt like the officer was already trying to discourage me from taking action."

(Survivor of Physical Assault,
Foreign Woman, Unmarried)

"Yes, we know we should have a separate OSCC room, but it does not exist. We get lot of patients, so whenever a GBV survivor comes, we just do a make-shift type arrangement."

(Medical Social Worker, Kuala Lumpur)

In some OSCC they have 'bilik lily', like a separate room of OSCC clients, but most emergency department don't have. They will take the patient in one corner to first confirm if she is domestic violence case or not and then get people to move out and take her to a separate room. So, for long she is out there in public.

(CSO Representative, Pahang)

Even interviews with the survivors suggest that the **assurance of safety was notably lacking during crucial stages** such as the reporting process, investigation, and legal proceedings. The research indicates that interim protection orders, which are crucial for survivor safety, are often subject to delays. Furthermore, survivors frequently report being compelled to navigate between multiple police stations to file reports, a process that not only introduces additional

delays but also poses an increased risk to the survivor and her family. These systemic obstacles during such a vulnerable time reflect a substantial shortfall in the support infrastructure, underscoring the need for an improved, survivor-centered approach that ensures continuous protection for GBV survivors and their dependents.

"They say can go, can go back then result will be in few days or something like that. I can't remember. They say like that. Then the police said, "You boleh balik sendiri kan? Macam mana you nak balik, anda boleh ambil grab?" (You can go back on your own right? How do you go back? Take the grab). Then I said nothing and nobody there can help me but it's okay nevermind, I can take Grab. Then the police also said "Okay, okay you balik dulu" Then I taking Grab then I balik (go back) la."

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

"I asked for protection order, police said I can't give now, case not strong but my social worker told me I should get interim protection order because my husband can come hurt me anytime, he can even kill me, but they didn't give me until go again with my social worker."

(Survivor of Intimate Partner Violence,
Chinese Malaysian Woman)

"I went to police station, to make a report. But before that I did call once, what we call, Helpline Talian Kasih, but they said, only... I called around 12 midnight, I call them. But they can hear my husband shouting, like beating. Everything they can hear. They asking is it the one is your husband, but they said stay safe, we will send someone tomorrow morning. But it's really cannot, we really cannot stay safe there at the night. So cannot do anything."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

6. Informed Consent and Confidentiality

Narratives from GBV survivors indicate **potential breaches of confidentiality** and concerns about informed consent. Survivors

are often hesitant to share information due to fears of repercussions from their abusers or misgendering by staff.

"You know it's just not the training that medical social workers or doctors should receive. Training should even be given to the nurses and the drivers who all are involved in the transitioning the client from one service point to another. We see so many times the client run away, don't want to continue treatment or follow up because either the driver or the one accompanying or the nurses at the OSCC start talking about her, like see what happened, who did it, why it happened and then the information keeps traveling in the village, where the person feels she is exposed to so many unwanted speculations and people are judging her."

(Retired (in 2020) Medical Social Worker,
Medical University Hospital, Kuala Lumpur)

"Almost every single hospital does insist on making a police report" and "some will refuse to classify as the cases in OSCC unless there is a police report".

(CSO Representative, Kuala Lumpur)

7. Effective Communication and Participation by Stakeholders in Design, Implementation and Assessment of Services

The guideline on GBV services assessment (UN Women, UNFPA 2020) stated that it is paramount that service providers demonstrate an attitude that is non-judgmental, empathetic, and supportive when interacting with women and girls who have experienced GBV. The assurance that they are being heard, and their specific needs comprehended and attended to, is foundational in their journey toward healing and justice. How information is conveyed is crucial to empower them to access vital services. Every interaction with women and

girls must be conducted with the utmost respect, always upholding their dignity. This approach is essential not only in fostering trust but also in encouraging survivors to assert their rights and pursue the resources they need.

However, this study finds that significant communication barriers exist, with professionals often lacking the training to recognise non-verbal cues of distress or the nuances of culturally sensitive language, which is critical for effective support and advocacy.

Survivors have recounted instances of dismissive conduct by police officers, where the gravity of familial abuse was downplayed and survivors were advised to settle matters privately. This not only undermines the severity of the violence but also implicitly endorses the perpetrator's actions, making the police complicit in perpetuating the cycle of violence.

"The entire process just to file a complaint took the entire day. It was emotionally and physically exhausting. I am lucky that I am in a privileged position and this assault was just a minor incident, but if I struggled with this process, what about other women? I feel like it is set up in a way to make you give up. There is no support, compassion of useful information to help you. More can be done to create enabling environments where women can feel encouraged and safe to report all types of assaults, like sensitivity training and having private rooms for women to speak openly."

(Survivor of Physical Assault, Foreign Woman, Unmarried)

For instance, one survivor was told by the police, "If you don't settle the case (within your family), your husband will resent you." This kind of response from law enforcement discourages survivors from seeking help and reinforces the notion that abuse is a private matter, rather than a criminal act warranting legal intervention.

"At the police station, the police told that if you don't settle the case (within your family), your husband will resent you. If you report about your mother-in-law, your husband will be upset with you. Family matters are common, and a good woman should not go out. So, the police advised me to settle the problem within my family. If this happens again, then we will see."

(Survivor of Domestic Violence, Malay Woman)

Medical professionals have exhibited a similar pattern of minimization, especially concerning sexual violence within marriage. A survivor's narrative highlighted the trivialisation of marital rape, as the doctor perceived it as a husband's right, effectively

denying the existence of consent within the marriage. Such attitudes are not only medically unsound but morally reprehensible, denying survivors the validity of their trauma.

"The doctor said to me, ini kan biasa, the word they say 'ini kan biasa' (this is normal). Husband has the right to do the sexual thing, it's normal for the husband to do something sexual the way he likes, this we cannot call rape. They say, like that. They say like, there's no any symptoms like 'rape' or it's normal thing like a husband wife relation like sexual relationship, they never did any internal examination. They just tell like that. So, I feel it's really unfair."

(Survivor of Marital Rape, Malay Woman)

Moreover, **the issue of victim-blaming** was glaringly evident in the attitudes of service providers. A police officer, making a character judgment based on the survivor's appearance, directly questioned her virtue and implied complicity in her victimisation by saying, "From

your face I already know you're not a good woman." This is not only unprofessional but also a gross violation of the survivor's dignity, and it stands in stark contradiction to the principle of unbiased law enforcement.

"After a long waiting period, my IO, one of the police. He's a guy come. The police is a guy. He called me to the room and then asked me to wait there then he asked me some questions. The questions will be like this, "Tengok muka pun sudah tau, you bukan perempuan baik." (From your face I already know you're not a good woman). Dari muka boleh nampak meh? Perempuan baik buruk? (Can you tell is someone a good or bad woman by looking at their face?) "So... saya sendiri pun boleh tarik tangan you, sekarang apa you boleh buat?" (So now I can also pull your hand, what can you do?) The police questioning like that. Is it the way of the police had to be like that, for the inquiry section?"

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

Additionally, **gender stereotypes and societal expectations** of women's conduct were used to justify the denial of support and blame the survivors. A doctor's reprimand, suggesting

that a woman should not be out at night and should instead stay home, reflects deep-seated biases and negates a woman's autonomy and equal status in society.

"The doctor said "kenapalah you percaya orang luar? Tengok sekarang? Ini macam keh? Perempuan tak boleh keluar malam. Perempuan duduk rumah." (Why do you believe someone and go out? See what happen now? Woman can't go out at night. Woman should stay at home.) Then I said, "kalah perempuan duduk rumah, watch the time now. Are you female or male? For the job description, you are a doctor, you are a nurse or something like that. You can work until midnight, after midnight, 24 hours, why perempuan (woman) cannot go out? Why? I said I am a businesswoman, I also need to travel sometime, why you question me like that."

(Survivor of Rape,
Malaysian Indian Woman)

Our study emphasizes the importance of training service providers to be non-judgmental, empathetic, and informed so that they may offer survivors the understanding and assistance they critically need. This entails validating survivors'

concerns, providing accurate and empathetic documentation of their accounts, and equipping survivors with information that empowers them to make informed decisions for their future.

8. Data Collection and Information Management

Data collection and management of GBV incidents are not systematically implemented, especially within refugee communities, making it challenging to understand the full scope of the issue and to tailor services accordingly.

The findings based on the narratives of GBV survivors and social workers in Malaysia indicate critical shortcomings in data collection and information management at OSCC. These challenges significantly impede the effectiveness of support services and obstruct survivors' access to justice.

Firstly, there is a profound lack of communication and consistency in the sharing of medical reports and documentation with survivors. This lapse is exemplified by a survivor's testimony of not receiving any hospital notes, thereby leaving her without crucial evidence to seek justice. The absence of a clear protocol for sharing documents directly with survivors undermines their autonomy and can delay or deny their legal recourse.

"It's very, very sad to say that. If they took my complaint seriously, I wouldn't be waiting for my divorce for two years. I would be waiting to get the full custody of my children. They just closed the case shut like that. And the reason they are giving is my medical report is not strong. So, I feel very unfair for these. It's really, really unfair and the main thing, the doctors or the police will say, it's your husband. So, it's not, it's not wrong, to be, can't tell it's a rape, so it's really very ridiculous. I still didn't officially haven't got my divorce yet. So I keep thinking about children. I didn't see my kids for two years. My heart shatters and pains even to think of it."

(Survivor of Marital Rape and Long-term Physical Violence, Malay Woman)

"I never received any report or hospital note. They told me that they will share directly with the police or to the court. But the thing is it is about me. If I decide to seek justice today I absolutely I do not have any papers to show from hospital. Do you think the attending doctor that day... will still be available today to give me a proof of my case. None!"

(Survivor of Domestic Violence, Malaysian Indian Woman)

Secondly, there is **no standardised approach to record-keeping and data sharing among different entities**. While some professionals report meticulous documentation and sharing of details with referring teams and social welfare officers, others note an absence of data sharing, particularly with the Ministry of

Health (MoH). A senior medical social worker highlighted the disconnect, revealing that data at their medical university is not shared with the MoH due to differing ministerial oversight.

"We document everything in the system, the same thing. We write all the details in the case note also and share it with the referring team, like the tertiary hospital or to the social welfare officer. Once we do the assessment and it is identifying as a violence case we decide the intervention for the client we lodged everything in the system. This cannot be changed later; all the records go to MoH."

(Medical Social Worker,
District Hospital, Sabah)

"We record everything, but we don't share anything to MoH. That is probably because we are the medical university, and we fall under the Ministry of Education. Not sure."

(Senior Medical Social Worker, Medical University
Tertiary Hospital, Kuala Lumpur)

The implications of such discrepancies are far-reaching. Without a unified system, there is an inability to accurately tally and reconcile data across the police, MoH, and social welfare departments. This fragmentation leads to a disjointed understanding of the GBV situation, making it difficult to assess the scope of the issue, allocate resources effectively, and implement comprehensive support services.

The importance of ensuring that survivors have access to their documentation cannot be overstated. It empowers them in their pursuit of justice and serves as a critical tool for advocacy and policy change. The findings suggest an urgent need for standardised protocols for data management and sharing, as well as the establishment of integrated systems that enable seamless communication among different support services.

9. Linking with Other Sectors and Agencies through Coordination

While OSCCs are conceptualised as holistic support centres that offer a suite of services from medical care to legal aid and psychological support, this study finds that many survivors face obstacles in accessing complete and timely information. This deficiency notably extends to critical areas such as mental health counselling and the availability of shelter homes.

Challenges stem from a variety of systemic issues, including a lack of information and choices provided to the survivors about the full range of services at OSCCs, attributed to a lack of clarity on the protocols and guidelines among the attending medical staff at the emergency department.

"Yes, we refer to the black book (referring to the OSCC guidelines) for any case management, but for some cases we don't know how to do the intervention. At times the client is scared and don't want any follow up, at times the welfare officer not clear like for example, they would send the person back to the village, where the perpetrator is next door, but we don't do this risk assessment, maybe it's the police who should do the follow up and all of that."

(Medical Social Worker,
District Hospital, Sabah)

Moreover, the fragmentation of services due to coordination challenges amongst different departments exacerbate the situation, leading to a scenario where survivors might receive medical care but remain uninformed about the psychological or legal support at their disposal. The capacity and training of OSCC staff also play a pivotal role in this context; without adequate training in handling the complexities of GBV and the nuances of effective communication, staff may fall short in ensuring that survivors are fully informed about the

resources available to them. This gap in information provision not only hampers the recovery process by leaving survivors feeling isolated and unsupported but also poses a significant risk of further harm. To bridge this gap, a concerted effort is needed to enhance staff training, improve service coordination, and raise awareness about OSCCs' services, ensuring that survivors receive the comprehensive, timely, and empathetic support they critically need.

"We used to give training to the doctors, nurses, and other staff. We tell them how to manage domestic violence case, rape case, sodomy case. We talk about privacy and respect. But these trainings happen once or twice in a year. But this is something we should do as refresher training. Doctors and others should have training in their medical school. So, all doctors posted in emergency know about it, so even if they miss here, they know how to deal with it sensitively."

(Retired (in 2020) Medical Social Worker,
Medical University Hospital, Kuala Lumpur)

"After assessment and treatment, we call the social worker from the CSO and tell them to help the client. We know they are good and can be trusted but it is not that we have a government list of CSOs to contract. We just know them on our own. We know they work here and so on..."

(Medical Social Worker, Medical University Hospital, Kuala Lumpur)

"It is only because of the Woman's Aid Organization that I could manage to come out of my situation. I don't know otherwise what would have happened. He would have killed me... I felt trapped and depressed. From taking me to OSCC to providing me shelter at their homes, counselling me and training me for art skills they helped me a lot. You know today I make art and teach children."

(Survivor of Long-term Domestic Violence, Malay Woman)

The pivotal role played by NGOs and CSOs in filling the information and service provision gap is underscored. Remarkably, most of the critical information regarding mental health counselling and shelter homes, along with the actual delivery of these services, are predominantly facilitated by NGOs. This reliance on CSOs underscores a significant dependency on their efforts to drive the support system for survivors, often working in close collaboration with government entities. However, it has been observed that despite their commendable dedication and invaluable contributions, these CSOs may not possess the full capacity to shoulder the responsibility of providing all-encompassing support. The inherent limitations in resources, reach, and authority compared to governmental bodies pose challenges in their ability to offer comprehensive assistance to every survivor.

Therefore, this reflects a broader issue within the support ecosystem for GBV survivors, indicating a crucial need for stronger

institutional frameworks and enhanced collaboration between the government and civil society to ensure that survivors receive the holistic and sustained support they require.

A significant portion of the support system also relies on CSOs. These entities often shoulder the responsibility of providing key services such as shelter, counselling, and legal aid. Our interactions with representatives from various CSOs revealed a genuine commitment to aiding survivors. However, a notable challenge identified was the lack of an official, authorised list of CSOs for social workers and OSCC staff to refer survivors to. Currently, referrals are largely based on personal trust and familiarity with the CSOs operating within specific regions. This approach, while functional on some level, lacks the structure and reliability of a coordinated referral and follow-up system. The absence of a formalised collaboration mechanism between government entities and CSOs at the OSCC level hampers the effectiveness of the support network.

"We have the resources to provide information, temporary shelters, but we can't keep them (the survivors) for long. Like they ask us for legal help, but our team don't have the capacity, so we refer them to another CSO who can provide such information. But sometimes it's challenging because we are in Johor and the other CSO in Kuala Lumpur, it is difficult for survivor to follow up."

(CSO Representative, Johor)

Gender Norms, as a Barrier to Accessing GBV Support Services


One of the key findings from accessing the GBV services is that gender norms play a critical role in shaping responses to GBV and significantly influence the decision-making process for survivors seeking help. These norms, deeply rooted in patriarchal traditions, often dictate societal expectations and behaviours, leading to a pervasive culture of silence and stigma surrounding GBV. Women, traditionally seen as bearers of family honour, may endure violence silently due to fears of societal shame, family disgrace, and financial dependency on their abusers. These concerns are compounded by victim-blaming attitudes that suggest women are somehow responsible for the violence inflicted upon them, be it through their attire, behaviour, or mere presence in public spaces at perceived inappropriate times.

The reluctance to disclose or report GBV is further exacerbated by the responses of law enforcement and healthcare providers, who, influenced by the same patriarchal norms, may minimise the severity of GBV, particularly in cases of IPV or marital rape. Police and medical professionals, operating within a framework that views GBV as a private family matter, often advise survivors to settle disputes internally, thereby reinforcing the notion that GBV is not a serious criminal offense but rather a domestic issue. Such interactions not only retraumatise survivors but also perpetuate a cycle of violence and silence.

Our interactions with CSO representatives providing support services also pointed out that many women who reached out to them through helplines still choose not to legally report GBV even though they are informed, educated, and economically independent. This underreporting is primarily due to social pressures and the pervasive stigma associated with being labelled as a 'divorcee' or 'infertile'

'incompetent' woman. These societal stigmas create an environment where women fear social ostracism and negative labelling, which can severely impact their social standing and personal relationships. This fear of being judged or blamed for their circumstances often outweighs their willingness to seek justice or support, leading to a troubling silence. Gender norms significantly affect women's experiences with GBV, causing many to continue suffering in silence; however, the manifestation of these norms varies across different sections of women, necessitating tailored strategies to effectively address and combat the issue.

The study findings show that for men and LGBTIQ+ individuals, the barriers to seeking help are even more pronounced. Prevailing gender norms that equate masculinity with strength and invulnerability make it difficult for male survivors to come forward, for fear of emasculation or disbelief. Similarly, systemic discrimination against the LGBTIQ+ community, rooted in institutional (religious, legal and societal) unacceptability, creates an environment diversity of survivor experiences.



Underreporting
is primarily due to
social pressures
and the pervasive
stigma associated
with being labelled
as a 'divorcee' or
'infertile'
'incompetent'
woman.

Gender and its Intersectionality

The intersectionality of gender, race, and socio-economic status plays a pivotal role in shaping survivors' experiences with GBV and their interactions with support services. The research identified that survivors from marginalized communities, such as refugees, foreign spouses, male GBV survivors, the LGBTIQ+ population and communities from Sabah and Sarawak and poor economic groups, face compounded challenges in accessing services. These challenges range from systemic biases and discrimination to lack of culturally sensitive and inclusive support. Such intersectionality underscores the necessity for GBV services to adopt a more nuanced and empathetic approach that recognises and addresses the specific needs and barriers faced by diverse survivor groups.

However, this study underlines a significant correlation between economic conditions, education levels, financial independence, urban residency, and the presence of a supportive family or friend circle with the ability to seek help and access GBV support services in Malaysia. These findings suggest that intersectionalities, such as socioeconomic status and social support networks, interact with gender in ways that can create an enabling environment for survivors to overcome barriers to accessing help.

Survivors with better economic conditions and higher education levels demonstrated a greater awareness of available GBV services and were more proactive in seeking help. Financial independence, in particular, was identified as a critical factor that empowered survivors to make decisions free from the constraints of economic dependency on their abusers. Moreover, education was noted not only to enhance awareness but also to cultivate a sense of entitlement to rights and services, thereby encouraging women to assert their needs and seek support.

Living in Kuala Lumpur or a bigger city emerged as another facilitator in accessing GBV support services. Survivors reported a higher awareness of the services and greater ease in accessing them due to better transportation and less community stigma compared to rural settings where people know each other more closely.

The study also noted that the presence of a supportive family or friend circle was paramount in enabling survivors to seek and access help. Participants highlighted how emotional support and encouragement from loved ones played a crucial role in their decision to reach out to GBV services.

Therefore, it is evident from the study that intersectionalities such as economic condition, education level, urban residency, and the presence of a supportive social network play a pivotal role in navigating the challenges presented by entrenched gender norms and patriarchal values. These factors, fundamentally influencing the capacity of GBV survivors to overcome barriers to support, underscore the need for a multi-dimensional approach to GBV – one that considers the broad spectrum of individual circumstances – and works towards dismantling the structural inequalities that underpin GBV.

This study highlights the critical necessity for systemic change that extends beyond addressing the immediate needs of survivors. Training for service providers on gender sensitivity and cultural competence, alongside legal reforms to protect all survivors of GBV, emerge as foundational steps towards creating an inclusive and supportive environment. Additionally, public awareness campaigns aimed at dismantling the stigma surrounding GBV are essential in shifting societal perceptions and attitudes that contribute to the silencing and marginalisation of survivors.

Existing Strengths and Potential in Malaysia's OSCC Model

Despite the identified gaps in Malaysia's system for addressing GBV from the survivor's perspective, this study highlights significant potential for improvement, leveraging on the existing framework. The OSCC model in Malaysia stands as a testament to this potential, characterised by its comprehensive guidelines, legal support mechanisms like the Stronger Domestic Violence Act and Anti-Stalking Act, amongst others, and the integration of multisectoral services in a single location. Furthermore, the substantial support from CSOs, which currently bear a significant

portion of the service provision load, underscores the system's foundational strengths and ability to adapt and support organizations that are closer to the community.

For example, the OSCC's approach to offering medical, legal, and psychological support under one roof exemplifies the model's integrated service provision. Moreover, the collaboration between the Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development and the Ministry of Health illustrates a concerted effort towards a unified response to GBV. However, the entity accountable to monitor and ensure that the prevention and response strategies to GBV are best met in Malaysia remains vague, silencing and marginalisation of survivors.

"We are working together with other departments like the welfare officer, the CSOs and we refer to psychiatric or Ob-gyns on priority basis if needed. I think it has a good system in place and we try to provide good care to the client."

(Medical Social Worker, Tertiary Hospital, Kuala Lumpur)

Such collaboration not only streamlines the process but also ensures that survivors receive a holistic response tailored to their diverse needs. During the stakeholder's workshop with CSO representatives, it became more

evident that CSOs have a critical position in not only providing services to the survivors but also ensuring that the pathways to care and healing are survivor-centered.

"You see our role in supporting survivors goes beyond immediate assistance, we're here to advocate for systemic changes that ensure every individual's safety and dignity, irrespective of who they are, where they come from or what religion they have. We see it as responsibility and ethics to provide not only with information or link with services but make sure they are taken care even after that."

(CSO Representative, Kuala Lumpur)

Their proactive involvement is pivotal in pushing for advancements within the system and ensuring that services remain survivor-centered.

Legal professionals and healthcare providers within the OSCC network also contribute to its strengths. As the lawyer and medical social worker involved in GBV cases noted.

"The legal framework, including the Domestic Violence Act, provides a solid foundation for us to protect survivors' rights effectively but it is the cultural norms of GBV acceptance that need to change for women to come up and seek justice."

(Senior Lawyer and Human Rights Advocate,
Kuala Lumpur)

"Having clear guidelines and support from various agencies allows us to offer comprehensive care that addresses more than just physical injuries. But I think it will be good if we know of their SOPs too."

(MEDICAL SOCIAL WORKER,
OSC)

These examples and testimonials from various stakeholders highlight the OSCC model's inherent strengths and the collective resolve to refine and expand its impact.

Understanding the strengths of the response system and the OSCC model along with the barriers and gaps in the implementation of these measures, helps to identify focus areas and provide recommendations. This approach enables us to build on the existing foundation—leveraging on the comprehensive guidelines, multisectoral service integration, and robust civil society support that underpin the OSCC model—while addressing the critical areas where survivors' needs may not be fully met. By recognising the OSCC's role in offering a holistic response through immediate access to medical, legal, and psychological support, we acknowledge the model's potential to offer

a seamless experience for survivors. However, it's equally important to confront the challenges survivors face, such as navigating these services, the variability in service quality, and the need for greater awareness and training among service providers. Our goal, therefore, is to propose targeted improvements that refine the OSCC's operational efficacy, expand access to and awareness of GBV services, and ensure that the system's design and implementation are truly survivor-centric. This balanced perspective not only highlights the critical need for ongoing evaluation and adjustment but also aligns with the broader commitment to enhance the safety, dignity, and recovery of GBV survivors.



Recommendations

Ensuring that survivors of GBV have accessible avenues to seek assistance through OSCC transcends mere adherence to regulatory standards; it mirrors the depth of our collective empathy and the foundational values we uphold. By prioritising inclusivity in the design of spaces dedicated to medical support or the pursuit of justice, we do more than just accommodate a diverse populace. This approach is a conscious effort to empower individuals by providing them with a multitude of options tailored to their unique needs and circumstances, thereby reaffirming their autonomy and dignity in the aftermath of violence. Adopting a survivor-centric model in the context of GBV support services demands that we challenge our set systems. It invites us to innovate and reimagine the environments we create for healing and justice, ensuring they are not just safe and welcoming, but also reflective of the varied experiences and identities of survivors. This commitment to inclusivity and diversity fosters a culture of respect and understanding within the first-line responder teams and the broader workforce, creating a ripple effect that enhances collaboration, empathy, and mutual support. Moreover, by embedding these values into the very fabric of the operational model of OSCC, we can set a new standard for what it means to support survivors of GBV. This holistic approach not only facilitates a more empathetic and effective response to individual needs but also contributes to a broader cultural shift towards inclusiveness and respect for diversity. In doing

so, we cultivate a nurturing environment that not only aids in the healing and empowerment of GBV survivors but also enriches our collective experience, driving innovation and fostering a community where everyone is valued and can thrive.

Specific recommendations for each area of GBV services assessed in this study:

1. Strengthening Service Availability (information and awareness)

The study finds that even when the services are available, often community and survivors do not have the information and awareness about these services. Therefore, it is essential to have targeted strategies to improve public awareness at the community level. Information shared to the public should be made inclusive and diverse, reflecting the multiculturalism of Malaysia ; for example, languages used should be varied Bahasa Malaysia, English, Mandarin, Tamil and native dialects for wider outreach and comprehension.

Media and Digital Strategy

- **Public Service Announcements:** Collaborations with local media outlets to broadcast public service announcements can effectively reach a wide audience. These announcements should focus on the signs of GBV, available support services



particularly at OSCC, 24/7 helpline numbers and how to access these services.

- **Social Media Campaigns:** Leveraging social media platforms for awareness campaigns can engage younger demographics and spread information rapidly. These campaigns can utilise interactive content, such as live Q&A sessions with experts, survivor stories, and informational graphics.

Enhancing Digital Presence

- **Development of Websites and Apps:** Creating easily navigable websites and mobile applications that provide detailed information about GBV support services, including OSCC locations, contact information, and services offered, is crucial.
- **Online Support Services:** Implementing online chat support and counselling services can offer a discreet and immediate form of assistance to those in need. The numbers of these call centers should be widely circulated in public spaces like metro stations, buses, hospitals and shopping areas. There is a need for a separate call centre to cater to and support the needs of male and gender diverse GBV survivors.

Partnerships and Collaborations

- **Engagement with Educational Institutions:** Partnering with schools and universities to incorporate GBV awareness and prevention into their curriculum can educate young people early on.
- **Corporate Engagement:** Working with businesses to include information about GBV support services as part of their corporate social responsibility programs can extend the reach of awareness efforts to the workforce
- **CSO Engagement:** Due to their grassroots connections and profound understanding of community needs, CSOs are uniquely positioned to tailor and disseminate awareness campaigns effectively. They can facilitate through community dialogues, collaborate on multi-platform awareness campaigns, and train volunteers and community leaders about the services.

Public Space Information Dissemination

- **Billboards and Public Kiosks:** Placing information in high-traffic public areas, including transportation hubs, shopping centers, and parks, can significantly increase the visibility of GBV support services.



- **Public Transportation Advertising:** Utilising buses, trains, and metro stations for advertising can ensure that information about GBV support services reaches a broad audience regularly.

Professional Training and Sensitisation

- **Training for Frontline Workers:** Offering specialised training for healthcare providers, law enforcement officers, and other frontline workers can enhance the sensitivity and effectiveness of the response to GBV cases.
- **Legal Aid Workshops:** Organizing workshops and providing information about legal rights and support services available to GBV survivors can empower individuals to seek justice and support.

Community-Based Efforts

- **Peer Support Networks:** Establishing support groups facilitated by peers or survivors can provide a powerful platform for sharing experiences and information about accessing support services.
- **Engaging Community Leaders:** Involving respected community figures in awareness efforts can lend credibility and amplify the reach of messaging regarding GBV support services and OSCC.

Community Outreach and Educational Initiatives

- **Door-to-Door Awareness Campaigns:** Conducting direct outreach in communities, especially in areas with limited access to digital resources, can ensure that information about GBV support services reaches the most vulnerable populations.

These strategies represent a comprehensive approach to improving public awareness and accessibility of GBV support services and OSCC. Successful implementation of these initiatives requires coordinated efforts among various stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profit organizations, community groups, and the media.

2. Enhancing Service Accessibility

Removing barriers related to accessibility requires implementing specific changes, such as waiving upfront payment requirements for medical services, including for non-citizens. For example, a hospital could establish a GBV victims' fund to cover expenses and this can be an indicator for MoH to gauge the number of GBV cases that require medical support.

Legal services should be made accessible without the precondition of a police report, acknowledging that the police might represent a barrier rather than a recourse for some survivors. Furthermore, offering services in multiple languages through the employment of multilingual staff or interpreters can make a significant difference.

3. Improving Service Adaptability

Services must be flexible to cater to the diverse needs of GBV survivors. This could include offering survivors the choice of the gender of their healthcare provider to respect their comfort and privacy. For transgender survivors, ensuring that all staff are trained in gender sensitivity, so that individuals are addressed by their chosen name and pronouns, is essential.

Cultural mediation services could also be strengthened to help bridge cultural gaps, making survivors feel more understood and supported. For instance, if the survivor is more comfortable communicating in their native language rather than in Malay or English, a cultural mediator who speaks the same language can facilitate clearer and more empathetic communication. Furthermore, understanding the community's view on family, religion, hierarchy, and social support enables mediators to navigate these topics sensitively, ensuring that the survivor feels safe

and respected throughout their journey towards healing and justice.

4. Ensuring Appropriateness of Services

Cultural sensitivity training for service providers is crucial. This training should include understanding the realities and challenges faced by victims, including in terms of different cultural backgrounds, gender norms and practices, and religious beliefs and practices to avoid prejudices that can exacerbate survivors' trauma. Therefore, service providers need to undergo comprehensive gender and cultural sensitivity training. This training should equip them with the skills and knowledge necessary to offer support that is both respectful and understanding of diverse cultural backgrounds. For example, the training materials should cover:

- **Inclusive Understanding of GBV:** Develop training modules that emphasize the inclusive nature of GBV, highlighting how it affects individuals across all gender identities. It can use statistics, case studies, and survivor stories to illustrate the breadth and depth of GBV.
- **Bias Recognition Exercises:** Integrate exercises designed to help individuals identify and understand their unconscious biases and stereotypes. These could include self-assessment tools, interactive workshops, and guided discussions that encourage personal reflection.



Skills Development

- **Empathetic Communication:** Provide training on empathetic communication techniques, ensuring that service providers know how to interact with survivors in a manner that is respectful, supportive, and free of judgement.
- **Cultural Competence:** Offer sessions on cultural competence that cover various cultural backgrounds, gender identities, and expressions, focusing on the specific needs and sensitivities of diverse groups.

Policy and Procedure Review

- **Inclusive Policies:** Review and update organizational policies and procedures to ensure they are inclusive and address the needs of all survivors, including men, transgender individuals, and other gender-diverse people.
- **Guidelines for Inclusive Care:** Develop clear guidelines for providing care that respects the individual's socioeconomic background, gender identity and expression, including protocols for addressing and referring to survivors in a manner that respects their socioeconomic status, and preferred pronouns and names.

Ongoing Support and Supervision

- **Continued Education:** Implement a system for ongoing education and training on GBV that includes updates on best practices for supporting diverse survivors and this should be made mandatory and should be monitored every quarter or half yearly.
- **Supportive Supervision:** Establish a system of supportive supervision where service providers can discuss cases, reflect on their interactions with survivors, and receive feedback in a constructive environment.

Collaboration and Partnership

- **Community Engagement:** Actively engage with communities to raise awareness about the inclusivity of GBV services and to build trust among diverse populations.
- **Engage with LGBTIQ+ and Gender-Diverse Organizations:** Partner with organizations that specialize in supporting LGBTIQ and other gender-diverse individuals to provide, expert training and resources for service providers. It is recommended to strengthen inter-agency collaboration by actively including CSOs that are trans-led or LGBTIQ+ focused. These organizations should be integrated into OSCC teams or accepted as referral partners. This inclusion will facilitate peer support and peer counselling, ensuring that GBV services are more inclusive, comprehensive, and responsive to the needs of all survivors.

5. Prioritising Safety

Establishing protocols that ensure the immediate safety of GBV survivors is vital.

This may include discreetly located shelters that do not disclose their address publicly and have strict security measures.

Although as per the OSCC guidelines there should be a separate private “OSCC” room for the GBV survivors, many hospitals do not have them due to insufficient space or infrastructure. Therefore, medical facilities should have dedicated rooms for private examination and case management where survivors feel safe to disclose their experiences without fear of being overheard or exposed to others at the emergency department.

6. Enforcing Informed Consent and Confidentiality

Training for all GBV service providers on the importance of informed consent and maintaining confidentiality is necessary. Based on the study, the following strategies could be considered:

a. Institute Informed Consent Procedures:

- Develop clear guidelines to ensure survivors are fully informed about their options, including the potential benefits and consequences of each choice, whether it pertains to medical treatment, legal action, or police involvement.
- Require explicit, written (recorded) informed consent from survivors before proceeding with any intervention or sharing their information.

b. Strengthen Confidentiality and Data Security:

- Train all staff on data privacy laws and the ethical handling of sensitive information.

c. Respect Survivor Autonomy in Legal and Police Engagement:

- Establish a clear policy guideline that no action involving police or legal proceedings is taken without the survivor's consent, acknowledging their right to choose their course of action.
- Facilitate discussions where survivors can freely express their preferences regarding legal or police intervention, ensuring that they are supported regardless of their decision. This can also be done by ensuring that survivors receive comprehensive copies of all their medical reports, case notes, and any docu-

mentation relevant to their case in a timely and understandable format.

7. Facilitating Effective Communication

Training for professionals in recognising non-verbal cues of distress and employing culturally sensitive language can significantly improve communication with survivors. This could be complemented by the presence of trained advocates who can navigate systemic barriers alongside the survivor, ensuring their voice is heard and their needs are met. In this context, there is a need to conduct specialised training sessions for OSCC doctors on identifying potential risks and complications associated with repeated experiences of GBV. This should include understanding the cumulative impact of trauma on physical and mental health and recognizing the signs that may indicate a survivor is at increased risk. Similarly, there is a need to equip doctors with the knowledge and tools to develop personalised care plans that address both the immediate and long-term needs of survivors, with an emphasis on minimising further trauma.

8. Coordinating Across Sectors and Agencies

To provide comprehensive care, a coordinated network involving medical services, law enforcement, legal aid, and NGOs is necessary. This could be facilitated through the following strategies:

a. Formation of a Central Coordination Committee:

A committee should be established, comprising representatives from healthcare, law enforcement, welfare, legal services,

mental health providers and NGOs. Its primary function would be to oversee the integration of services and ensure efficient inter-agency communication and referral processes are smooth in every OSCC.

b. Development of an Integrated Referral System:

Implement an integrated referral system to enable smooth transitions for survivors between different services. This should include standardised protocols for sharing information securely, respecting the privacy and consent of survivors and ensuring that the survivor has all the safety and support including financial ability, transport facility, childcare etc to navigate between different service providers.

c. Provision of Comprehensive Mental Health Support:

Ensure that mental health and counselling services are not merely options but integral components of the long-term care plan for survivors, with regular follow-up and assessments to address ongoing and evolving needs.

d. Access to Shelter and Protection Services:

Establish clear, immediate pathways for survivors (and their children and dependents, where applicable) to access safe shelter and protection services. This is crucial for those at imminent risk of further violence. If the government shelter homes are not available, then an authorised list of CSOs that provide shelter services should be made available to the medical social worker.

e. Legal Aid and Advocacy:

Ensure survivors have direct access to legal support services that can guide them through the legal system, inform them of their rights and provide advocacy in legal proceedings.

9. Addressing Intersecting Identities

Programs ~~must~~ recognise the compounded challenges faced by individuals with intersecting identities. Tailored support services that consider the nuances of refugee status, gender identity and cultural background can offer more effective support. For instance, creating support groups specifically for transgender or refugees where they can share experiences and receive targeted advice and support would be helpful.

10. Integrating GBV Training Across Health Sectors

GBV awareness and response training should be mandatory across all health sectors, ensuring that professionals are equipped to provide appropriate support to refugees and other marginalised groups. This includes training on specific health issues related to GBV, such as sexual and reproductive health services, that are respectful and inclusive of all survivors' needs. A mandatory training course and integration of gender mainstreaming and survivor-centric GBV response should be given to all medical students including doctors and nurses irrespective of their specialisation.

11. Developing Comprehensive GBV Guidelines

There is a need for revised guidelines and SOP for GBV services that respect the complexities of survivor identities and enhance responsiveness and support. These guidelines should be developed in consultation with survivors and the CSOs, ensuring their experiences and needs are at the forefront of the service design and delivery. These guidelines should lay out clear protocols, processes and mechanisms and include a

minimum checklist for any hospital to provide services and should include a regular monitoring and evaluation system to continuously feed the services and improve its implementation. Preferably these guidelines should be made separately for SGBV cases, cases of child abuse, sodomy, domestic violence, IPV, etc. It should also be gender inclusive with clear instructions on how to manage cases of gender diverse individuals. Lastly, it must integrate gender intersectionality as a lens in the service provision to ensure that no one is left behind in accessing support services at the OSCC.

There is a need for revised guidelines and SOP for GBV services that respect the complexities of survivor identities and enhance responsiveness and support. These guidelines should be developed in consultation with survivors and the CSOs, ensuring their experiences and needs are at the forefront of the service design and delivery. These guidelines should lay out clear protocols, processes and mechanisms, and include a minimum checklist for any hospital to provide services and should include a regular monitoring and evaluation system to continuously feed the services and improve its implementation. Preferably these guidelines should be made separately for SGBV cases, cases of child abuse, sodomy, domestic violence, IPV, etc. It should also be gender inclusive with clear instructions on how to manage cases of gender diverse individuals. Lastly, it must integrate gender intersectionality as a lens in the service provision to ensure that no one is left behind in accessing support services at the OSCC.

By systematically integrating these detailed strategies and recommendations, the support system for GBV survivors can be significantly improved. Ensuring that every individual, regardless of their background or circumstances, has access to the care and support they need and deserve is not just a moral imperative but a practical one that can lead to healthier, more resilient

communities. moral imperative but a practical one that can lead to healthier, more resilient communities.

12. Leveraging the existing CSO support in GBV response

Our findings underscore the critical need for governmental recognition of CSOs and the invaluable services they provide. Therefore, supporting CSOs in expanding their capacities is essential for a more equitable sharing of responsibilities between the government and civil society in addressing GBV. This will not only strengthen the network of support available to survivors but also ensures that the services provided are comprehensive, accessible and, most importantly, effective in aiding the recovery and empowerment of GBV survivors.

13. Need for further research, data and information and regular reviews of protocol.

The need to collect nuanced evidence and comprehensive national statistics on GBV in Malaysia is crucial, as it forms the backbone of understanding the scope and specifics of GBV in the country. This detailed understanding will also allow for targeted interventions and helps ensure that Malaysia meets its international obligations. Therefore, the following are recommended:

1. Routine Evaluation of Case Management Protocols:

A systematic process should be established to periodically review the case management protocols. This review should assess their effectiveness in addressing the needs of survivors, with adjustments made based on findings to improve service delivery and outcomes.

2. Establishment of Feedback Mechanisms:

It is crucial to create avenues through which both survivors of GBV and service providers can offer feedback on the case management protocols. This direct input will be invaluable in the ongoing development and refinement of these protocols, ensuring they remain relevant and effective.

3. Enhanced Data Collection and Analysis:

To inform and guide the interventions or support system, a robust strategy for collecting detailed evidence and national statistics on GBV must be put in place. This strategy should, focus on gathering data on the prevalence of GBV, the characteristics of survivors and the efficacy of services provided. Such comprehensive data collection is essential for crafting targeted interventions, efficiently allocating funds and resources, and meeting Malaysia's international commitments to combat GBV.

14. Policy level changes to make the services more inclusive.

As part of the recommendations to improve services at OSCC, it is crucial to address the legislative gaps surrounding domestic violence.

This includes the expansion of the legal definition to cover unmarried intimate partners, thus recognising the occurrence of violence in non-marital relationships. Additionally, it is imperative to advocate for the legal recognition of marital rape as a crime. Acknowledging marital rape within the legal framework is essential to ensure that all survivors of IPV, regardless of their marital status, have access to the necessary legal protections and support services offered by the OSCC. This acknowledgment represents a significant step forward in the provision of

equitable and holistic care for all survivors of gender-based violence.

It is also recommended that state policy explicitly recognise LGBTQIA+, including transgender individuals' rights to healthcare and support services. This recognition should permeate all areas of legislation and public policy, thereby affirming the experiences and rights of these individuals, in the realm of healthcare and support services. By acknowledging LGBTQ+ identities and the unique challenges faced by transgender individuals, state policy can create an inclusive environment that protects against discrimination and violence. This would ensure that OSCC and other service providers are equipped to offer tailored, respectful and effective support to all individuals, honouring their identity and addressing the specificities of the violence they may encounter.

Lastly, to truly empower survivors and facilitate their access to necessary support services, policy efforts and further research must aim to bolster these enabling factors. Ensuring that all survivors, irrespective of their socioeconomic, educational background, or urban versus rural residency, have equal access to support services is paramount. Moreover, recognising and addressing the unique challenges faced by survivors from diverse backgrounds, including men and LGBTQ+ individuals who face systematic discrimination, is crucial in fostering an environment where every individual feels validated and supported in their journey towards healing and justice. In conclusion, acknowledging and leveraging the intersectionalities in gender and other socio-economic factors are essential in shaping effective and responsive GBV support services. Only through a concerted effort that addresses these deeply ingrained biases and structural inequalities can we hope to forge a path towards a society where all survivors of gender-based violence have the resources and support they need to seek help and pursue justice confidently.

References

- ¹ Asian Development Bank, UN Women. Gender equality and the sustainable development goals in asia and the pacific: Baseline and pathways for transformative change by 2030 [Internet] 2018 [Accessed: 22 Jan 2024]. Available from: <https://asiapacific.unwomen.org/en/digital-library/publications/2018/10/apsdg>.
- ² https://www.unwomen.org/en/what-we-do/ending-violence-against-women/facts-and-figures#_edn9
- ³ World Health Organisation. (2018). Violence against women prevalence estimates, 2018 – WHO Western Pacific Region. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-SRH-21.12>
- ⁴ Kadir Shahar, H., Jafri, F., Mohd Zulkefli, N.A. et al. Prevalence of intimate partner violence in Malaysia and its associated factors: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2020;20(1550). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09587-4>
- ⁵ Othman S, Yuen CW, Zain NM, Samad AA. Exploring intimate partner violence among women attending Malaysian primary care clinics. *J Interpers Violence*. 2019;1(22)
- ⁶ Haron K, Shaffie Z, Ghazi HF, Md Isa Z. Women’s attitude and its influence on violence during pregnancy in northern state of peninsular Malaysia : cross-sectional study; 2018. p. 1–25
- ⁷ Yut-Lin W, Othman S. Early detection and prevention of domestic violence using the women abuse screening tool (WAST) in primary health care clinics in Malaysia. *Asia-Pacific J Public Heal*. 2008;20(2):102–16 Available from: <http://aph.sagepub.com/cgi/doi/10.1177/1010539507311899>.
- ⁸ Department of Social Welfare. (2021). Laporan statistik 2021. Retrieved from [km.gov.my/jkm/uploads/files/BAHAGIAN_KAWALAN_STANDARD/Laporan_Statistik_JKM_2021\(2\).pdf](http://km.gov.my/jkm/uploads/files/BAHAGIAN_KAWALAN_STANDARD/Laporan_Statistik_JKM_2021(2).pdf)
- ⁹ Colombini, M., Ali, S.H., Watts, C. et al. One-stop crisis centres: A policy analysis of the Malaysian response to intimate partner violence. *Health Res Policy Sys*. 2011;6(25). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-9-25>
- ¹⁰ https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Polisi/OSCC_policy.pdf
- ¹¹ Colombini, M., Ali, S.H., Watts, C. et al. One-stop crisis centres: A policy analysis of the Malaysian response to intimate partner violence. *Health Res Policy Sys*. 2011;6(25). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4505-9-25> Ministry of Health Malaysia. *Policy and Guidelines for Hospitals 2015* [Accessed: 28 Jan 2024]. Available from : https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Polisi/OSCC_policy.pdf
- ¹² Hassan A: *Medical services for the management of survivors of violence women and children*. 1994, KL Hospital, Kuala Lumpur, 88-???
- ¹³ Federal constitution of Malaysia [internet] 1957 [Accessed: 16 Jan 2024]. Available from: http://www.agc.gov.my/agcportal/index.php?r=portal2/left&menu_id=dDI5alZpOWFtcGl5MnZ5M1dtT1NNZz09

- ¹⁴ Sisters in Islam & Musawah. Joint report on Muslim family law and Muslim women's rights in Malaysia 2018 [Accessed: 17 Jan 2024]. Available from: <http://www.musawah.org/wpcontent/uploads/2019/02/Malaysia-SIS-Musawah-Joint-Thematic-Report-2018-CEDAW69.pdf>
- ¹⁵ Islamic Family Law (Act 303) 1984 [Accessed: 27 Jan 2024]. Available from: www.agc.gov.my/agcportal/index.php?r=portal2/lom2&id=773.
- ¹⁶ Telenisa. Statistics and Findings 2019 [internet] 2019 [Accessed: 27 Jan 2024]. Available from: [Telenisa_booklet_2019_Eng.pdf](#) (sistersinislam.org)
- ¹⁷ Islamic Family Law (Act 303) 1984 [Accessed: 27 Jan 2024]. Available from: www.agc.gov.my/agcportal/index.php?r=portal2/lom2&id=773.
- ¹⁸ Domestic Violence Act 1994 (Act 521), (1994).
- ¹⁹ Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. Concluding comments of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women: Malaysia 2006 [Accessed: 22 Jan 2024]. Available from: <https://undocs.org/CEDAW/C/MYS/CO/2>.
- ²⁰ Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. Concluding observations on the combined third to fifth periodic reports of Malaysia 2018 [Accessed: 22 Jan 2024]. Available from: https://tbinternet.ohchr.org/Treaties/CEDAW/Shared%20Documents/MYS/CEDAW_C_MYS_CO_3-5_28435_E.docx.
- ²¹ Council of Europe. Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence against Women and Domestic Violence. Council of Europe Treaty Series - no. 210 [internet] 2011 [Accessed: 24 Jan 2024]. Available from: <https://www.coe.int/en/web/conventions/full-list/conventions/rms/090000168008482e>.
- ²² Penal Code (Act 574) [Accessed: 24 Jan 2024]. Available from: http://www.agc.gov.my/agcportal/index.php?r=portal2/lom&menu_id=b21XYmExVUhFOE4wempZdE1vNUVKdz09.
- ²³ Mallow M & Ying P, LGBT and the Issue of Sexual Harassment in Malaysia, PERDANA International Journal of Academic Research. 2019;6(2)
- ²⁴ UNFPA, A holistic approach to GBV survivors sees women rebuilding after conflict, September 2023, UNFPA Ethiopia | A holistic approach to GBV survivors sees women rebuilding after conflict
- ²⁵ Colombini, M., Mayhew, S.H., Ali, S.H. et al. An integrated health sector response to violence against women in Malaysia: lessons for supporting scale up. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(548). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-548>
- ²⁶ Colombini, M., Mayhew, S.H., Ali, S.H. et al. An integrated health sector response to violence against women in Malaysia: lessons for supporting scale up. BMC Public Health. 2012;12(548). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-548>
- ²⁷ Women's Aid Organisation, A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) – A summary of initial findings and recommendations, 2021

- ²⁸ Women's Aid Organisation, A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) – A summary of initial findings and recommendations, 2021
- ²⁹ Colombini M, Mayhew S, Watts C. Health-sector responses to intimate partner violence in low- and middle-income settings: a review of current models, challenges and opportunities. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2008;86(8):635-42.
- ³⁰ Women's Aid Organisation, A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) – A summary of initial findings and recommendations, 2021
- ³¹ ECPAT, INTERPOL and UNICEF. (2022). *Disrupting Harm in Malaysia: Evidence on online child sexual exploitation and abuse.* Global Partnership to End Violence Against Children.
- ³² Reference?
- ³³ Women's Aid Organisation, A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) – A summary of initial findings and recommendations, 2021
- ³⁴ Yut-Lin W, Othman S. Early Detection and Prevention of Domestic Violence Using the Women Abuse Screening Tool (WAST) in Primary Health Care Clinics in Malaysia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health.* 2008;20(2):102-116. oi:10.1177/1010539507311899
- ³⁵ Ghani M. A, Ahmad N. A, Mohamad A., Profiles of Domestic Violence Victims and Perpetrators: A Qualitative Research Perspective. *Journal of Advanced Research in Social and Behavioural Studies.* 2016;4(1):43-50.
- ³⁶ Hamid H B, Addressing Gender-Based Violence against Women in Malaysia during the Pandemic, ASC Monograph 2021: Advancing Southeast Asia through Gender Mainstreaming. 14
- ³⁷ Osborn, M. (2022). LGBTQIA+ people's service access during the COVID-19 pandemic: Obstacles to care and provider adaptations. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services*, 1-26. doi:10.1080/10538720.2022.2053025 38 Eve. (2021). COVID-19 and the Increase of Domestic Violence in the LGBTQIA+ Community. Retrieved from <https://www.eveinc.org/post/covid-19-and-the-increase-of-domestic-violence-in-the-lgbtqi-a-community>
- ³⁹ Salva, A. (2019, 4 April). Is There Room for Transgender Rights in the 'New Malaysia'? Activists believe that the situation for trans people has not changed at all, or has arguably gotten even worse. *The Diplomat*. Retrieved from <https://thediplomat.com/2019/04/is-there-room-for-transgender-rights-in-the-new-malaysia>
- ⁴⁰ Hamid H B, Addressing Gender-Based Violence against Women in Malaysia during the Pandemic, ASC Monograph 2021: Advancing Southeast Asia through Gender Mainstreaming.
- ⁴¹ Hamid H B, Addressing Gender-Based Violence against Women in Malaysia during the Pandemic, ASC Monograph 2021: Advancing Southeast Asia through Gender Mainstreaming.
- ⁴² Mallow M & Ying P, LGBT and the Issue of Sexual Harassment in Malaysia, *PERDANA International Journal of Academic Research.* 2019;6(2).
- ⁴³ Women's Aid Organisation, *Sexual and Gender-Based Violence Among Refugee Communities in Malaysia – A Policy Brief by Women's Aid Organisation*, January 2021.
- ⁴⁴ Women's Aid Organisation, *Sexual and Gender-Based Violence Among Refugee Communities in Malaysia – A Policy Brief by Women's Aid Organisation*, January 2021.

- ⁴⁵ ARROW, Reclaiming SRHR of Women and Girls with Disabilities, A Training of Trainers (TOT Manual on Disability Rights, Gender, and SRHR, 2021.
- ⁴⁶ Women's Aid Organisation, Submission on COVID-19 and the Increase of Domestic Violence Against Women in Malaysia to the UN Special Rapporteur on Violence against women, its causes and consequences, June 2020.
- ⁴⁷ Mulok et al., Tips of the Iceberg: Domestic Violence during COVID-19, Movement Control Order (MCO) in Malaysia, Proceedings. 2022;82(1):60.
- ⁴⁸ UN Women, UNFPA, UNICEF 2020; GBV Service Assessment Methodology: A Guide On How To Assess The Essential Services For Women And Girls Who Have Experienced Gender-Based Violence December 2020.
- ⁴⁹ Nielsen, L. (2019). *Personas—User Focused Design*. Springer London.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-7427-1>.
- ⁵⁰ Pruitt, John, and Tamara Adlin. *The Persona Lifecycle: Keeping People in Mind throughout Product Design*. The Morgan Kaufmann Series in Interactive Technologies. Amsterdam; Boston: Elsevier : Morgan Kaufmann Publishers, an imprint of Elsevier, 2006.
- ⁵¹ Howard, T. (2014). Journey mapping: A brief overview. *Communication Design Quarterly*, 2(3), 10–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2644448.2644451>
- ⁵² Chapman, C.N., & Milham, R. P. (2006). The persona's new clothes: methodological and practical arguments against a popular method. *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society 50th Annual Meeting*, pp. 634 –636
- ⁵³ Salminen, J., Santos, J. M., Jung, S. -G., Eslami, M., & Jansen, B. J. (2020). Persona Transparency: Analyzing the Impact of Explanations on Perceptions of Data-Driven Personas. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 36(8), 788–800.
- ⁵⁴ Hill, C. G., Haag, M., Oleson, A., Mendez, C., Marsden, N., Sarma, A., & Burnett, M. (2017). Gender-Inclusiveness Personas vs. Stereotyping: Can We Have It Both Ways? *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 6658–6671.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3025453.3025609>
- ⁵⁵ Turner, P., & Turner, S. (2011). Is stereotyping inevitable when designing with personas? *Design Studies*, 32(1), 30–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2010.06.00>
- ⁵⁶ Wilson, A., De Paoli, S., Forbes, P., & Sachy, M. (2018). Creating personas for political and social consciousness in HCI design. *Persona Studies*, 4(2), 25–46.
<https://doi.org/10.21153/psj2018vol4no2art7>
- ⁵⁷ Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2022). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE
- ⁵⁸ One-stop Crisis Centers: Policy and Guidelines for Hospital, Ministry of Health Malaysia, 2015,
https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Polisi/OSCC_policy.pdf



ANNEX A

Participant Information Sheet

Experiences and perspectives of GBV survivors and other stakeholders on the One Stop Crisis Center services (OSCC) in Malaysia

Introduction

We are a research team from the United Nations University - International Institute for Global Health (UNU-IIGH), conducting an assessment of Malaysia's response to gender-based violence (GBV) on behalf of UNFPA. Our goal is to develop recommendations that safeguard the health, well-being, and rights of individuals of all genders affected by GBV.

This information sheet outlines the details of the study. We would like to ask you to participate in interviews/focus groups so we can better inform them of the improvement of these services. Should you wish to participate, or if you have any further questions regarding this research or any terms that you would like us to explain further in this form, please let us know by contacting us whenever you are ready.

Purpose of the research

While Gender-based Violence (GVB) is a serious public health concern generating human suffering as well as significant health, welfare, legal and other economic costs for the survivors, their families and communities, there is a lack of reliable and consistent data in Malaysia. Despite the Domestic Violence Act enactment in 1994, GBV is still largely considered a private matter. Moreover, there is inadequate support services for survivors from different gender diverse groups and backgrounds.

We want to prevent this from happening and ensure that survivors are supported through the provision of a comprehensive range of prevention and response services in Malaysia (including health, welfare, legal, employment and education). You can help us by sharing your experience with these services so we can produce recommendations for policymakers to better address GBV gender-based violence and meet the needs of survivors and their families.

A critical part of this study is the interviews and discussions with key informants that we will be undertaking, such as survivors of GBV, civil society organizations, social worker, doctors supporting survivors experiencing GBV regarding their experiences and perspectives on GBV support services, particularly the OSCC services in Malaysia and understand the barriers and gaps in service implementation.

Type of Research Intervention

This research will involve your participation in an closed door interview or focus group discussion that will take about one hour of your time.

Participant Selection

You are invited to participate in this research because your experience with the Gender-based violence and the support services can inform our understanding and knowledge of how these services can be improved.

Voluntary Participation

Your participation in this research is entirely voluntary and there are no consequences to you (regarding receiving services or having any impact on your work). You may change your mind later and stop participating even if you agreed earlier.

Procedures

Should you decide to participate, we will be asking your questions about your experience with these services, such as what is currently working well, what are the gaps and barriers in accessing them, and what could be improved and how. This knowledge will be turned into recommendations for policymakers to better address GBV gender-based violence and meet the needs of survivors and their families.

The interview/focus group will take about 1 hour of your time. We intend to voice record the interview to ensure we do not miss any important information. However, any information you share with us will be treated as confidential and securely stored. We will ensure that your personal details will not be identified in the resulting reports. Please let us know if you do not wish the interview to be recorded, in which case, we will be taking notes. We will ask you to sign a consent form before we conduct the interview/focus groups. A copy of the consent form will be given to you for your records. A Malay version will also be available if you prefer that option.

During the interview, we will sit down with you in a comfortable and private space. You are free to decide not to answer a question if you do not feel comfortable; if so, please let us know so we can move on to the next question. For interviews, only us researchers will be present unless you would like someone else to join. The information recorded is confidential, and no one else except the researchers will have access to the information documented during your interview. The entire interview will be tape-recorded, but no one will be identified by name on the tape. The tape will be stored in a secure place. The tapes will be destroyed after 6 months. For the focus groups, we will notify you ahead of time who will be participating in the guided discussions.

This study involves questions to help us assess the coverage, reach, quantity and quality of the services being delivered as well as an assessment of unmet needs and the operational details and resources policy implementation to inform recommendations that improve the integrated response to sexual and gender-based violence in Malaysia. We will also ask a few questions related to your socio-economic background and your experiences of violence. This will help us to understand better the barriers to accessing services for those who are in similar situations to you.

Duration

The study has several components, and the interviews/focus groups is a critical component to inform recommendations. You will only be interviewed for 1 hour. If you participate in the focus group discussion, it will also be about 1 hour of your time. If only there is need, we will not contact you again for few follow up question, for which we will seek your availability and consent again.

Risks

During the interview, we might ask you to share with us what you consider to be personal and confidential information which you feel uncomfortable addressing. There is also a risk that you may share some personal or confidential information by chance, or that you may feel uncomfortable talking about some of the topics. If so, please let us know so we can stop discussing the topic and move on to the next question. You do not have to provide a reason for not responding to the question, or for refusing to take part in the interview/focus group discussion at any time.

Benefits

Your participation will help us find out more about how to prevent and respond to gender-based violence in your community. The research findings will be translated into ongoing advocacy, policy

making, and intervention activities. Too often critical research findings never reach the attention of the policy makers and advocates best positioned to use them. The enormous personal, social, and health-related costs of GBV place a moral obligation on researchers and donors to try to ensure that study findings are applied in the real world. An Advisory Expert Group will guide the research and champion the recommendations from the study.

Reimbursements

There are no incentives to participate in this study, except the knowing that you are contributing to improving the gender-based violence services in Malaysia, which will benefit many survivors and their families.

Confidentiality

The research being done in the community may draw attention and if you participate you may be asked questions by other people in the community. We will not be sharing information about you to anyone outside of the research team. The information that we collect from this research project will be kept private. Any information about you will have a number on it instead of your name or any other details that identify you. Researchers will keep your personal information confidential and securely stored.

Sharing the Results

Nothing that you tell us today will be shared with anybody outside the research team, and nothing will be linked to your name or other personal details that might identify you. The findings from this study will be shared with you and your community before it is made widely available to the public. There might also be small meetings in the community to discuss the results. Following the meetings, we will publish the results so that other interested people may learn from this study.

We would like to use the anonymized results of this study to contribute to open data – that means that it will be published so other researchers can benefit from the data that we generate in this research, but they will have no way of tracing it back to you.

Right to Refuse or Withdraw

You might refuse to participate in the interviews/focus groups at any time, and there will not be consequences for the services you receive or your job in any way. We will offer you an opportunity at the end of the interview/discussion to review your remarks, and you can ask to modify or remove portions of those, if you do not agree with our notes or if we did not understand you correctly.

Who to Contact

Feel free to ask us questions at any stage by contacting us at claudia.lopes@unu.edu or aparna.mukherjee@unu.edu or calling us: +60391715394.

This proposal has been reviewed and approved by the United Nations University Ethics Review Board (UNU ERB), which is a committee which ensures that research participants are protected from harm. If you wish to find about more about the UNU ERB, please email erb@unu.edu.

You can ask me any other questions about this study, if you wish to. Do you have any questions?

ANNEX B

Informed Consent Form

Research Project Title:	Experiences and perspectives of GBV survivors and other stakeholders on the One Stop Crisis Center services (OSCC) in Malaysia
Principal Investigator(s):	Dr. Claudia Abreu Lopes

Participation Information
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I understand the purpose of the research study and my involvement in it. • I understand the risks of participating in this research study. • I understand the benefits of participating in this research study. • I understand that I may withdraw from the research study at any stage without any penalty. • I understand that participation in this study is done on a voluntary basis. • I understand that while information gained during the study may be published, I will not be identified and my personal results will remain confidential. • I understand that I will receive no payment for participating in this study. • I understand that my interview will be recorded so that an accurate transcription can be made. • I understand that photos may be taken during this research, and that I can choose on a case-by-case basis whether I wish for them to be shared outside the research team • I understand that data generated as part of this study will be used to contribute to open data sets following this study. I understand that this does not include any personally identifiable information

Information Explanation
The above information was explained to me by: [name of investigator]
The above information was explained to me in: <input type="checkbox"/> English <input type="checkbox"/> Malay <input type="checkbox"/> Other: and I am in command of this language.
OR , it was comprehensibly translated to me by: [name of translator]

Voluntary Consent

After having received all the information about this study,

I, _____, hereby voluntarily consent to participate in the above-mentioned research.


I declare that I am above the age of consent, and that I am legally able to provide this consent myself.

I also declare that to the best of my knowledge; I am able to participate in this study.

Signature:

OR, right hand thumb print

Date: / /



Witness signature:

Investigator Declaration

I, _____, declare that I have explained all the participant information to the participant and have truthfully answered all questions asked me by the participant.

Signature:

Date: / /

Translator Declaration

I, _____, declare that I translated a factually correct version of:

1. all the contents of this document
2. all questions posed by the participant.
3. all answers given by the investigator.

In addition, I declare that all information acquired by me regarding this research will be kept confidential.

Signature:

Date: / /

ANNEX C

Interview Guideline for survivors of GBV

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
<p>Prelude to the interview: <i>Thank you for taking the time to participate in this interview. Introductions from anyone present in the interview and their role.</i></p> <p><i>These questions are about your experiences regarding the OSCCs. Thus, there are no right or wrong answers. Please note the interview will be conducted in Zoom (if not in person) and recorded for research purposes only. Your personal information and identity will be kept confidential and only collective findings will be reported to inform the improvement of OSCC services for survivors of violence. Please do not hesitate to stop or pause the interview at any point in time if you feel uncomfortable or need a break. You may also choose to not answer any questions that you do not wish to.</i></p> <p><i>Do you have any questions before we begin the interview?</i></p> <p>Note to interviewer:</p> <p>Please remember to probe for the approximate time taken when survivors describe processes they underwent.</p> <p>Should there be any time limit, questions regarding the following (in order) should be prioritized:</p> <p>a) Accessibility of OSCC (especially Part B: Safety in Access and Part C: Non-Discrimination)</p> <p>b) Quality of Care (especially sections on how survivors were treated by staff)</p> <p>c) Coordination of Services and Integration of Care</p> <p>All priority sections are in LIGHT GREY BACKGROUND</p>		
Background	<p>Can you share a little about your background (age, education, occupation, etc.)?</p> <p>How would you describe your current living situation?</p>	<p>(probe age, education, ethnicity, nationality, employment, current marital status, children) All this information should be gathered before the interview through the CSO, probing needed only if some information is missing. Else, let the participant share as per their preference.)</p>
Experience of violence	<p>If it is okay for you to share, can you share about the incident(s) of gender-based violence you experienced?</p>	<p>Note the following if shared by the survivor but do not probe</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> How long ago did this incident(s) happen? (age at which it happened) In what context or relationship did the violence occur (e.g., intimate partner, family, stranger)? When you look back why do you think it happened to you?
Seeking Help and Disclosure	<p>After experiencing GBV, did you seek help from anyone (friends, family, professionals)? Why or why not? Tell us your journey about how you reached OSCC.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, whom did you approach first, and what kind of support was offered? If you have not sought help, could you share some reasons why you decided against it?

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> c. Have you disclosed the incident of violence to anyone? If yes, who were the first people you told, and why did you choose them? d. Were there people you chose not to tell? What were the reasons for not disclosing to them?
Number of times in the OSCC	How many times have you accessed the OSCC (you were received, treated, and discharged)?	<p>If yes, list down approximate time frames between visits)</p> <p>For the following questions, please describe the visit you spent the longest time in the OSCC.</p>
Availability	Can you walk me step-by-step, through how you managed to get to the OSCC?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. How did you find the OSCC? b. Were you referred to, or was it self-referred? c. If you were referred, who referred you? d. If referred from other facilities: Which facility or district? e. Which Hospital's OSCC did you visit? f. How long did it take you to get there?
Acceptability	<i>Could you please describe your experience in the OSCC step-to-step? Please focus on how you felt throughout the process.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. What happened when you arrived at the OSCC? b. Where you greeted, or did you have to find someone to attend to you?
	How did you feel about the OSCC services?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Were you able to access the services needed? Were you satisfied with the services and how they were provided? c. Did you feel that the processes at the OSCC were difficult to follow? If so, in what way? d. At any step, were you given the opportunity to pause and recollect yourself?
Accessibility	PART A: PHYSICAL <i>I will ask some questions regarding your experience getting to the OSCC. In your experience, how accessible was the OSCC once you arrived at the hospital?</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Was it easy to find? b. Was it easy to get to the OSCC from where you were? c. Did you require transportation to get to the OSCC? d. Did you feel safe getting to the OSCC? e. Did you get to the OSCC alone? f. How long did you have to wait before being attended to?
	PART B: SAFETY IN ACCESS <i>I will ask you some questions about your experiences regarding privacy and safety when accessing the OSCC.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Did you feel that your examination was conducted in a safe and confidential environment?

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
	<p><i>Did you feel safe while you were receiving the services in the OSCC facility? (physical)</i></p> <p><i>Were you asked to make a police report prior to accessing OSCC services?</i></p>	<p>b. Was there privacy during your examination (e.g. a private room, away from general areas), during your time at the OSCC?</p> <p>c. Were you made to wait in a public corridor or space while waiting to be seen?</p> <p>d. Was the overall consultation away from public spaces accessible by the public? (i.e. no clear separation from triaging or reception to the treatment/examination space)?</p> <p>e. Was the space welcoming for you?</p> <p>If yes: what happened?</p> <p>a. Did the hospital explain why the police report was needed?</p> <p>b. Did the hospital insist on getting a report otherwise admission is refused?</p> <p>If no: why?</p>
	<p>PART C: NON-DISCRIMINATION <i>I will ask you about how you felt at the OSCC, including how you were treated by both medical and non-medical staff.</i></p> <p><i>Did you feel treated with respect in the OSCC?</i></p>	<p>a. Thinking back, did you feel comfortable with the staff, and did you feel respected? Did staff speak to you with respect?</p> <p>Probe: Did they 'talk down' to you or raise their voice at you? Did they ask questions that made you uncomfortable and that you thought were not necessary for treatment?</p> <p>Probe: Did OSCC staff ask about sexual history. If so, did you feel discriminated against due to this?</p> <p>b. Did you feel as if you were judged when you were giving your answers or experiences?</p> <p>Did they take you seriously, did they address your concerns seriously?</p> <p>c. Were there any inappropriate incidents that occurred during your attendance at OSCC that made you feel unsafe?</p> <p>d. Did they pay attention to your needs?</p> <p>e. Did they take into account how you were feeling at that time?</p> <p>f. Did they show concern, empathy and care?</p> <p>Did they try to understand the difficulties that you have gone through?</p> <p>g. Were your opinions and wishes taken into account?</p>

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
		<p>h. Did they explain honestly and clearly about the processes you would undergo in the OSCC?</p> <p>(e.g. physical examinations, obtaining prescriptions at present and in the future, follow-up consultations, access to your medical records)?</p>
	<p>PART D: ECONOMICS AND COST <i>Next, I would like to ask you about the financial costs that you may have incurred when attending OSCC.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Did it cost you anything to attend the OSCC? 2. Did you have to take time off work to attend the OSCC? 3. Was there any extra cost to attend the OSCC, for example, a babysitter, transportation, loss of money from taking time off? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If yes: How much did you have to pay? b. If yes: Were you able to afford these services? c. If yes: Did you have money on hand or did you have to borrow or get assistance from someone?
	<p><i>I will now ask you about the information you received at the OSCC.</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Was the information communicated clearly to you? 2. Were there educational materials (e.g., posters, videos, pamphlets) regarding domestic violence inside the examining rooms or waiting rooms? 	<p>Content of information</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Did you felt that the hospital staff inform you sufficiently about procedures, processes and available resources? b. What were you told? c. What kind of materials? <p>What subjects were covered in the materials? Were there any easily accessible material to take away (e.g. pamphlets)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> d. Did you think the content was enough or could it be improved?
<p>Quality of services</p>	<p><i>I would like to ask your perceptions on the quality of service when attending OSCC.</i></p> <p>What do you think of the quality of the services provided by the OSCC? We would like to explore a few areas on the quality of the services:</p>	<p>In terms of Staff:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Did the staff introduce themselves to you? b. Did you feel that the treatment, assistance, and referrals provided were helpful to you? c. Did you feel comfortable with them? d. Probe: Did you feel that you could trust them with your experiences? e. Throughout the service, did you at any point felt lost or unsure if you were taken seriously?

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
		<p>f. Did the staff at the hospital communicate and provide information in understandable terms? Probe: At any point, was there a language or communication barrier?</p> <p>Were there opportunities for you to ask questions? Was your history and other details documented sufficiently throughout your time at the OSCC?</p> <p>in terms of timelines:</p> <p>a. How long did you wait at the OSCC? i. For registration. ii. For examination and treatment. iii. For prescriptions. If relevant, for referral to other places.</p> <p>b. For these, did you think this was too long, too short, or reasonable?</p> <p>c. Did you feel like the staff gave you sufficient time to answer their questions?</p> <p>Did you feel the processes were smooth?</p> <p>Probe: In terms of flow of procedures or time taken</p>
	<p>Do you think the resources available are sufficient to support GBV survivors?</p>	<p>a. Trained personnel: i. Did they have enough staff? ii. Did staff seem too occupied to attend to patients? How many staff were attending to you? Did you feel that this was too few, too many, or reasonable?</p> <p>b. Medical equipment/drugs i. Did they have adequate equipment to treat you? ii. If relevant: How long did you wait for medication?</p> <p>c. Facility: Did they have appropriate rooms and space to examine and give treatment to you?</p>
<p>Coordination of services and integration of care</p>	<p><i>Please tell me about your your experience with the OSCC's service coordination.</i></p>	<p>a. Within the hospital, how seamless was coordination? i. Did the staff seem to know who to refer to and could immediately communicate with the other departments?</p>

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
	<p>Can you please describe how services within the OSCC were coordinated?</p>	<p>a. Were you referred to outside the hospital?</p> <p>i. If yes: What services were you referred to? Was it clear that you had to do and how to go about it?</p> <p>b. Are you still receiving some of the services referred to from the OSCC?</p> <p>i. If yes: From whom and for how long do you receive services for?</p> <p>Does the OSCC work with partners outside the hospital?</p> <p>ii. If yes: Can you give us more detail on what external partners they engage with, and why?</p>
	<p>The next questions cover obtaining your records after attending the OSCC.</p> <p>Were you able to access your own medical report?</p> <p>At any point, were you required to obtain the report yourself (i.e. hospital staff did not provide you the report; or the police asked you to obtain the report yourself instead of obtaining it from the hospital)?</p>	<p>If yes</p> <p>a. What was the process to do so?</p> <p>b. How long did that take you, did you think the timeframe was appropriate, too short, or too long? How did you feel about getting the report yourself?</p> <p>If yes</p> <p>a. How did you feel about this process?</p>
	<p>If a police report was made:</p> <p>Did the police facilitate you lodging a police report?</p> <p>Did you have to get someone else to intervene at any point (e.g. going to the inspecting officer or higher, reverting to written letters advocating for you, etc.)?</p> <p>Was the police's help within the appropriate time frame, too long or too short? How did you feel about the services coordination between the police and the hospital?</p>	<p>What did this involve?</p>
Hospital	<p><i>Next, I will cover your experiences with the OSCC services, and the other</i></p>	

Focus Area	Questions	Probes
Experience of services and post-discharge	<p>services referred by the OSCC after your discharge.</p> <p>What are your general thoughts about the care and services obtained at the hospital?</p>	
	<p>Can you please tell me about your experience of mental health or psychosocial support services provided by the OSCC?</p>	
	<p>Did you receive follow up mental health or psychosocial support outside OSCC?</p>	
	<p>Were you contacted by any medical social worker or the Welfare Department's social worker?</p>	<p>If yes, can you tell us about your experience with the medical social worker or the Welfare Department's social worker (need to be clear if it's MSW from hospital or SW from Welfare Department)</p>
	<p>At discharge, what services were you referred to?</p>	
	<p>What do you think of the care and services obtained after your discharge from the OSCC?</p>	
	<p>Based on your experience, what recommendations do you have to improve OSCC services?</p>	
	<p>At any point in time, were you required to visit or travel to other places yourself to seek assistance, instead of the staff (both hospital and police) coming to you?</p>	
	<p>At any point in time, were you required to head to the department –both internal (e.g. hospital) and external (e.g. the police– for help?</p>	

ANNEX D

Interview guideline for Male survivor of GBV

Section 1. Background Information

1. Can you share a little about your background (age, education, occupation, etc.)?
2. How would you describe your current living situation?

Section 2. Experience of Violence (STRICTLY NO PROBE FOR THIS SECTION)

3. If it is okay for you to share, can you share about the incident(s) of gender-based violence you experienced?
4. How long ago did this incident(s) happen? (age at which it happened)
5. In what context or relationship did the violence occur (e.g., intimate partner, family, stranger)?
6. When you look back why do you think it happened to you? Considering fewer males experience violence than women, what could be the possible causes of violence?

Section 3. Seeking Help and Disclosure

7. After experiencing GBV, did you seek help from anyone (friends, family, professionals)? Why or why not?
8. If yes, whom did you approach first, and what kind of support was offered?
9. If you have not sought help, could you share some reasons why you decided against it?
10. Have you disclosed the incident of violence to anyone? If yes, who were the first people you told, and why did you choose them?
11. Were there people you chose not to tell? What were the reasons for not disclosing to them?

Section 4. Barriers to Disclosure and Seeking Help

12. What do you think are some barriers that prevent male survivors of GBV from disclosing their experiences or seeking help?
13. How do societal attitudes towards male survivors of GBV impact your willingness to seek help or disclose your experiences?

Section 5. Impact on Current Life

14. How has the experience of GBV affected your daily life, relationships, and work?
15. Can you share any specific challenges you face now because of the violence?

Section 6. Support and Needs

16. What type of support do you believe would be most helpful for you at this moment (e.g., legal, psychological, social)?
17. Have you accessed any professional mental health support? If yes, how has it helped you? If not, what has prevented you from seeking such support?

Section 7. Mental Health and Well-being

18. How would you describe your mental health since the incident(s)?
19. Are there specific triggers or challenges you face in relation to your mental health?
20. What coping mechanisms or strategies have you found helpful in dealing with the aftermath of GBV?

Section 8. Final Thoughts

21. Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience or needs as a survivor of GBV?
22. What message would you like to give to other male survivors of GBV?
23. What kind of services or laws do you think would have been conducive for a male survivor like you to seek help. Probe what would have been the ideal situation?

Closing remarks

24. Thank you for your time and for sharing your story.
Provide information on GBV support services, emphasizing the availability of support regardless of the decision to participate in the study.

ANNEX E

Interview guideline for medical social workers

Section 1: General Information

1. What is your role within the One-Stop Crisis Center (OSCC)?
2. How long have you been working with the OSCC?
3. Can you briefly describe the primary objectives of the OSCC where you work?

Section 2: Protocols and Operations

4. What are the standard protocols for handling new cases at your OSCC? (probe, DV cases vs SGBV CASES, consent, gender of the attending doctor, counsellor, shelter home, legal services, ngo, waiting times, follow up, police reporting, survivor background ex: refugee, disability, minor etc.?)
5. How do you coordinate with other professionals (e.g., medical personnel, police, legal advisors) in handling cases?
6. Can you describe the process of case management from intake to resolution?
7. What tools or systems are in place to protect the confidentiality and privacy of survivors?

Section 3: Training and Professional Development

8. What kind of training did you receive before starting your work at the OSCC?
9. Are there ongoing training or professional development opportunities? If yes, what do they involve?
10. How does the OSCC ensure its staff is updated on the latest practices and policies in crisis management and support?

Section 4: Challenges and Barriers

11. What are the most significant challenges you face in your work at the OSCC?
12. Have you encountered any barriers to providing effective support to GBV survivors? If so, what are they? Probe time, pressure of other critical cases in emergency department, coordinating with other departments etc.
13. How does the OSCC address issues related to cultural sensitivity and inclusivity in its services?
14. According to you what are the challenges that survivors face while they try to access GBV support services at the hospital?
15. Would you like to share any case that you remember that was challenging for you to handle or where you felt the OSCC could not provide sufficient help?

Section 5: Motivation and Experiences

16. What motivated you to work at the OSCC, and what keeps you motivated?
17. Can you share a particularly impactful experience or success story from your time working at the OSCC?

Section 6: Records and data storage

18. How are all the records of the GBV survivor recorded? Probe electronic or manual reports.
19. Is the copy for their medical records given to them?
20. Does the hospital store this data and share it with the MOH? What are the mechanisms? How long the data is stored?

Section 7: Survivor Feedback and Improvement

21. How does the OSCC collect feedback from survivors on the services provided?
22. Based on survivor feedback or your observation, what areas of OSCC operations could be improved?
23. What steps are being taken to address these areas for improvement?

Section 8. Future Directions

24. What do you see as the future challenges for OSCCs in Malaysia?
25. Are there any new initiatives or programs being planned to enhance the effectiveness of OSCCs?
26. How can the community and external organizations better support the work of OSCCs?
Is there anything else you would like to share about your experience working at the OSCC?

Closing remarks

Thank you for your time and sharing your valuable information and experiences as a key focal point and service provider at the OSCCs.

ANNEX F

Interview guidelines for representatives of civil society organizations

Section 1: Background

1. Can you tell me about your role and experiences working with GBV survivors in Malaysia?
2. How long have you been working in this field?

Section 2: Organization's Role:

3. Can you describe the main objectives of your organization in addressing GBV?
4. How does your organization support GBV survivors?

Section 3: Challenges Faced by Survivors

Seeking Help:

5. Based on your experience, what are the most significant challenges GBV survivors face when they decide to seek help?
6. Are there specific challenges related to different types of violence (physical, sexual, emotional, etc.)?

Section 4: OSCC Experience:

7. Based on your interactions and experiences of closely supporting the survivors, how do survivors perceive their experiences with One Stop Crisis Centres (OSCC) in Malaysia?
8. What challenges do they face specifically in OSCC settings (e.g., reporting, medical examination, psychological support)?

Section 5: Service Availability and Accessibility

9. What barriers do survivors encounter in accessing services (police, medical, legal, social services)?
10. Are there any geographical, financial, or cultural barriers that affect access to support services?

Section 6: Support Systems:

11. How would you assess the availability and accessibility of support services for GBV survivors in Malaysia?
12. Are there services that survivors find particularly helpful or lacking?

Section 7: Challenges in Professional Roles

13. What challenges do you and your organization face in supporting GBV survivors?
14. How do these challenges impact the effectiveness of your support?

Section 8. Coordination with Other Services:

15. Can you discuss any challenges related to coordinating with police, medical facilities, legal services, and other social services?
16. How do these challenges affect the survivors' journey towards recovery?

Section 9. Shelter Homes and Survivor Challenges

17. Can you share insights into the role of shelter homes in supporting GBV survivors?
18. What are the main challenges faced by shelter homes and how do they impact survivors?

Section 10: Survivor Challenges in Shelter Homes:

19. Based on your experience, what specific challenges do survivors face when residing in shelter homes?
20. How do shelter homes address these challenges?

Section 11: Challenges specific to communities

21. According to you, what are the most pressing challenges for the survivors when they try to seek help and particularly through OSCCs. Please reflect upon the specific challenges of the community or context you and your organization work in? (ex: interior places (Sabah and Sarawak), refugees' communities, transgender, child survivors of SGBV, online abuse etc)
22. Do you want to share anything else in terms of the gaps and barriers related to GBV support services in Malaysia?

Section 12: Recommendations for Improvement:

19. What changes or improvements would you recommend to better support GBV survivors in Malaysia?
20. Are there best practices from other contexts that could be adopted or adapted in Malaysia?

Closing remarks

Thank you for your time and participation and sharing of all the valuable insights. We might get back to you for further follow-up questions or feedback, for which we seek your availability and consent

ANNEX G

Key Characteristics and guidelines for service delivery across all essential services and actions (Adopted from UN Women, UNFPA, UNICEF (2020) GBV Service Assessment Methodology)

KEY CHARACTERISTICS/STANDARD FOR ESSENTIAL SERVICES

Availability Essential health care, social services, justice, and policing services must be available in sufficient quantity and quality to all victims and survivors of violence regardless of her place of residence, nationality, ethnicity, caste, class, migrant or refugee status, indigenous status, age, religion, language and level of literacy, sexual orientation, marital status, disabilities, or any other characteristic not considered

GUIDELINES

- Service delivery must be created, maintained, and developed in a way that guarantee women and girl's access to comprehensive services without discrimination in the whole territory of the State, including remote, rural, and isolated areas.
- Services are delivered to reach all populations, including the most excluded, remote, vulnerable, and marginalized without any form of discrimination regardless of their individual circumstances and life experiences of women and girls including their age, identity, culture, sexual orientation, gender identity, ethnicity, and language preferences
- Service delivery is organized to provide women and girls with continuity of care across the network of services and over their life cycle.
- Consider innovative service delivery to broaden coverage of service delivery such as mobile health clinics and courts as well as the creative use of

Accessibility requires services to be accessible to all women and girls without discrimination. They must be physically accessible (services are within safe physical reach for all women and girls), economically accessible (affordability) and linguistically accessible (information is provided in various formats)

- Women and girls are able to access services without undue financial or administrative burden. This means services should be affordable, administratively easy to access, and in certain cases, such as police, emergency health and social services, free of charge.
- Services must be delivered as far as possible, in a way that considers the language needs of the user.
- Service delivery procedures and other information about essential services are available in multiple formats (for example, oral, written, electronically) and user-friendly and in plain language to maximize access and meet the needs of different target groups.

Adaptability Essential services must recognize the differential impacts of violence on different groups of women and communities. They must respond to the needs of victims and survivors in ways that integrate human rights and culturally

- Services understand and respond to the individual circumstances and needs of each victim / survivor.
- A comprehensive range of services are provided to allow women and girls to have options to services that best meet their individual circumstances.

Appropriateness Appropriate essential

- Efforts are made to reduce secondary victimization, for example, minimize the number of

services for women and girls are those which are delivered in a way that is agreeable to her: respects her dignity; guarantees her confidentiality; is sensitive to her needs and perspectives; and minimizes secondary victimization.

- people she must deal with; and ensuring trained personnel are available.
- Women and girls are supported to fully understand their options.
- Women and girls are empowered to feel able to help herself and to ask for help.
- Women and girls' decisions are respected after ensuring she fully understands the options available to her.
- Services should be delivered in a way that responds to her needs and concerns without intruding on her autonomy.

Prioritize safety risk assessment and safety planning Women and girls face many risks to their immediate and ongoing safety. These risks will be specific to the individual circumstances of each woman and girls. Risk assessment and management can reduce the level of risk. Best practice risk assessment and management includes consistent and coordinated approaches within and between social, health and police and justice sectors

- Services use risk assessment and management tools specifically developed for responding to intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence.
- Services regularly and consistently assess the individual risks for each woman and girl.
- Services use a range of risk management options, solutions, and safety measures to support the safety of women and girls.
- Service providers should ensure that women and girls receive a strengths-based, individualized plan that includes strategies for risk management.
- Services must work with all agencies including health, social services, justice, and policing services to coordinate risk assessment and management approaches.

Effective Communication and Participation by Stakeholders in design, implementation and assessment of services Women and girls need to know that she is being listened to and that her needs are being understood and addressed. Information and the way it is communicated can empower her to seek essential services. All communication with women and girls must promote their dignity and be respectful of them.

- Service providers must be non-judgmental, empathetic, and supportive.
- Women and girls must have the opportunity to tell her story, be listened to, and have her story accurately recorded and to be able to express her needs and concerns according to their abilities, age, intellectual maturity, and evolving capacity.
- Service provider must validate her concerns and experiences by taking what she says seriously, not blame or judge her.
- Service providers must provide information and counselling that helps her to make her own decisions. Informed

Informed consent and confidentiality All essential services must be delivered in a way that protects the woman or girl's privacy, guarantees her confidentiality, and discloses information only with her informed consent, to the extent possible. Information about the woman's experience of violence can be extremely sensitive. Sharing this information inappropriately can have serious and

- Services have a code of ethics for the exchange of information (in accordance with existing legislation), including what information will be shared, how it will be shared and who it will be shared with.
- Service providers working directly with women and girls are informed about, and comply with, the code of ethics.
- Information relating to individual women and girls

potentially life-threatening consequences for the women or girls and for the people providing assistance to her


- is treated confidentially and stored securely.
- Women and girls are supported to fully understand their options and the implications of disclosure.
- Service providers understand, and comply with, their responsibilities with respect to confidentiality.

Data collection and information management The consistent and accurate collection of data about the services provided to women and girls is important in supporting the continuous improvement of services. Services must have clear and documented processes for the accurate recording and confidential, secure storage of information about women and girls, and the services provided to them.

- Ensure there is a documented and secure system for the collection, recording and storing of all information and data.
- All information about women and girls who are accessing services is stored securely including: client files, legal and medical reports, and safety plans.
- Ensure accurate data collection by supporting staff to understand and use the data collection systems, and providing them adequate time to enter data in data collection systems.
- Ensure data are only shared using agreed protocols between organizations.
- Promote the analysis of data collection to assist in understanding the prevalence of violence, trends in using the essential services, evaluation of existing services and inform prevention measures

Linking with other sectors and agencies through referral and coordination Linking with other sectors and agencies through coordination, such as referral pathways, assist women and girls receive timely and appropriate services. Referral processes must incorporate standards for informed consent. To ensure the smooth navigation of the different essential services for victims and survivors, protocols, and agreements about the referral process with relevant social, health and justice services, including clear responsibilities of each service, need to be in place.

- Procedures between services for information sharing and referral are consistent, known by agency staff, and communicated clearly to women and girls
- Services have mechanisms for coordinating and monitoring the effectiveness of referrals processes.
- Services refer to child specific services as required and appropriate.



ANNEX G

30th November 2023, Four Points by Sheraton, Kuala Lumpur

Brief Meeting Report on Stakeholders' Dialogue on Pathways of Support for GBV Survivors in Malaysia: Experiences and perspectives of GBV survivors, CSOs/NGOs and researchers

***Disclaimer:** The opinions and views expressed in this report are based on the discussions that involved participants from various CSOs and organizations who attended this meeting and do not necessarily reflect the position of the UNU-IIGH or UNFPA.*



List of Acronyms:

ARROW - Asian-Pacific Resource and Research Centre for Women

AWAM - All Women's Action Society

CBO - Community Based Organizations

CBT - Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

CEDAW - Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women

CRC - Convention on the Rights of the Child

CSOs - Civil Society Organizations

D11 - Special unit set up under the Royal Malaysian Police's Sexual, Women, and Child Investigations Division (D11) to combat the rampant spread of child pornography.

EMPOWER - Persatuan Kesedaran Komuniti Selangor (Association for Community Awareness, Selangor)

EPO - Emergency Protection Order

GBV - Gender-Based Violence

GEWE - Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

HIV - Human Immunodeficiency Virus

IPO - Interim Protection Order

IPPF - International Planned Parenthood Federation

JIS - Justice for Sisters

JKM - Jabatan Kebajikan Masyarakat (Social Welfare Department of Malaysia)

KRYSS Network - Knowledge and Rights with Young people through Safer Spaces Network

LGBTIQ+ Lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, queer and others

MCMC - Malaysian Communications and Multimedia Commission

MEWRO - Myanmar Ethnic Women Refugee Organization

MOH - Ministry of Health

MPs - Members of Parliament

MSF - Médecins Sans Frontières (Doctors Without Borders)

OCCS - One Stop Crisis Centre

OGBV - Online Gender Based Violence

OCCS - One Stop Crisis Centre

PEP - post-exposure prophylaxis (A treatment to prevent HIV infection after potential exposure to the virus.)

PO - Protection Order

PSA - Public Service Announcement

PTA - Parent Teacher Association

SAWO - Sabah Women's Action-Resource Group

SEED Foundation - Pertubuhan Pembangunan Kebajikan dan Persekitaran Positif Malaysia (SEED)

SEO - Search Engine Optimization

SGBV - Sexual and Gender-Based Violence

SIS - Sisters in Islam

SOGIE - Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity and Expression

SUHAKAM - Suruhanjaya Hak Asasi Manusia Malaysia (is the Human Rights Commission of Malaysia)

SWAM - Somali Women's Association Malaysia

SWWC - Sarawak Women for Women Society

TF-CBT-Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

TOP - Termination of Pregnancy

UNDP - United Nations Development Programme

UNFPA - United Nations Population Fund

UNHCR - United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees

UNU-IIGH - United Nations University- International Institute for Global Health

WAO - Women's Aid Organization

WCC - Women's Centre for Change

4WD- Four-wheel drive or 4-by-4 vehicles, implies a system in which an automobile's engine powers all four wheels equally and they are suitable to drive for a rough terrain.

Table of Contents

1.	Background	119
2.	Objectives of the meeting	119
3.	Methodology and approaches	119
4.	Sessions	120
4.1.	Introduction	120
4.2.	Core concepts of GBV and guiding principles	120
4.3.	Root Causes of GBV	121
4.4.	Challenges in GBV Data Collection and Assessment in Malaysia	121
4.5.	Portraits of Survivors' Experiences	121
4.6.	Presentations on Specific GBV Issues by CSO representatives	122
4.7.	Challenges in the existing GBV support mechanisms in Malaysia	122
4.7.1.	Availability and quality of services	122
4.7.2.	Accessibility of services	123
4.7.3.	Safety and security	125
4.7.4.	Financial Barriers	125
4.7.5.	Linking with other Services	125
4.8.	Key recommendations to strengthen the GBV support services in Malaysia	126
4.8.1.	Low Hanging Fruits (Short term interventions)	126
4.8.2.	High Hanging fruits: where we want to be and what are the long term interventions needed to achieve it (long term interventions)	127
5.	Call for Action	130

1. Background

The Stakeholders' Dialogue on Pathways of Support for GBV Survivors in Malaysia — convened by UNU-IIGH and UNFPA on 30th of November 2023 in Kuala Lumpur aimed to address the pervasive issue of gender-based violence (GBV) in Malaysia. Against a backdrop of rising GBV cases exacerbated by the COVID-19 pandemic (UNDP, 202059; Hamid, 202260, Nagashima-Hayashi, 202261), this dialogue brought together a diverse array of stakeholders, including civil society organizations (CSOs), researchers, and other experts from UN bodies.

The primary objective of the dialogue was to collectively identify the challenges faced by women, men, and gender-diverse groups in accessing GBV-related support services. Additionally, the dialogue aimed to validate the preliminary findings of a study conducted by UNU-IIGH and UNFPA aimed at understanding the gaps and barriers in accessing the One Stop Crisis Centres (OSCC) in Malaysia by different socio-economic groups. By fostering collaboration between the UN and CSOs, sharing knowledge and experiences related to GBV services, and encouraging evidence-based policy change, the event sought to pave the way for a more informed, coordinated, and gender-transformative health system, ultimately upholding the rights and dignity of all GBV survivors in Malaysia.

The participants in this dialogue included civil society organizations such as the WAO, AWAM, SIS, WCC, SAWO, SEED Foundation, SWWC, KRYSS Network, STANDUP MALAYSIA, EMPOWER, ARROW, MEWRO, SWAM, MSF, IPPF, JIS. The dialogue was also attended by independent researchers, doctors, lawyers along with representatives from UN agencies, specifically UNHCR and UNDP - which play a pivotal role in addressing and responding to GBV among refugees and other socio-economically vulnerable communities.

2. Objectives of the meeting

The specific objectives of the convened Stakeholders' Dialogue on Pathways of Support for GBV Survivors in Malaysia were:

1. To comprehensively analyze the structural determinants of GBV at the community, societal, and institutional levels in Malaysia.
2. To gain an in-depth understanding of the challenges encountered by community-based organizations, civil society groups, and entities actively involved in GBV response and gender equality initiatives.
3. To systematically identify and assess the impediments faced by women, men, and gender-diverse groups when seeking access to GBV-related support services in Malaysia.
4. To collaboratively explore solutions and design strategies aimed at fortifying the existing support for GBV survivors in Malaysia.

3. Methodology and approaches:

The Stakeholders' Dialogue on Pathways of Support for GBV Survivors in Malaysia employed several participatory action research methods to facilitate a dynamic and interactive experience. These methodologies included focus group discussions, collective problem-solving sessions, and futures thinking exercises. These approaches allowed for the discussion of real-life experiences and challenges faced by GBV survivors and those closely involved in supporting them. Based on these approaches, various exercises were employed, such as "low-hanging fruit" solutions, root cause

⁵⁹ <https://www.undp.org/malaysia/blog/domestic-violence-amid-covid-19-malaysia-diving-deeper-heart-matter>

⁶⁰ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/362885961_Addressing_Gender-based_Violence_against_Women_in_Malaysia_During_the_Pandemic

⁶¹ Nagashima-Hayashi, M.; Durrance-Bagale, A.; Marzouk, M.; Ung, M.; Lam, S. T.; Neo, P.; Howard, N. Gender-Based Violence in the Asia-Pacific Region during COVID-19: A Hidden Pandemic behind Closed Doors. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2022, 19, 2239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19042239>

analyses, and group discussions to explore challenges and opportunities. The dialogue adhered to Chatham House Rules to ensure that all information and data shared during the workshop remain confidential, thereby safeguarding the identities and opinions of participants.

4. Sessions

Overall, the Dialogue identified important challenges in addressing and responding to GBV in Malaysia and highlighted the need for comprehensive and coordinated reforms from different stakeholders. The recommendations provided by the participants offered valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders working to create a more just and equitable society free from GBV.

4.1. Introduction

The director of the UNU-IIGH, Mr Rajat Khosla, highlighted the critical nature of GBV as a violation of human rights that demands collective attention and action. He emphasized that GBV, manifesting in physical, sexual, psychological, and economic abuse, affects individuals across all gender identities, leaving lasting scars on victims, families, communities, and society at large. The director commended Malaysia for its pioneering role in addressing GBV, through the establishment of the OSCC in 1996, the first one in Asia. The stakeholder dialogue, attended by representatives from Civil Society Organizations (CSOs) from across Malaysia, including Sabah and Sarawak was presented as an opportunity to discuss the challenges faced by various groups in accessing GBV support services. The event, strategically planned during the 16 Days of Activism, was described as a platform to amplify expert voices and showcase the work of CSOs, with the goal of identifying strategies to strengthen the healthcare system and advocate for policy change. The director stressed the importance of collaborative effort across social boundaries to challenge the social norms and structures allowing GBV to persist, marking the dialogue as a significant step in the collective journey to combat GBV.

- UNFPA country programme and GBV response in Malaysia

The Assistant Representative of UNFPA Malaysia, Ms Tengku Aira Tengku Razif, started the first session by highlighting the work and commitment of UNFPA Malaysia in addressing and responding to GBV, emphasizing the collective efforts needed to meet the target of zero GBV and harmful practices in Malaysia by 2030. Ms Razif shared the three key strategies undertaken by UNFPA to particularly strengthen the GEWE and GBV legal framework, policies plans, and programs, namely: 1) advocating for legal frameworks, policy, planning, monitoring to address GBV and women's economic participation & empowerment; 2) supporting advocacy platforms that engage the Government, CSOs and men and boys to promote women's economic empowerment & GBV prevention and response and; 3) promotion & strengthening multisectoral GBV coordination mechanisms.

4.2. Core concepts of GBV and guiding principles

This session, led by an GBV expert from UNFPA, Ms Sulaf Mustafa, explored the key definitions and terminology associated with GBV and emphasized the application of core concepts and guiding principles in practical contexts. It also distinguished between the root causes, contributing factors, and the far-reaching consequences of GBV. Additionally, this training session shed light on the magnitude of violence by examining global, regional, and country-specific data, with a particular focus on Malaysia. Through this comprehensive overview, it provided a common and holistic understanding of GBV and its impact among the participants, facilitating informed discussions and effective strategies to combat this pervasive issue for the following sessions.

4.3. Root Causes of GBV

The stakeholders discussed the underlying causes of GBV, which encompassed various factors, including toxic masculinity, societal gender norms, misogyny, and the influence of patriarchy. Furthermore, they explored how a range of elements such as disability, race, age, gender, and economic hardship can amplify the harmful experiences of GBV survivors. Additionally, the discussion highlighted the negative impact of social media such as digital facilitated GBV and long-standing cultural norms on the perpetuation of GBV.

4.4. Challenges in GBV Data Collection and Assessment in Malaysia

The session shed light on the imperative need for enhanced data collection and analysis to better understand and address GBV in Malaysia. During the session, it became evident that the limited data on Gender-Based Violence (GBV) in Malaysia has resulted in a fragmented understanding of this critical issue. The most recent prevalence data that allows cross-national comparisons, dated back to 2008 was published in 2013, not allowing for cross-national comparisons and longitudinal analysis.

According to the WHO report 2018, for the global estimates of violence against women, it is estimated that 19% of women aged 15 to 49 have experienced violence in their lifetime (WHO, 2013⁶²). Yet, since then, Malaysia stands as the sole country in the WHO Western Pacific region without updated records of GBV prevalence (WHO, 2018). Other data sources provide a gloomy picture where intimate partner violence (IPV) ranges widely from 8% in a national household survey ⁶³ to 87% based on call centres/ shelters records⁶⁴. Lack of comparative data also hampers the ability to draw meaningful regional and global trends and impedes the evaluation of efforts aimed at combating GBV.

Participants in the discussion highlighted several obstacles in the existing data collection methods, emphasizing the pressing need for an updated definition and improved categorization of GBV types. Moreover, the absence of comprehensive and reliable data on GBV in Malaysia was a central concern, with a call to triangulate data from police records and with other sources such as household surveys and data from call centers/shelters.

This session also brought attention to different studies about the beliefs and myths about GBV in Malaysia. For example, a 2021 report by Women's Aid Organisation, 'A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) ⁶⁵'. The study identified that only about half of Malaysians are likely to oppose violence-endorsing attitudes (52.7%) and support gender equality (46.3%) (WAO, 2021). Men, particularly older men, displayed more negative and uncertain/neutral responses compared to women.

4.5. Portraits of Survivors' Experiences

This session portraits personas of GBV survivors from different backgrounds. Personas are representative characters that combine similar experiences of the survivors. During the session, posters of three different personas (rape survivor, survivor of long-term domestic violence and transgender women survivor of GBV) were put around the room to invite participants to reflect on them based on their experiences. These distinct personas were based on evidence collected through in-depth interviews with several GBV survivors and CSO representatives who support GBV survivors. Participants validated the portraits stating that they accurately reflected the lived realities of GBV survivors. Concerns were brought forward about inefficiencies in legal and police response related to GBV survivors and lack of survivor-centered care. Moreover, the challenges faced by refugees and transgender communities were highlighted.

4.6. Presentations on Specific GBV Issues by CSO representatives:

This session invited different experts from community-based organizations to share their experiences and challenges of providing GBV support services to different community members.

- Ms. Karen Lai (WCC) discussed the limitations in the existing laws and policies that fail to provide clear guidelines and support to GBV victims. She also highlighted the pressing issue of child abuse and sexual violence including online violence.
- Dr. Easwary Ramulu (SAWO) emphasized on the lack of reliable GBV data in Malaysia, highlighting challenges encountered due to the different classifications used by different sectors, such as health, police, and others. She also shared her challenges of providing services and linkages to GBV survivors staying in remote areas, like in Sabah and Sarawak, where accessibility is a major concern.
- Ms. Serene Lim (KRYSS Network) highlighted the prevalence of online GBV and its impact on survivors.
- Dr. Jarmila Kliescikova (MSF) focused on the medical challenges faced by GBV survivors, particularly among women and child brides from refugee communities.

4.7. Challenges in the existing GBV support mechanisms in Malaysia:

The session focused on the overarching barriers/challenges reflected during the CSOs' presentations.

4.7.1. Availability and quality of services

- Limited availability of support services, especially in remote areas.
- Functionality of OSCCs, particularly in rural districts. "Insufficient number of protection officers to match the districts in Sabah available in the main districts".
- Lack of understanding of protocols, outdated practices, and payment barriers for services, especially affecting foreign victims by OSCC personnel.
- Lack of shelter options (for women refugees), constraints of the available shelters (duration, age and number of children allowed in).

⁶² WHO. Global and regional estimates of violence against women: prevalence and health effects of intimate partner violence and non-partner sexual violence. 2013.

⁶³ Shuib R, Endut N, Ali SH, et al. Domestic violence and women's well-being in Malaysia: Issues and challenges conducting a national study using the WHO multi- country questionnaire on women's health and domestic violence against women. *Procedia - Soc Behav Sci* 2013; 91: 475–88.

⁶⁴ WAO. Women's Aid Organization: Annual Report Services Statistics, 2016. 2016.

⁶⁵ Women's Aid Organisation, A Study on Malaysian Public Attitudes and Perceptions towards Violence Against Women (VAW) – A summary of initial findings and recommendations, 2021

4.7.2. Accessibility of services

- Geographical challenges, including the need for 4WD vehicles, road conditions, and long distances to access main police stations or OSCCs.
- Limited access to protection officers in certain districts, impacting the ability to provide timely support.

Challenges in accessibility of services for survivors include were also identified under the three broad categories:

Legal: In Malaysia, the legislative framework addressing (GBV) has certain gaps that affect the protection and recourse available to victims. For example, child marriage is associated with GBV, in part due to legal allowances within the framework of state religious laws. In Malaysia, the legal minimum age for marriage is 18; however, Islamic law allows girls younger than 18 to marry with the permission of a Shariah court. This exception is not uniformly regulated across all Malaysian states, leading to a discrepancy in enforcement where some states may grant such permissions more liberally than others. Despite international human rights standards condemning child marriage as a form of GBV, these legal loopholes allow the practice to continue, thereby risking the welfare of minors and contravening efforts to protect the rights of children. This discord underscores the need for a unified legal approach that aligns all state laws with international human rights norms to effectively combat GBV in all its forms, including child marriage. CSOs highlighted that there have been reported cases in Malaysia where children as young as 11 were married off under religious law, drawing criticism both nationally and internationally. Participants highlighted that there is always tension between national laws designed to protect children and the application of religious laws that can, in effect, override these protections.

Another stark example of this is the non-criminalization of marital rape under the current Penal Code, specifically section 375, which does not recognize rape within marriage as a crime, except for section 375A where a husband can be penalized for causing hurt to coerce his wife into sex. Moreover, victims of sexual violence often face obstacles due to the burden of proof and evidentiary standards required to secure a conviction. For a successful prosecution, the survivor must provide evidence that meets the high threshold set by the courts, which often necessitates corroborating testimony or physical evidence. This is particularly challenging in sexual violence cases within marriage, where the crime typically occurs in private and lacks witnesses. The deeply personal and traumatic nature of such crimes further complicates the collection of evidence, as survivors may be reluctant or unable to report the incident promptly due to fear, stigma, or trauma. In cases of marital rape, it becomes further challenging to prove and provide evidence of non-consensual or forced sex.

Similarly, participants pointed out that the Anti-Sexual Harassment Act of 2022, which was introduced after significant delays, represents progress yet exhibits notable gaps in its scope and implementation. For example, it lacks the inclusion of provisions that could prevent victimization following a complaint and there is a need for expanding the definition of sexual harassment to encompass a wider range of behaviors and contexts. The effectiveness of the tribunal in granting necessary redress and the adequacy of the penalties for non-compliance have also been subjects of debate. Also, the participants highlighted that the structure and authority of the tribunal lies with the Secretary General of the Ministry of Women, Families and Community Development as the special anti-sexual harassment administrator, arguing that concentrating such responsibility in a single individual could lead to challenges under administrative laws. They raised concern because giving just one person all this power could lead to problems. They argued that a single person's decisions could be questioned for not being fair or reasonable.

Further, the criminalization of trans individual's gender identity increases their vulnerability to violence and heightens their risk to GBV and hate crimes leading to violence and limits their avenues for seeking justice. For example, the Shariah laws criminalizes cross-dressing for Muslims, that not only

marginalizes transgender individuals but also exposes them to discrimination, violence, and limited access to justice. CSO's shared real life stories highlighting instances of police raids, abuse, and discrimination in healthcare settings further exacerbate their plight, discouraging many from seeking help or reporting crimes due to fear of further discrimination or legal repercussions. The justice system's bias and lack of protective laws for gender identity and sexual orientation leave transgender individuals with few avenues for recourse.

Another area that lacks adequate protection for survivors in Malaysia is online GBV. A more comprehensive targeted law to protect individuals from technology facilitated GBV and more specifically online GBV. Although there are laws around cybercrime and data protection (ex: Penal Code and the Personal Data Protection Act 2010) they lack nuanced needs of protection for online GBV survivors.

Structural: Malaysia's laws on Termination of Pregnancy (TOP) are quite restrictive, only allowing abortion if a healthcare professional deems that continuing the pregnancy would pose a physical or mental health risk to the woman. There is no exception made for cases of rape or incest unless they fall under the health risk criteria. The policy document also does not provide clear guidelines on abortion for reasons like rape or unplanned (out of choice) pregnancy. Participants mentioned that previously there have been cases where fatwa has been issued for rape victims that allowed them to terminate pregnancy within 120 days of gestation, and not beyond that. But they highlighted that these are rare examples where victim or their family have sought help from religious authorities and ambiguity on TOP due to rape in the civil law lacks to safeguard the reproductive rights of the women.

Secondly, CSO's highlighted the issue of secondary victimization, also known as revictimization towards the GBV victims/survivors. From their experiences they mentioned it largely includes the attitudes and actions of police, legal systems, healthcare providers, and even social services, which may inadvertently or negligently cause further psychological harm or trauma to the survivor. They generally occur in various forms, such as disbelief, blame, stigmatization, and insensitive handling of cases, which can all exacerbate the survivor's feelings of distress, shame, and isolation. The participants highlighted that it is mainly because of lack of awareness and training among the police and health professionals on understanding the psychological impact of GBV and the importance of a sensitive, survivor-centered approach.

Thirdly, mandatory invasive medical examinations without consent, public disclosure of survivors' identities, or requiring survivors to recount their experiences multiple times to different officials add to their traumatic experiences.

Lastly, the legal and procedural process itself is a source of secondary victimization. The adversarial nature of many legal systems, lack of victim support services, delays in the legal process, and the requirement for survivors to face their perpetrators can all contribute to additional trauma. One of the CSO representatives highlighted that these procedures become all the more burdensome for child victims who generally do not have enough support to accompany them to the courts or navigate through the legal system to seek justice. Moreover, inconsistent standard operating procedures leads to different treatments towards the GBV victims.

Cultural: Participants highlighted that their clients (GBV survivors) often avoid seeking formal help and access legal rights because of the pervasive culture of rape myths and victim-blaming. Prevalent myths and stereotypes about gender roles and sexuality can lead to victim-blaming, where survivors are held responsible for the violence inflicted upon them. Such attitudes can permeate institutions and influence the behavior of those within them, leading to judgmental or dismissive treatment of survivors. Many fear reporting to authorities due to skepticism and insensitivity they might face, which undermines trust in the justice system's ability to address such sensitive issues effectively. The daunting legal process, marked by invasive questioning and a high burden of proof, further discourages survivors from pursuing justice. They also pointed out that the societal stigma and concerns about honor and familial reputation often lead to reluctance in disclosing incidents of GBV,

exacerbating the isolation survivors feel.

The group unanimously agreed that overcoming these obstacles requires a multi-faceted approach that includes educational initiatives, legal reforms, and a shift towards greater gender equality and respect for women's rights through active CSO engagements and community awareness to create a supportive environment for GBV survivors.

4.7.3. Safety and security

The participants also highlighted that the interaction between police and survivors, particularly migrants and refugees, is often characterized by a lack of sensitivity and awareness. The absence of knowledge among law enforcement about Emergency Protection Orders (EPO), Interim Protection Orders (IPO), and Protection Orders (PO) can lead to a mishandling of cases, which, combined with the common withdrawal of reports by survivors due to fear or pressure, undermines the justice process. This issue is further complicated by the lack of legal documentation (e.g., UNHCR cards) and legal recognition of refugees in Malaysia, which leaves many without the necessary legal status to seek help effectively. They often experience the fear of mandatory reporting and potential social repercussions, which can deter them from coming forward to report sexual assaults.

4.7.4. Financial Barriers

Participants stressed that based on their experiences of providing legal support to GBV survivors many women do not file civil actions for GBV due to the costs involved. These include legal fees, court costs, and other expenses related to the legal process. Such financial barriers deter survivors from pursuing justice, particularly if they lack the necessary financial resources. Moreover, lack of trust in the survivor and the need to provide evidence further delays the prosecution, adding to the costs of seeking justice. This becomes more difficult where women are often not supported by the family or community to seek justice. It highlighted not only that the majority of women avoid seeking help, but it leads to various economic costs, not only for the survivors but also for the wider economy, including lost earnings, increased healthcare costs, and reduced productivity.

4.7.5. Linking with other Services

- Challenges in coordination and linkages between different services, leading to fragmented victim support. Participants working with different communities noted commonalities in dimensions like lack of seamless integration across medical, legal, psychological, and social support services at the OSCC, leading to victims navigating these services independently or through different CSOs; communication gaps and inadequate information sharing between agencies (example between police and hospitals or hospitals and linking with counseling or legal aid services, causing inconsistencies and delays in support; varying protocols and guidelines among service providers that introduce inconsistencies in the survivor care; and facilities across regions and agencies (ex: KL and Selangor as better service providers than in Sabah and Sarawak with limited inaccessible services), affecting service comprehensiveness; and differences in awareness and specialized training among staffs handling GBV cases, impacting the quality of support. These factors collectively challenge the OSCC's effectiveness in delivering a holistic and coordinated support system for GBV survivors.
- Lack of universal frameworks and cross-platform collaboration in addressing OGBV. A CSO participant noted this challenge stems mainly from the absence of a standardized approach across various entities involved in GBV prevention and response, including government bodies, NGOs, and digital platforms. Without a unified framework, efforts to tackle OGBV can be disjointed, with varying degrees of responsiveness and resources across different platforms and support services. This situation complicates the provision of comprehensive support for GBV survivors, as it may

lead to gaps in protection, legal recourse, and rehabilitation services for those affected by OGBV. It also pointed out that lack of clarity on the frameworks/systems to protect children and adolescents facing OGBV puts them under further risk.

- Lack of referrals to secondary care, referral for safe abortion (particularly migrants and refugees). It was pointed out that survivors of GBV often require comprehensive care that goes beyond immediate medical attention and psychological support, necessitating access to specialized services such as sexual and reproductive health care. However, systemic barriers, including legal restrictions, social stigma, and a lack of awareness among frontline workers about available resources, significantly impede the referral process for secondary care. For example, migrants and refugees, who already face legal and linguistic barriers, accessing services for safe abortion becomes even more challenging. Malaysia's legal framework around abortion is restrictive, allowing it only under specific health circumstances, can force women to carry pregnancy occurred due to rape/non-consensual sex.

4.8 Key recommendations to strengthen the GBV support services in Malaysia

Participants were divided into four groups. Each group was tasked to brainstorm and identify key recommendations that aim at preventing and addressing GBV all while focusing on the role of various stakeholders including government agencies, NGOs, and community-based organizations.

As part of the group exercise, participants were asked to place their ideas on a poster with a tree. The lower end of the tree signified the more feasible approaches that can be attained within a short period of time, meanwhile the higher placed ones represented the long-term approaches that naturally required a longer period of time.

As a result, the participants identified key recommendations as suggested strategies to strengthen multi-sectoral response to GBV, especially within the health sector in Malaysia due to its elevated responsiveness and coordination.

4.8.1. Low Hanging Fruits (Short term interventions):

A. Recommendations for children:

- Ensuring services are child friendly.
- Implement care protocols strictly.
- Creating awareness of GBV among children and the available services for them
- Increasing parents' awareness through the Parent Teacher Association (PTA)
- Digital security awareness to be taught in schools (possibly in collaboration with MCMC) and to highlight OGBV and their repercussions. This can include harassment, stalking, doxing (publicly revealing private information), non-consensual sharing of intimate images, sexist abuse, and other forms of cyberbullying that target individuals because of their gender.
- Training personnel (police, medical practitioners, social workers, child protection officers at JKM, and legal experts on child friendly and survivor-centric GBV support services)
- Implementation of standard operating procedures through training of relevant staff.
- Integrate trauma-informed approaches, evidence-based therapies like CBT and TF-CBT and incorporate play therapy for younger children to create a safe, supportive counseling environment which can provide them with mental health support in the short term.

B. Recommendations for women and girls:

- Remove all costs form OSCC for everyone, via a clear circular form MOH (like communicable diseases).
- GBV survivors should be able to seek help without fear of deportation or legal repercussions

due to their immigration status, support services can encourage more individuals to come forward. There is a need to create safe reporting mechanisms that prioritize the safety and confidentiality of survivors over their legal status, thereby enhancing access to necessary support and protection for all individuals, regardless of their immigration background. Cases of undocumented refugees/immigrants can be handled by UNHCR.

- Strengthen bail orders to present reprisals against actors and CBOs. This will protect those who work to support GBV survivors—such as activists, volunteers, and staff of CBOs from potential threats or harm by individuals accused or convicted of GBV offenses.
- Validate OSCC guidelines, medical care, PEP, HIV, and safe abortion.
- Strategic collaborations with CSOs and the government.
- Media communications and disseminating Public Service Announcements (PSA).
- Lobbying MPs to champion GBV issues and its sub-national impact.
- Include SGBV as part of companies in order to identify the specific types of GBV that workers and community members risk experiencing due to business operations as part of risk assessment.
- Compulsory mental health counseling and support offered to all GBV survivors and follow ups.
- Round table discussions with religious and community leaders and health experts to advocate for gender equality and raise awareness about the negative consequences of harmful practices like child marriage, FGM among other forms of GBV. By securing their buy-in and active involvement, it can potentially influence the community.

C. Recommendations from the Transgender community:

- Advocacy/ strategic planning.
- Amplifying inclusive religious narratives and texts.
- A series of awareness videos and animations.
- Awareness campaigns for GBV to understand what SGBV, SOGIE and trans terms are.
- Empowerment for community understanding on the rights of transgenders.
- SOGIE training for hospital staff and the police department.
- SOGIE training for the community and stakeholders to understand what SOGIE/ LGBTIQ+ needs are.
- Gender affirming care with HIV care as an entry point.
- Sensitizing hospital staff in general as well as the police in terms of SGBV to be practiced impartially, including use of inclusive language and supportive care.
- Providing a capacity building for educators to provide an inclusive and safe environment for the youth.

4.8.2. High Hanging fruits: where we want to be and what are the long-term interventions needed to achieve it (long term interventions):

A. Recommendations for children:

- Tailor all services to be child-friendly, ensuring they are accessible, welcoming, and designed to make children feel safe and understood when seeking support for GBV.
- Invest in specialized medical facilities, examination rooms, and infrastructure that are specifically designed to cater to children's needs, making the environment supportive and less intimidating for young survivors.
- Launch comprehensive awareness campaigns to educate children and communities about GBV and the support services available, aiming to encourage reporting and access to assistance.
- Institutionalize GBV education within school curriculums to empower children with knowledge about GBV prevention, recognition, and the avenues for seeking help.
- Ensure that all GBV-related support services, including medical care, legal assistance, and psychological support, are provided to children free of charge to eliminate financial barriers to

accessing help.

- Develop and maintain GBV support services that are deeply integrated within communities, ensuring they are easily accessible and foster a supportive atmosphere for children and their families.
- Implement rigorous safety and security protocols for children seeking GBV services, with stringent measures in place to protect them from further harm and to safeguard their confidentiality and dignity. This would mean strengthening the safety planning, confidentiality protocols, trained child protection staff and implementing procedures to obtain informed consent or assent from children, in a manner appropriate to their age, maturity, and understanding.
- Create safe space for the child survivor to cope with trauma and regain trust and confidence through involving parents, teachers, and peers to provide the child with a supporting environment.

B. Recommendations for women and girls:

- Advocate for Malaysia's ratification of additional international human rights instruments beyond the existing commitments to CEDAW, CRC, and the Disability Convention, to fortify the legal protections for women and girls against GBV.
- Establishment of a multi-agency task force to create and implement action plans for GBV prevention and response, ensuring a coordinated and comprehensive approach across government sectors.
- Propose strategic partnerships between the Malaysia Bar, Legal Aid Centers, Criminal Law Commission, Human Rights Commission, and directly linking to CSOs to streamline efforts and resources in combating GBV.
- Need to push for active engagement with SUHAKAM under its expanded mandate to utilize its authority in advocating for the rights of GBV survivors, ensuring their protection and access to justice.
- Mandate annual training and sensitization programs for frontline workers, including police, welfare officers, and hospital staff, to improve their responsiveness and survivor-centric towards GBV survivors.
- Development of a robust network of community-based translators and interpreters to facilitate effective communication between GBV survivors and authorities, ensuring no survivor is left unheard due to language.
- Reforms to streamline the process for GBV survivors to obtain essential documentation, removing bureaucratic hurdles and enabling quicker access to services. Ensuring that all survivors receive a copy of their medical and police reports and files and information taken from is an informed choice.
- Advocate for increased funding and support for GBV interventions at the state and local levels, tailoring services to meet the unique needs of each community. Example, for Sabah and Sarawak or for refugee communities like those from Somalia, Myanmar etc. and non-binary, gender non confirmative and transgender communities.
- Call for an investigation into the gaps within current GBV data and the development of an integrated data collection and analysis framework to guide policy and services. Need to identify the data gaps and also need to strengthen the existing OSCC monitoring system.
- Lobbying for an increased budget for D11.
- The OSCC has to be available online, where victims can submit documentation on the GBV they experienced. There should be a comprehensive upgrade of the OSCC's online platforms, including website redevelopment for user-friendliness and SEO optimization, and the development of a mobile app with emergency features for immediate assistance to GBV survivors.
 - This requires the rebuilding of the website to make it user-friendly with the relevant information easily available as well as the ability for victims to submit their reports online straight to the relevant authorities.
 - Alternatively, the development of an app which has a "panic" button function for

immediate help when needed (or detecting vocal distress patterns if the app can be intelligent enough).

- Propose an aggressive web and social media campaign to raise awareness about the OSCC and its services, ensuring that information is easily accessible and reaches a wide audience to support GBV survivors.
- Create a system for long term healing through support groups, resource mobilization, legal assistance, skill development, shelter/employment opportunities for the survivor and her kids and longer-term counselling/therapy options.

C. Recommendations from the Transgender community:

- The right to self-identify as transgender, non-binary, or gender non-conforming and have legal recognition of these identities.
- Clear jurisdiction between civil and Shariah law about their rights.
- Healthcare needs of transgender communities to be recognized by the MOH.
- Relook into the training of personnel especially police and hospital staff and making sure they treat transgender individuals with respect, dignity and professionalism. This would include using appropriate names and pronouns, references to body parts, offer gender-affirming treatments and support, provide privacy and confidentiality during treatment. Guidelines for hospital staff, police personnel or any front-line responders including media reporting to GBV survivors to adopt respectful and gender-neutral language when reporting on transgender individuals, particularly in cases of gender-based violence, to prevent re-victimization and maintain the dignity of all parties involved, especially when gender identity is uncertain.
- One of the participating CSOs SEED Foundation's Aim for 2024 to have a transgender strategy planning in Malaysia which could address the specific needs, challenges, and rights of the transgender community within the country. They emphasized the following issues: urgent need for legal gender recognition, establishing anti-discriminatory laws, and need for inclusive healthcare policy, equitable and inclusive employment opportunities.
- Amend the guideline to align with the Federal Constitution's Articles on liberty, equality, and freedom of speech, and to fulfill Malaysia's CEDAW obligations by eliminating gender stereotypes, particularly discrimination against lesbian, bisexual, transgender women, and intersex persons, as urged by the CEDAW committee in its 2018 review.

C. Recommendations for community level changes:

Lastly, the participants identified that community-based interventions can provide a safe and supportive environment for survivors of GBV. In societies where police, doctors, and religious institutions might sometimes dismiss or judge women, men and transgender persons who have experienced violence, community organizations can offer a lifeline. These organizations can offer a non-judgmental space where survivors can share their experiences, access emotional support, and receive practical assistance.

Additionally, community interventions can play a vital role in raising awareness and challenging the patriarchal norms perpetuating GBV. By engaging local communities, educating them about the impact of GBV, and promoting gender equality, these interventions can help shift societal attitudes and

behaviors. This, in turn, creates a more empathetic and accountable society where GBV is less tolerated and more likely to be reported.

Moreover, community-led initiatives can bridge the gap between survivors and formal institutions. They can serve as intermediaries, facilitating survivors' access to legal aid, medical assistance, and counseling services. By building trust and advocating for survivors within these systems, community interventions ensure that cases of GBV are taken seriously and handled appropriately.

2. Call for Action

Category	Call to Action
Children and minors	<p>In the short term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensure that support services are child-friendly, personnel are trained across various sectors, and care protocols are established. • Create awareness of GBV and available services, involving parents through associations like parent teachers' association (PTA). • Prioritize digital security education in schools to address online GBV. <p>In the long term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutionalize knowledge on GBV in school curricula. • Provide free continuous support services for children who experienced GBV. • Strengthen the Child Act 2001. <p>Together, we can build a future where every child feels safe, supported, and free from the shadow of GBV.</p>
Women and girls	<p>In the short term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove financial barriers to accessing support services and enhance bail orders to protect against reprisals. • Facilitate strategic collaboration with civil society organizations, government bodies, and media to amplify awareness. • Lobby MPs for legislative changes and include GBV in business risk assessments <p>In the long term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ratify international human rights standards. • Engage with legal and human rights commissions. • Ensure the inclusion of sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR) in school curricula. <p>Let's strive for a future where every woman and girl live free from the threat of GBV.</p>
Non-Binary and Transgenders individuals	<p>In the short term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Focus on awareness campaigns, inclusive religious narratives, and training sessions for healthcare and law enforcement professionals. • Build general awareness through education on non-binary and transgender communities, their rights and gender-affirming care. • Sensitize hospitals and police to practice impartiality. <p>In the long term:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Work towards legal gender recognition, clear jurisdiction between civil and Shariah law, and the recognition of transgender healthcare. • Rebuild a future where transgender individuals are treated with dignity, respect, and understanding by our society and its institutions. <p>Let's create an inclusive society where everyone, regardless of gender identity, feels respected and supported.</p>



United Nations Population Fund
605 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10158
Tel. +1 212-297-5000
www.unfpa.org
@UNFPA